2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Program Fact Book
Tags: InTASC- Instructional Practice (IP); CAEP Standards 4.1, 4.2, 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, and the 8
annual reporting measures: persistence data. Annual Report- Section 4 [4.2]



# 2018 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAM FACT BOOK

Prepared by the Louisiana Board of Regents

**April 2019** 

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents	1
Introduction	3
Listing of Teacher Preparation Programs and Web Sites	4
State, Regional, and National Approval/Accreditation of Teacher Preparation Programs	6
Percentage of Program Completers Passing Praxis Assessments For Teacher Licensure – Undergraduate Programs (2015-16)	8
Percentage of Program Completers Passing Praxis Assessments For Teacher Licensure – Alternate Programs (2015-16)	10
Median Grade Point Average of Candidates Entering and Completing Teacher Preparation Programs – Undergraduate Programs (2015-16)	11
Median Grade Point Averages of Candidates Entering and Completing Teacher Preparation Programs – Alternate Programs (2015-16)	12
Number of Teacher Candidates Enrolled and Teacher Candidate Completers – Undergraduate Programs (2015-16)	13
Number of Teacher Candidates Enrolled and Teacher Candidate Completers – Alternate Programs (2015-16)	14
Gender for Enrolled Teacher Candidates – Undergraduate and Alternate Programs (2015-16)	15
Races for Enrolled Undergraduate Teacher Candidates – Undergraduate Programs (2015-16)	16
Races for Enrolled Alternate Teacher Candidates – Alternate Programs (2015-16)	17
Number of Hours for Clinical Experiences for Teacher Preparation Programs – Undergraduate Programs (2015-16)	18
Number of Hours for Clinical Experiences for Teacher Preparation Programs – Alternate Programs (2015-16)	19
Number and Percentage of 2015-16 Completers Who Taught in Public Schools in Louisiana During 2016-17	20
Persistence in Teaching in Public Schools – Undergraduate	21
Persistence in Teaching in Public Schools – Alternate	22

### TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D.)

Means and Effectiveness Levels for Compass Evaluation Scores By Undergraduate Programs for First and Second Year Teachers Who Taught in 2014-15, 2015-16, and 2016-17	24
Means and Effectiveness Levels for Compass Evaluation Scores By Alternate	
Programs for First and Second Year Teachers Who Taught During 2014-15, 2015-16, and 2016-17	28
Means and Effectiveness Levels for Value Added Scores by Undergraduate and	
Alternate Programs for First and Second Year Teachers Who Taught	
During 2014-15, 2015-16, and/or 2016-17	38
Appendix A: Teacher Preparation Program 2020 Key Effectiveness Indicators	47
Appendix B: 2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Dashboard Template	49

#### 2018 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAM FACT BOOK

#### INTRODUCTION

Louisiana has been a state in which teacher preparation programs have been committed to preparing effective new teachers whose students demonstrate gains in student learning. From 1999-2010, all teacher preparation programs in Louisiana participated in a teacher preparation transformation which involved the Board of Elementary and Secondary Education creating more rigorous teacher certification requirements, all teacher preparation programs partnering with PK-12 school/district personnel to redesign all teacher preparation programs, all programs being redesigned to address state and national teacher and student content standards, all programs increasing the number of clock hours for candidates to be engaged in clinical experiences, and all redesigned programs being evaluated by national experts. All pre-redesign programs were terminated and all redesigned programs that met the more rigorous state requirements were approved for implementation by the Louisiana Board of Regents and Board of Elementary and Secondary Education. Available data were collected and it was determined that needs originally identified in 1999-2000 were being addressed as a result of the redesign efforts.

Louisiana has now engaged in a new transformation that addresses new needs that extend beyond the work that occurred for the first transformation. Several new needs involve the development of greater collaboration between teacher preparation programs and schools/districts ensuring that candidates are provided high quality clinical experiences while being supervised by highly effective teacher mentors, providing a teacher preparation curriculum that involves in-depth instruction to prepare new teachers' students to successfully master college and career-ready standards, ensuring that candidates can address competencies identified by the state, implementing full year residencies, and reporting accurate information about teacher preparation programs to the public.

