

Mass Communication

#7 Plan cycle - 7
Plan cycle 2021/2022
7/1/21 - 6/30/22

Performance Objective 1 Increase enrollment, persistence, retention, and graduation rates for each program offered by the department.

1 Assessment and Benchmark

Benchmark: Increase enrollment by 5% each year, overall and in each program offered by the department.

Prior to 2018-2019, the benchmark was track student enrollments at each level and in each concentration. Maintain or exceed 2014-2015 levels of declared majors:

- MCOM BS Mass Communication
 - INDM Integrated Digital Media Concentration (effective 202040)
 - o JOUR Journalism Concentration
 - o MEPR Media Production Concentration (effective 201040; inactive effective 201540)
 - NMED New Media Concentration (effective 201540; inactive effective 202040)
 - O PUBR Public Relations Concentration
 - o RDTV Radio and Television Concentration (inactive effective 201040)
 - o SCOM Strategic Communication Concentration (effective 202040)
 - o SLCM Sales Communication Concentration (effective 201540; inactive effective 202040)

1.1 Data

2017-2018:

Major	Conc.			Su	mme	r				F	all					Sp	ring		
Major	Conc.	F	S	J	Sr	Т	СМР	F	S	J	Sr	Т	СМР	F	S	J	Sr	Т	СМР
	JOUR	1	2	2	2	7	0	5	8	6	7	26	6	6	7	7	5	25	1
	MEPR	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	3	4	1	0	0	1	2	3	2
MCOM	NMED	0	0	0	2	2	0	5	3	2	2	12	0	2	6	2	4	14	2
IVICOIVI	PUBR	0	0	3	5	9	0	7	17	9	12	45	6	4	12	14	12	42	6
	SLCM	1	1	1	0	3	0	0	3	1	0	4	0	0	0	4	1	5	1
	(blank)	1	1	0	1	3	0	2	0	1	3	6	0	1	0	1	0	2	0
То	tal	4	4	6	11	25	0	19	31	20	27	97	13	13	25	29	24	91	13

2018-2019:

Major	Conc.			Su	ımme	r				F	Fall					Sp	oring		
Major	Conc.	F	S	J	Sr	Т	СМР	F	S	J	Sr	Т	СМР	F	S	J	Sr	Т	СМР
	JOUR	1	1	2	4	8	0	8	7	11	9	35	4	8	8	8	10	34	2
мсом	MEPR	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	NMED	0	1	1	1	3	0	6	7	4	2	19	0	5	5	4	3	17	1
INICOIN	PUBR	2	0	5	3	10	0	8	10	14	11	43	2	11	10	11	16	48	8
	SLCM	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	2	0	2	3	7	1
	(blank)	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
То	Total		2	9	10	24	0	26	24	31	24	105	7	26	23	25	32	106	12

2019-2020:

ſ	Major	Conc.			Su	ımme	er				F	all					Sp	oring		
l	Major	Conc.	F	S	J	Sr	Т	СМР	F	S	J	Sr	Т	СМР	F	S	J	Sr	Т	СМР
ſ		JOUR	0	1	2	3	6	1	9	7	7	13	36	5	6	7	6	9	28	7
١		NMED	1	3	1	0	5	0	8	7	8	4	27	1	5	5	9	6	25	2

мсом	PUBR	1	1	1	6	9	0	12	14	12	14	52	5	6	15	13	18	52	7
	SLCM	0	1	0	3	4	0	2	2	1	4	9	1	3	1	1	4	9	2
	(blank)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
To	tal	2	6	4	12	24	1	31	30	28	35	124	12	20	28	29	37	114	18

2020-2021:

Major	Como			Su	ımme	er				F	all					Sp	oring		
Major	Conc.	F	S	J	Sr	Т	СМР	F	S	J	Sr	Т	СМР	F	S	J	Sr	Т	СМР
	INDM	1	1	1	0	3	0	2	5	1	0	8	0	4	3	6	0	13	0
	JOUR	1	3	2	3	9	0	5	6	8	7	26	2	4	7	6	6	23	4
	NMED	0	0	1	2	3	0	1	6	7	9	23	4	0	3	5	8	16	4
мсом	PUBR	0	1	4	7	12	2	11	10	15	15	51	4	6	8	18	13	45	6
	SCOM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	0	0	1	4	5	2
	SLCM	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	2	1	2	6	1	0	1	2	1	4	1
	(blank)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
То	tal	2	6	8	12	28	2	20	29	33	35	117	11	14	22	38	32	106	17

2021-2022:

Major	Cono			Su	mme	r				F	all					Sp	oring		
iviajoi	Conc.	F	S	J	Sr	Т	СМР	F	S	J	Sr	Т	СМР	F	S	J	Sr	Т	СМР
	INDM	0	1	0	1	2	0	5	5	6	1	17	0	6	5	5	2	18	1
	JOUR	0	0	1	1	2	0	11	2	5	7	25	1	7	6	4	8	25	5
	NMED	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	1	2	5	8	1	1	1	0	6	8	1
мсом	PUBR	2	0	1	4	7	0	5	11	7	15	38	3	6	10	10	16	42	9
	SCOM	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	5	1	0	2	0	4	6	3
	SLCM	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	3	0	0	1	0	2	3	2
	(blank)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
То	Total		1	3	8	14	1	23	20	21	33	97	6	20	25	20	38	103	21

Percentage Change between 2017-2018:

Major	Fall	Total	% Change
мсом	2017	97	8.247%
IVICOIVI	2018	105	0.247%
Total	2017	97	8.247%
Total	2018	105	0.247%

Percentage Change between 2018-2019:

Major	Fall	Total	% Change
мсом	2018	105	18.095%
IVICOIVI	2019	124	16.095%
	2018	105	

Total 2019 124 18.095%

Percentage Change between 2019-2020:

Major	Fall	Total	% Change
мсом	2019	124	-5.645%
IVICOIVI	2020	117	-5.045%
Total	2019	124	-5.645%
Total	2020	117	-3.043%

Percentage Change between 2020-2021:

Major	Fall	Total	% Change
мсом	2020	117	-17.094%
IVICOIVI	2021	97	-17.094%
Total	2020	117	47.0049/
Total	2021	97	-17.094%

1.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2017-2018:

The Media Production concentration was converted to the New Media concentration in 2015-2016, so those numbers will be dropping to zero soon. The remaining concentrations are also declining, with the exception of the New Media concentration. Since we do not offer many summer classes, Mass Comm majors rarely attend summer school, so those numbers have little meaning to us.

In an attempt to increase numbers, the department has participated in both the fall and spring senior visits to campus. The department will be starting a self-study in the fall 2018 semester to determine the reasons for the decline in numbers and examine ways to adapt the program to increase enrollment.

2018-2019:

From 2017-18 to 2018-19, enrollment in Mass Communication has increased by 16.483%. Looking at the specific concentrations, the enrollment is up in each of these:

Journalism - 25 to 34, 36%

Public Relations - 42 to 48, 14.29%

New Media - 14 to 17, 21.43%

Sales Communication – 5 to 7, 40%

The Media Production concentration was discontinued in 2015 in favor of New Media and has seen its last graduate. This concentration should now be reflected as inactive.

From 2014-15 to 2017-18, the department saw a decrease in enrollment from 149 to 91, or 38.93%. The total completers had a corresponding drop from 39 to 25, or 35%. This decrease in enrollment and corresponding decrease in total completers was due primarily to the following factors:

- The current Mass Communication department is a combination of the original Mass Communication department and the former Speech department. Hence much of the existing faculty is more comfortable teaching speech rather than mass communication.
- The faculty for the Mass Communication department in 2017-18 was down two professors and two
 tenured/tenure track positions. Limited numbers of prerequisite classes were able to be offered
 because upper level classes also had to be offered for those students graduating.

Actions that have been taken to improve enrollment due to these reasons are:

 Offering training for those faculty members without degrees in Mass Communication to increase the number of faculty in the department able to teach Mass Communication courses. • Requesting permission to hire at least one tenure track faculty member in the field.

2019-2020:

To improve recruitment and retention efforts, the department head created a committee to discuss and decide on courses of action.