The creation of the 2018 Teacher Preparation Data Dashboards and Teacher Preparation Program Fact Book are two endeavors that are being voluntarily implemented to address the need to provide the public with access to outcome data about teacher preparation programs in Louisiana. The Teacher Preparation Data Dashboards provide a short summary of relevant data about individual teacher preparation programs in Louisiana. The Teacher Preparation Program Fact Book provides listings of data found in the individual data dashboards plus additional contextual data. All teacher preparation programs discussed in the Teacher Preparation Program Fact Book have voluntarily signed data agreements with the Louisiana Board of Regents and Louisiana Department of Education to share data about their candidates and to have aggregate level data reported to the public in the Teacher Preparation Data Dashboards.

Outcome data in the 2018 fact book pertains to cohorts of 2015-16 program completers or earlier completers who taught in Louisiana's schools during 2016-17 or previous years. New Teacher Preparation Data Dashboards and fact books will be created in the future as new cohorts of teacher candidates complete programs and teach in public schools in Louisiana.

Louisiana obtained permission from Teacher Preparation Analytics to use a structure called 2020 Key Effectiveness Indicators (See Appendix A) developed by Michael Allen, Edward Crowe, and Charles Coble, co-partners of Teacher Preparation Analytics to develop the Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Dashboards (<a href="http://teacherpreparationanalytics.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/KEI-Guide-12-15-16.pdf">http://teacherpreparationanalytics.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/KEI-Guide-12-15-16.pdf</a>). This work was originally commissioned by the Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation.

To access all individual Teacher Preparation Data Dashboards, please go to the following URL: <a href="https://regents.la.gov/divisions/planning-research-and-academic-affairs/academic-affairs/teacher-education-initiatives/teacher-preparation-data-dashboards-fact-book/">https://regents.la.gov/divisions/planning-research-and-academic-affairs/academic-affairs/teacher-education-initiatives/teacher-preparation-data-dashboards-fact-book/</a>. See Appendix B for a copy of the data dashboard template.

### LISTING OF TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAMS AND WEB SITES

A listing has been provided of the teacher preparation programs in Louisiana that are identified in the Teacher Preparation Program Fact Book and web sites for information about the programs.

Teacher Preparation Programs Teacher Preparation Programs					
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES					
Louisiana State University System					
Louisiana State University at					
Alexandria	http://www.lsua.edu/academic/Departments/Education				
Louisiana State University and A&M	http://www.lsu.edu/chse/education/				
College					
Louisiana State University at	http://www.lsus.edu/academics/college-of-business-				
Shreveport	education-and-human-development/school-of-education				
	Iniversity of Louisiana System				
Grambling State University	http://www.gram.edu/academics/majors/education/				
Louisiana Tech University	http://education.latech.edu/				
McNeese State University	http://www.mcneese.edu/education				
Nicholls State University	http://www.nicholls.edu/education/				
Northwestern State University	http://education.nsula.edu/				
	http://www.southeastern.edu/acad_research/colleges/edu_hd/ind				
Southeastern Louisiana University	<u>ex.html</u>				
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	http://coe.louisiana.edu/				
University of Louisiana at Monroe	http://ulm.edu/education/index.html				
University of New Orleans	http://www.uno.edu/coehd/index.aspx				
	Southern University System				
Southern University and A&M College	http://www.subr.edu/index.cfm/page/229				
Southern University at New Orleans	http://www.suno.edu/page/colleges-schools-education-				
	<u>human-development</u>				
	PRIVATE UNIVERSITIES				
Centenary College	https://www.centenary.edu/academics/departments-				
	schools/education/				
Louisiana College	https://www.lacollege.edu/academics/undergraduate-				
	programs/school-of-education/				
Loyola University	http://cas.loyno.edu/teacher-education				
University of Holy Cross	http://uhcno.edu/academics/calendars-catalogs-and-				
Tulane University	https://tulane.edu/academics/undergraduate-education				
Xavier University of Louisiana	https://www.xula.edu/division?id=education_and_counseling				
124.101 CHI (CISIC) OI DOGISHIN	PRIVATE PROVIDERS				
Ascension Parish School	http://apsb.org/page/teach-ascension				
Board	maps of a page teach assembled				
Caddo Parish School Board	http://www.caddo.org				
Iteach Louisiana	https://www.iteach.net				

### LISTING OF TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAMS AND WEB SITES (CONT'D)

A listing has been provided of the teacher preparation programs in Louisiana that are identified in the Teacher Preparation Program Fact Book and web sites for information about the programs.