The first committee meeting was held March 11, 2020. The committee decided:

- To create 2+2 agreements with both Sowela and Lamar-Orange Community Colleges,
- To increase social media exposure for the department, and
- To create new promotional materials.

For complete details on decisions, please see the included recruiting and retention committee minutes. Shortly after this meeting, the university closed and all classes went online due to Covid-19. Some of these plans were unable to be acted on.

2020-2021:

Due to the hurricanes and the displacement of faculty members, the recruiting and retention committee was unable to meet during this academic year.

2021-2022:

We have not met our goal this year.

The department was involved in a self-study that identified several problems within the department. One of the chief problems with the department is the size of the department and the over-reliance on lecturers, such that the workload for members of the department is oppressive. One item that is being examined is how to manage recruitment and retention along with all other requirements for the department. The department is currently examining how to shift workloads so that more time is available for recruitment and retention.

3-11-20 Recruiting and Retention Committee meeting minutes (2020_03_12 18_07_32 UTC) [DOCX 18 KB 8/20/21]

2 Assessment and Benchmark

Benchmark: Increase enrollment by 10% each year.

• SCCM - PBC Strategic Corporate Communication (effective 201840)

2.1 Data

Special Undergraduate Enrollment:

Major	Cono	20	18-20	19	20	19-20	20	20	20-20	21	20	21-20	22	20	22-20	23
iviajoi	Major Conc.	U	F	S	U	F	S	U	F	S	U	F	S	U	F	S
SCCM	(blank)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1			

Special Undergraduate Completers:

ſ	Major	Conc.	20	18-20	19	20	19-20	20	20	20-20	21	20	21-20	22	20	22-20	23
L	iviajui	Conc.	U	F	S	U	F	S	U	F	S	U	F	S	U	F	S
ſ	SCCM	(blank)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

2.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2018-2019:

We did not meet this benchmark. The students we had interested or enrolled in this program were not able to obtain financial aid. We did not realize that financial aid was unavailable for students enrolled in a PBC.

To increase enrollment in the PBC, we are determining a new target audience for the program who does not have the same needs for financial aid.

2019-2020:

To improve recruitment and retention efforts, the department head created a committee to discuss and decide on courses of action.

The first committee meeting was held March 11, 2020. The decision was made to first look at the bachelor's degree and then to work on the PBC. Shortly after the meeting to look at the bachelor's degree, the university was shut down due to Covid-19, and the committee wasn't able to meet again to discuss the PBC.

2020-2021:

Due to the hurricanes and the displacement of faculty members, the committee was unable to meet during this academic year.

2021-2022:

The faculty has discussed eliminating this program. We will be making a decision about the future of the program during the 2022-2023 curriculum meetings.

3 Assessment and Benchmark

Benchmarks:

- A persistence rate (retained students from fall Y1 to spring Y1) of 85%.
- A retention rate of 70% from Y1 to Y2.
- A retention rate of 55% from Y1 to Y3.
- A retention rate of 45% from Y1 to Y4.
- A 4-year graduation rate of 35%.
- A 5-year graduation rate of 40%.
- A 6-year graduation rate of 45%.

Major:

• MCOM - Bachelor of Science in Mass Communication

3.1 Data

2012:

			Persi	stence		R	etent	ion Ra	te			Gr	adua	tion R	ate	
Major	Cohort Size	Same Major?	R	ate	Y1	to Y2	Y1	to Y3	Y1	to Y4	4-`	Year	5-`	Year	6-`	Year
	0120	Major.	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
		Same	25	78.1	16	50.0	12	37.5	9	28.1	7	21.9	7	21.9	8	25.0
мсом	32*	Changed	3	9.4	6	18.8	10	31.3	10	31.3	3	9.4	6	18.8	7	21.9
		Total	28	87.5	22	68.8	22	68.8	19	59.4	10	31.3	13	40.6	15	46.9

^{*3} students were previously undeclared before declaring MCOM.

2013:

			Persi	stence		R	etent	ion Ra	te			Gra	ıdua	tion Ra	ite	
Major	Cohort Size	Same Major?	R	ate	Y1	to Y2	Y1	to Y3	Y1	to Y4	4-`	Year	5-	Year	6-`	Year
	0.20	major.	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
		Same	26	86.7	18	60.0	12	40.0	13	43.3	8	26.7	3	10.0	0	0.0
мсом	30*	Changed	3	10.0	6	20.0	10	33.3	6	20.0	2	6.7	1	3.3	0	0.0
		Total	29	96.7	24	80.0	22	73.3	19	63.3	10	33.4	4	13.3	0	0.0

^{*3} students were previously undeclared before declaring MCOM.

2014:

			Persi	stence		R	etent	ion Ra	te			Gra	adua	ation R	ate	
Major	Cohort Size	Same Major?	R	ate	Y1	to Y2	Y1	to Y3	Y1	to Y4	4-	Year	5-	Year	6-	Year
	0120	Major .	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
		Same	8	61.5	6	46.2	3	23.1	2	15.4	3	23	3	23	3	23
МСОМ	13	Changed	3	23.1	4	30.8	7	53.8	8	61.5	3	23	5	38.4	6	46.1
		Total	11	84.6	10	76.9	10	76.9	10	76.9	6	46.1	8	61.5	9	69.2

2015:

			Persi	stence		Re	etent	ion Ra	te			Gra	adua	tion R	ate	
Major	Cohort Size	Same Major?	R	ate	Y1	to Y2	Y1	to Y3	Y1	to Y4	4-\	⁄ear	5-\	⁄ear	6-`	Year
	0120	major.	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
		Same	11	55.0	8	40.0	8	40.0	5	25.0						
мсом	20	Changed	3	15.0	2	10.0	1	5.0	2	10.0						
		Total	14	70.0	10	50.0	9	45.0	7	35.0						

2016:

			Persi	stence		R	etent	ion Ra	te			Gra	adua	tion R	ate	
Major	Cohort Size	Same Major?	R	ate	Y1	to Y2	Y1	to Y3	Y1	to Y4	4-`	Year	5-`	Year	6-`	Year
	0.20	major.	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
		Same	17	70.8	11	45.8	9	37.5	8	33.3						
мсом	24	Changed	1	4.2	3	12.5	4	16.7	4	16.7						
		Total	18	75.0	14	58.3	13	54.2	12	50.0						

2017:

			Persi	stence		R	etent	ion Rat	te			Gra	adua	tion R	ate	
Major	Cohort Size	Same Major?	R	ate	Y1	to Y2	Y1	to Y3	Y1	to Y4	4-\	Year	5-`	⁄ear	6-`	Year
	0.20	major.	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
		Same	12	100	9	75.0	7	58.3	6	50.0						
мсом	12	Changed	0	0.0	2	16.7	3	25.0	3	25.0						
		Total	12	100	11	91.7	10	83.3	9	75.0						

2018:

			Persi	stence		R	etent	ion Ra	te			Gra	adua	tion R	ate	
Major	Cohort Size	Same Major?	R	ate	Y1	to Y2	Y1	to Y3	Y1	to Y4	4-`	Year	5-`	Year	6-`	Year
	0120	major.	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
		Same	19	90.5	16	76.2	13	61.9	9	42.9						
мсом	21	Changed	0	0.0	1	4.8	2	9.5	2	9.5						
		Total	19	90.5	17	81.0	15	71.4	11	52.4						

2019:

	Cohort	Como	Persi	stence		Re	etenti	on Rat	е			Gra	adua	tion R	ate	
Major	Size	Same Major?	R	ate	Y1	to Y2	Y1	to Y3	Y1 ⁻	to Y4	4-\	⁄ear	5-`	Year	6-\	Year
		,	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
		Same	13	65.0	9	45.0	7	35.0								
мсом	20	Changed	2	10.0	4	20.0	3	15.0								
		Total	15	75.0	13	65.0	10	50.0								

2020:

			Persi	stence		Re	tenti	on Ra	te			Gra	adua	tion R	ate	
Major	Cohort Size	Same Major?	R	ate	Y1	to Y2	Y1	to Y3	Y1	to Y4	4-\	⁄ear	5-`	Year	6-`	Year
	0.20	major.	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
		Same	14	100	10	71.4										
мсом	14	Changed	0	0.0	1	7.1										
		Total	14	100	11	78.5										

2021:

			Persi	stence		Re	etent	ion Ra	ite			Gr	adua	tion R	ate	
Major	Cohort Size	Same Major?	R	ate	Y1 ·	to Y2	Y1	to Y3	Y1	to Y4	4-`	Year	5-`	⁄ear	6-`	Year
	0,20	iviajoi .	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
		Same	12	70.6												
мсом	17	Changed	4	23.5												
		Total	16	94.1												

3.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2018-2019:

In examining the historical data, the total percentages have hovered around the benchmark, with the exception of 2015. 2015 seems to have been a particularly bad year for retention, but we have no data to explain why there was a sudden drop in that year.