	PRIVATE PROVIDERS
Louisiana Resource Center for Educators	http://LRCEteach.org/
Relay Graduate School of Education	https://relay.edu
St. Bernard Parish School Board	https://www.sbpsb.org/
The New Teacher Project	https://tntp.org/

## STATE, REGIONAL, AND NATIONAL APPROVAL/ACCREDITATION OF TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAMS

A listing has been provided of the approval/accreditation status of all teacher preparation programs in Louisiana. All teacher preparation programs listed have obtained state approval from the Board of Elementary and Secondary Education (BESE) for candidates who complete the programs to be certified to teach in Louisiana if they meet all state certification requirements. All public and private universities are regionally accredited as degree-granting higher education institutions by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges (SACSCOC). All public universities listed in the document have degrees that have been approved by the Board of Regents (BoR). All public and private universities, except for Loyola University, have obtained national program accreditation from the National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE), Teacher Education Accreditation Council (TEAC) or Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP). Private providers are only required to obtain approval from BESE to operate teacher preparation programs in Louisiana.

	Types of Approval and Accreditation			
Teacher Preparation Programs	State Approval by BESE	State Approval by BoR	Regional Accreditation (SACSCOC)	Program Accreditation (NCATE/ TEAC/CAEP)
PUBLIC AND PRIVATE UNIVERSITIES				
Grambling State University	Approved	Approved	Accredited	Accredited
Louisiana State University at				
Alexandria	Approved	Approved	Accredited	Accredited
Louisiana State University and A&M				
College	Approved	Approved	Accredited	Accredited
Louisiana State University at				
Shreveport	Approved	Approved	Accredited	Accredited
Louisiana Tech University	Approved	Approved	Accredited	Accredited
McNeese State University	Approved	Approved	Accredited	Accredited
Nicholls State University	Approved	Approved	Accredited	Accredited
Northwestern State University	Approved	Approved	Accredited	Accredited
Southeastern Louisiana University	Approved	Approved	Accredited	Accredited
Southern University and A&M				
College	Approved	Approved	Accredited	Accredited
Southern University at New Orleans	Approved	Approved	Accredited	Accredited
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	Approved	Approved	Accredited	Accredited
University of Louisiana at Monroe	Approved	Approved	Accredited	Accredited
University of New Orleans	Approved	Approved	Accredited	Accredited
	PRIVATE UNI	VERSITIES		
Centenary College	Approved	N/A	Accredited	Accredited
Louisiana College	Approved	N/A	Accredited	Accredited
Tulane University	Approved	N/A	Accredited	Accredited
Loyola University	Approved	N/A	Accredited	Not Accredited
University of Holy Cross	Approved	N/A	Accredited	Accredited
Xavier University of Louisiana	Approved	N/A	Accredited	Accredited

# STATE, REGIONAL, AND NATIONAL APPROVAL/ACCREDITATION OF TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAMS (CONT'D)

PRIVATE PROVIDERS				
Ascension Parish School				
Board	Approved	N/A	N/A	N/A
Caddo Parish School Board	Approved	N/A	N/A	N/A
Iteach Louisiana	Approved	N/A	N/A	Accredited
Louisiana Resource Center for				
Educators	Approved	N/A	N/A	N/A
Relay Graduate School	Approved	N/A	N/A	N/A
St. Bernard Parish School				
Board	Approved	N/A	N/A	N/A
The New Teacher Project	Approved	N/A	N/A	N/A