Since we have not had this data in the past, we do not know what is impacting the persistence and retention rate. For our next reporting period, we will conduct research to see what impacts these rates.

2019-2020:

To improve recruitment and retention efforts, the department head created a committee to discuss and decide on courses of action.

The first committee meeting was held March 11, 2020. After the initial meeting, the university shut down for Covid-19, so the committee was unable to meet to discuss these numbers specifically and plan accordingly.

2020-2021:

Due to the hurricanes and the displacement of faculty members, the committee was unable to meet during this academic year.

2021-2022:

We are not completely meeting our benchmarks. However, we are also having some problems in recording and interpreting the data. The faculty have decided to examine how the data can be more accurately tracked.

Performance Objective 2 Engage in collaborative ventures and campus and community activities which enhance economic development, cultural and artistic growth, and /or educational experiences for the SWLA region and beyond.

1 Assessment and Benchmark

Benchmark: 50% of the full-time faculty serve in a voluntary capacity to community or state agencies and/or organizations.

1.1 Data

Academic Year	Fac partici	,
	#	%
2013-2014		50%
2014-2015	_	80%
2015-2016		70%
2016-2017	9/10	90%
2017-2018	5/10	50%
2018-2019	5/8	62.5%
2019-2020	6/9	67%
2020-2021	6/8	75%
2021-2022	6/7	86%

1.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2017-2018:

Two full-time faculty left during the year, so we have no data from them. In addition, two full-time faculty did not submit APR documentation to establish their participation, and one full-time faculty did not submit complete information that included this data. Part of the APR documentation for each faculty member includes a form that provides the information for this assessment.

We are currently undergoing a change of department head. The new department head will seek information on how to best gain compliance with APR requirements.

2018-2019:

62.5% of full-time faculty participated in some form of community service or professional service. We have met our benchmark of 50%. However, we continue to have a problem with faculty participating in the APR process. The three faculty members that did not participate in some form of service are also the three faculty members that did not participate in the APR process. It is entirely possible that these faculty have participated in service, but since they did not complete their APR documentation, there is no way to know.

During department meetings for Fall 2019, the department head has encouraged and explained the importance of participation in the APR process.

2019-2020:

We met our benchmark for this year. Of the nine full-time faculty members this year, two did not participate in any community or professional service due to health issues, and one did not participate because he was a visiting professor.

Examples of service for this year were:

- High School Speech Rally host
- The 705: Leadership Organization member
- FBLA Competition judge

Despite faculty displacement due to the fall 2020 hurricanes, the faculty met the benchmark for the academic year. Of the eight full-time faculty, six participated in community or professional service.

Examples of service for this year were:

- Society of Professional Journalists Louisiana Professional Chapter board member
- Webster University Speech and Debate Tournament tab room
- Hurricane relief work

2021-2022:

The faculty have met the benchmark this year. Of seven full-time faculty, six participated in community or professional service.

Examples of service this year were:

- Society of Professional Journalists Louisiana Professional Chapter board member
- Senior Counselor for Arkansas Boys State
- CARE Mentor
- Women's Commission of SWLA Conference
- Acadiana Advertising Federation Member

Performance Objective 3 Demonstrate excellence in teaching in order to enhance student recruitment, retention, and graduation.

1 Assessment and Benchmark

Benchmark: 70% of departmental faculty will meet or exceed the University SEI average.

1.1 Data

Academic Year	Faculty that met or exceeded SEI average	
	#	%
2013-2014	10/12	75.0%
2014-2015	9/12	92.3%
2015-2016	12/13	75.0%
2016-2017	9/12	83.3%
2017-2018	8/10	80.0%
2018-2019	7/8	87.5%
2019-2020	7/11	64%
2020-2021	6/9	67%
2021-2022	7/8	87.5%

1.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2017-2018:

We have met our expected level of achievement for the benchmark. We would like to change this benchmark to include a qualitative analysis of areas that each individual faculty member should work on. The current person assigned to completing the master plan does not have access to the individual question scores for the faculty. However, this new benchmark will be able to be added for the 2018-2019 year, since that person will now have access to all SEI scores and comments.

2018-2019:

We have met our expected level of achievement for this benchmark. In a qualitative examination of items on which the faculty do not perform well, it was found that there was little difference between questions. If a faculty member does well on one question, that person also does well on all of the questions. If a faculty member does poorly on one question, that person does poorly on all questions.

Although most of the faculty did very well and all the questions scored highly, the one question that seemed to be the most problematic for the majority of the department was providing pertinent feedback on tests and assignments. This problem has been discussed with the faculty as a whole. We will see if this measure improves next year.

2019-2020:

The department did not meet our expected level of achievement for this measure. In part, this may be because faculty members that have no knowledge of online teaching were forced to move classes online due to Covid-19. Since the faculty has always previously met this level of achievement, we believe that this may be an occurrence due the the change for Covid.

2020-2021:

The department did not meet our expected level of achievement for this measure. SEIs this year only included the spring 2021 semester, and response rates were extremely low. Many faculty and students were displaced by the hurricanes in the fall 2020 semester. We think that this is a contributing factor to both low response rates and poor performance. We will continue to monitor for the 2021-2022 academic year in hopes of a more normal academic year.

2021-2022:

We have met our benchmark this year. However, this measure is problematic in the extremely low response rates in SEIs since the system went to online responses. Of 1583 enrolled students in departmental classes, only 318 or 20% participated in the SEIs. The department will look at ways to either replace this measure or increase the response rates.

2 Assessment and Benchmark

Benchmark: 90% of departmental faculty will meet or exceed 85% on additional SEI questions that are specific to the Department of Mass Communication.

Prior to 2017-2018, the benchmark was 70% of departmental faculty will meet or exceed 85%.

2.1 Data

Academic Year	Faculty that met or exceeded 85%	
	#	%
2013-2014	_	93.3%
2014-2015	_	91.6%
2015-2016	_	100%
2016-2017	12/12	100%
2017-2018	9/10	90.0%
2018-2019	7/8	87.5%
2019-2020	8/11	73%
2020-2021	7/9	78%
2021-2022	7/8	87.5%

2.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2017-2018:

The benchmark was raised to 90% of faculty will meet or exceed 85% on those questions. We have met our expected level of achievement for the benchmark.

We would like to change this benchmark to include a qualitative analysis of areas that each individual faculty member should work on. The current person assigned to completing the master plan does not have access to the individual question scores for the faculty. However, this new benchmark will be able to be added for the 2018-2019 year, since that person will now have access to all SEI scores and comments.

2018-2019:

We have not met our expected level of achievement for this benchmark. With our current number of full-time faculty members (eight), we would have to score 100% to meet this benchmark.

In a qualitative examination of items in which the faculty do not perform well, it was found that there was little difference between questions. If a faculty member does well on one question, that person also does well on all of the questions. If a faculty member does poorly on one question, that person does poorly on all questions.

Nearly all faculty scored high on these questions. The one question that could be improved on was the professor available for additional help. However, that really was only a consistent problem with one faculty member.

2019-2020:

The department did not meet our expected level of achievement for this measure. In part, this may be because faculty members that have no knowledge of online teaching were forced to move classes online due to Covid-19. Since the faculty has always previously met this level of achievement, we believe that this may be an occurrence due the the change for Covid.

2020-2021:

The department did not meet our expected level of achievement for this measure. SEIs this year only included the spring 2021 semester, and response rates were extremely low. Many faculty and students were displaced by the hurricanes in the fall 2020 semester. We think that this is a contributing factor to both low response rates and poor performance. We will continue to monitor for the 2021-2022 academic year in hopes of a more normal academic year.