## PERCENTAGE OF PROGRAM COMPLETERS PASSING PRAXIS ASSESSMENTS FOR TEACHER LICENSURE

### UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS (2015-16)

State law requires all undergraduate teacher candidates to pass an assessment approved by the State to be admitted to a teacher preparation program. All teacher candidates must pass the Core Academic Skills for Educators assessments to enter teacher preparation programs and must obtain the required cut-off scores that are required by the Board of Elementary and Secondary Education (BESE) for new teachers to obtain a license to teach in Louisiana. Teacher candidates may also obtain an ACT composite score of 22 or a SAT combined verbal and math score of 1100 or higher (New SAT) or 1030 or higher (Pre-March 2016 SAT) in lieu of the Praxis I assessments. State law also requires undergraduate teacher candidates to take all remaining State licensure assessments (i.e., Praxis Subject Areas; Praxis Professional Knowledge) prior to graduation; however, the law does not require teacher candidates to pass the assessments. Individual universities have created policies that require all teacher candidates to meet all Louisiana teacher licensure requirements to obtain a degree in teacher education. Some universities allow students to appeal the university policy. Assessment results indicate that 100% of all new teachers who completed undergraduate teacher preparation programs in 2015-16 in Louisiana passed all licensure assessments to become certified to teach in Louisiana. Additional information about Praxis passage rates can be found in Section V within the 2017 Louisiana Title II Higher Education Act Report at the following URL: https://title2.ed.gov/Public/Report/StateHome.aspx.

	Percentage of Undergraduate Program Completers Who				
Undergraduate Teacher	Passed the Teacher Licensure Assessments (2015-16)				
Preparation Programs	Core	Content	Professional	All Praxis	
	Academic	Knowledge	Knowledge	Assessments	
	Skills				
PUBL	IC AND PRIVATI	E UNIVERSITIE	ES		
Grambling State University	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Louisiana State University					
at Alexandria	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Louisiana State University and					
A&M College	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Louisiana State University					
at Shreveport	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Louisiana Tech University	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Loyola University	100%	100%	100%	100%	
McNeese State University	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Nicholls State University	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Northwestern State University	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Southeastern Louisiana University	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Southern University and					
A&M College	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Southern University at New Orleans	100%	100%	100%	100%	
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	100%	100%	100%	100%	
University of Louisiana at Monroe	100%	100%	100%	100%	
University of New Orleans	100%	100%	100%	100%	
PRIVATE UNIVERSITIES					
Louisiana College	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Loyola University	100%	100%	100%	100%	
University of Holy Cross	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Xavier University of Louisiana	100%	100%	100%	100%	

### PERCENTAGE OF PROGRAM COMPLETERS PASSING PRAXIS ASSESSMENTS FOR TEACHER LICENSURE

## ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (2015-16)

Board of Elementary and Secondary Education (BESE) policy requires all alternate teacher candidates to hold a baccalaureate degree and pass the Core Academic Skills assessments and Subject Area assessments to enter teacher preparation programs. They must obtain the cut-off scores required by BESE for new teachers to be certified to teach. Teacher candidates may also have a Master's Degree or obtain an ACT composite score of 22 or a SAT combined verbal and math score 1100 or higher (New SAT) or 1030 or higher (pre-March 2016 SAT) in lieu of the Praxis I assessments. Additional information about Praxis passage rates can be found in Section V within the 2017 Louisiana Title II Higher Education Act Report at the following URL: <a href="https://title2.ed.gov/Public/Report/StateHome.aspx">https://title2.ed.gov/Public/Report/StateHome.aspx</a>

	Percentage of Program Completers Who Passed the Alternate Teacher Licensure Assessments (2015-16)			
Alternate Teacher Preparation Programs	Core Academic Skills	Content Knowledge	Professional Knowledge	All Praxis Assessment
	PUBLIC UNI	VERSITIES		
Grambling State University	100%	100%	100%	100%
Louisiana State University				
at Alexandria	100%	100%	100%	100%
Louisiana State University and				
A&M College	100%	100%	100%	100%
Louisiana State University				
at Shreveport	100%	100%	100%	100%
Louisiana Tech University	100%	100%	100%	100%
McNeese State University	100%	100%	100%	100%
Nicholls State University	100%	100%	100%	100%
Northwestern State University	100%	100%	100%	100%
Southeastern Louisiana University	100%	100%	100%	100%
Southern University and				
A&M College	100%	100%	100%	100%
Southern University at New Orleans	100%	100%	100%	100%
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	100%	100%	100%	100%
University of Louisiana at Monroe	100%	100%	100%	100%
University of New Orleans	100%	100%	100%	100%
	PRIVATE UN	IVERSITIES		
Centenary College	100%	100%	100%	100%
Louisiana College	100%	100%	100%	100%
Tulane University	100%	100%	100%	100%
University of Holy Cross	100%	100%	100%	100%
Xavier University of Louisiana	100%	100%	100%	100%
	PRIVATE PI	ROVIDERS		
Ascension Parish School Board	100%	100%	100%	100%
Caddo Parish School Board	100%	100%	100%	100%
Iteach Louisiana	100%	100%	100%	100%