2021-2022:

We have met our benchmark this year. However, since this measure is an extension of the SEI questions, we have the same problem with this measure as the other measure for this category - that being the extremely low response rates in SEIs since the system went to online responses. Again, of 1583 enrolled students in departmental classes, only 318 or 20% participated in the SEIs. Again, the department will look at ways to either replace this measure or increase the response rates.

Performance Objective 4 Demonstrate commitment to research and creative and scholarly activity.

1 Assessment and Benchmark

Benchmark: A minimum of 25 items from the following list will be completed by faculty:

- Publish book, article, book chapter, or other similar professional writing.
- Present article, panel, or speech at convention.
- Chair or respond to a panel at a professional conference.
- Review of book, chapter, article, speech for a professional medium.
- Demonstrate progress in a stated research program or activity.
- Produce creative project, such as approved video production, web production, speech presentations and other similar projects.

1.1 Data

Academic Year	# of items completed	
2013-2014	12	
2014-2015	14	
2015-2016	60	
2016-2017	27	
2017-2018	40	
2018-2019	55	

2019-2020	40
2020-2021	27
2021-2022	29

1.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2017-2018:

The Department of Mass Communication consists of eight full-time faculty members. Of these faculty members, two are tenured and six are instructors. Of the two tenured faculty members, one has significant administrative duties. We have met our benchmark for this year.

Our plan for the future is to determine a reasonable amount of research and what should and should not constitute research for instructors, since this is what our faculty primarily consists of.

2018-2019:

As previously stated, the department consists of six instructors. The research requirements for instructors needs to be clarified University-wide. Our interpretation of acceptable research is not necessarily the same as the above benchmark. In the faculty's self-reported numbers, the benchmark was met.

In attempting to compile a list of the pertinent items for this report, it was discovered that there are some inconsistencies in how individuals are reporting information. The information from this report is taken from a separate list that individuals respond to for their APR. This form is attached. It has become apparent that not everyone in the department is defining "scholarly or creative work" the same way. This will be clarified for next year's reporting.

2019-2020:

The department met out goal for the year, but due to the sudden university closure because of the Covid-19 pandemic, the faculty were unable to meet and clarify the method of reporting.

The most significant of the items completed was a rewrite of the Speak Easy book for COMM 201 Public Speaking. Seven members of the department collaborated on the rough draft splitting 17 chapters for a rewrite.

2020-2021:

The department met our goal for the year, but due to the two hurricanes, the faculty were unable to meet and clarify the method of reporting.

During the fall semester, the faculty completed revising and editing the COMM 201 Public Speaking textbook, Speak Easy.

2021-2022:

The department has met our goal for the year. Two of the faculty in the department are completing PhD programs in the field, and one member of the faculty is completing a second master's in the field. Additionally, one member of the faculty is working on a second master's in a related field.

The department faculty also revised the COMM 201 Public Speaking textbook, Speak Easy. This edition is one later than the 2020-2021 edition.

Master Plan Form [PDF 38 KB 2/18/20]

Performance Objective 5 Curriculum Development

1 Assessment and Benchmark

Benchmark: Program Curriculum Committee faculty meet three times per academic year to review student progress, curricular offerings, and appropriate professional contacts and opportunities.

1.1 Data

2017-2018

The committee met twice formally, but discussions were held informally via email with members of the

committee. The curriculum committee met to examine the curriculum. With decreases in enrollment, the faculty decided that the department needed to meet an additional market. It was decided that their was an underserved market of post-baccalaureate students that would benefit from additional skills in communication.

The department recently moved from offices. Unfortunately, some of the department's paperwork, including the minutes to these meetings, was lost in the move.

2018-2019:

The curriculum committee met three times during the 2018-19 academic year. The minutes for the last two meetings are attached. The first meeting was a brainstorming session in which no minutes were kept.

2019-2020:

The curriculum committee met once during the 2019-2020 academic year. The minutes are attached. The remaining two meetings were scheduled for the spring 2020 semester, which was interrupted due to Covid-19. Since all classes went online suddenly, most of that semester was spent in adapting classes to online and dealing with the problems from Covid.

2020-2021:

Due to the hurricanes and the displacement of many faculty members because of hurricane damage, the curriculum committee was unable to meet during the 2020-2021 academic year.

2021-2022:

The curriculum committee met once formally. However, the department as a whole met several times informally to complete a self-study. As part of this self-study, the faculty addressed several issues with the curriculum that will be addressed as part of the 2022-2023 curriculum development.

The curriculum meeting minutes and the self-study are attached.

```
3-8-19 Curriculum Committee Meeting minutes [DOCX 20 KB 2/18/20]
9-24-21 Curriculum Committee Meeting minutes [DOCX 19 KB 7/15/22]
9-25-19 Curriculum Committee meeting minutes (2019_11_14 19_09_41 UTC) [DOCX 17 KB 8/20/21]
Curriculum Committee meeting 2-6-19 [DOCX 22 KB 2/18/20]

MCOMSelfStudy [PDF 1,012 KB 7/15/22]
```

1.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2017-2018

The curriculum committee added a Post Baccalaureate Certificate in Strategic Corporate Communication to reach an additional market. Specifics on the certificate are attached. The committee also made revisions to the four concentrations under the BS in Mass Communication to make the concentrations more consistent with each other.

The committee met twice formally, but discussions were held informally via email with members of the committee. The department recently moved from offices. Unfortunately, some of the department's paperwork, including the minutes to these meetings, was lost in the move.

2018-2019:

The curriculum committee discussed the future of the field and the necessary student learning outcomes related to this. We also discussed the current standards of accreditation in the field. The committee decided to add an assessment to the BS in Mass Communication program master plan examining career preparation. The wording and benchmark for this are still being determined.

2019-2020:

The curriculum committee decided, in keeping with the current direction in the field, to change the names of two concentrations. The "New Media" concentration was renamed "Integrated Digital Media" to clarify what the concentration emphasized. "Sales Communication" was renamed "Strategic Communication" to more precisely identify the theoretical side of the concentration.

In addition, from research in the field and interviews with recent graduates, the curriculum committee decided that the students needed an introductory technology course to familiarize themselves with the computer and software, so that students would be better able to advance in the upper level courses. MCOM 231, which was a video production course, was reformatted to include additional basic technology and reduce the emphasis on video production. Other technology classes were reformatted to take into consideration the new knowledge students would be bringing into the classes.

2020-2021:

Due to the hurricanes that hit in fall 2020, the curriculum committee was unable to meet. No changes were made.

2021-2022:

The curriculum committee decided to create a new class for media writing that all majors could take to help enrollment issues. The committee also identified SLOs for all major classes. These SLOs will guide curriculum development for 2022-2023.

Performance Objective 6 Students will connect learning with professional experiences through learning experiences outside of the classroom.

1 Assessment and Benchmark

Benchmark: 90% of graduating seniors will complete at least three credit hours of internship experience.

1.1 Data

Academic Year	Students that completed at least three hours of internship	
	#	%
2014-2015	42/42	100%
2015-2016	30/30	100%
2016-2017	32/32	100%
2017-2018	29/29	100%
2018-2019	19/19	100%
2019-2020	31/31	100%
2020-2021	30/30	100%
2021-2022	28/28	100%

Reported data is the number of graduating students for the academic year that have completed an internship. The internship is not necessarily completed during their senior year.

Interns_S21_U21 [DOCX 14 KB 8/20/21]

Internships - Summer 2019 - Summer 2020 [DOCX 14 KB 8/20/21]

MCOM Internships [XLSX 42 KB 2/18/20]

1.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2017-2018:

At the request of IRE, we will track information to add to the report about the types and locations of internships in which students participate.

2018-2019:

Since we are reporting how many of the students graduating had an internship in this measure, rather than how many students completed an internship in this year, we do not have complete records to report where these students worked for their internships. This is a flaw in our record keeping that will be corrected.

2019-2020:

Since all of our graduating seniors have completed at least one internship, we have met our benchmark.

2020-2021:

All graduating seniors have completed at least one internship. We have met our benchmark. We will continue to require every student to take an internship.

2021-2022:

All 28 graduating seniors have completed at least one internship. We will continue to require an internship for all students and develop relationships with organizations that offer internships.