## PERCENTAGE OF PROGRAM COMPLETERS PASSING PRAXIS ASSESSMENTS FOR TEACHER LICENSURE (CONT'D)

## ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (2015-16)

Board of Elementary and Secondary Education (BESE) policy requires all alternate teacher candidates to hold a baccalaureate degree and pass the Core Academic Skills assessments and Subject Area assessments to enter teacher preparation programs. They must obtain the cut-off scores required by BESE for new teachers to be certified to teach. Teacher candidates may also have a Master's Degree or obtain an ACT composite score of 22 or a SAT combined verbal and math score 1100 or higher (New SAT) or 1030 or higher (pre-March 2016 SAT) in lieu of the Praxis I assessments. Additional information about Praxis passage rates can be found in Section V within the 2017 Louisiana Title II Higher Education Act Report at the following URL: <a href="https://title2.ed.gov/Public/Report/StateHome.aspx">https://title2.ed.gov/Public/Report/StateHome.aspx</a>

PRIVATE PROVIDERS					
Louisiana Resource Center					
for Educators	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Relay Graduate School	100%	100%	100%	100%	
St. Bernard Parish School	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Board					
The New Teacher Project	100%	100%	100%	100%	

### MEDIAN GRADE POINT AVERAGE OF CANDIDATES ENTERING AND COMPLETING TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAMS

## UNDERGRADAUTE PROGRAMS (2015-16)

All undergraduate teacher preparation programs in Louisiana require a minimum Grade Point Average of 2.5 for candidates to be admitted to a teacher preparation program. The median Grade Point Average of 2015-16 undergraduate program completers ranged from a low of 2.60 to a high of 3.55 when they entered their teacher preparation programs. Candidates generally enter their teacher preparation programs during their sophomore or junior years. The median Grade Point Average of 2015-16 program completers ranged from a low of 3.00 to a high of 3.60 at the point when candidates completed their teacher preparation programs. A 4.0 is the highest value for a Grade Point Average.

Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Programs	Median Grade Point Average of Candidates Entering Teacher Preparation Program	Median Grade Point Average of Candidates Completing Teacher Preparation Program			
PUBLIC	UNIVERSITIES				
Grambling State University	3.24	3.31			
Louisiana State University at Alexandria	3.02	3.26			
Louisiana State University and A&M College	3.15	3.38			
Louisiana State University at Shreveport	3.20	3.27			
Louisiana Tech University	3.30	3.30			
McNeese State University	3.15	3.43			
Nicholls State University	3.00	3.35			
Northwestern State University	3.55	3.60			
Southeastern Louisiana University	3.11	3.35			
Southern University and A&M College	2.60	3.00			
Southern University at New Orleans	2.97	3.48			
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	3.22	3.22			
University of Louisiana at Monroe	3.20	3.43			
University of New Orleans	3.26	3.26			
PRIVATE UNIVERSITIES					
Louisiana College	3.30	3.50			
Loyola University	3.15	3.31			
University of Holy Cross	3.29	3.40			
Xavier University of Louisiana	3.49	3.26			

### MEDIAN GRADE POINT AVERAGE OF CANDIDATES ENTERING AND COMPLETING TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAMS

#### **ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (2015-16)**

The median Grade Point Average of 2015-16 alternate program completers ranged from a low of 2.40 to a high of 3.90 when they entered their teacher preparation programs. The median Grade Point Average of 2015-16 program completers ranged from a low of 2.70 to a high of 4.00 when they completed their programs. A 4.0 is the highest value for a Grade Point Average. Private providers do not offer college credit and do not assign grades while candidates are enrolled in the alternate programs; thus, they do not calculate new Grade Point Averages at the end of the program.

	Median Grade Point	Median Grade Point				
Alternate	Average of	Average of Candidates				
Teacher Preparation Programs	Candidates Entering	Completing Teacher				
reaction reparation rograms	Teacher Preparation	Preparation				
	Program	Program				
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES						
Grambling State University	2.91	3.71				
Louisiana State University at Alexandria	2.91	3.18				
Louisiana State University and A&M College	3.29	3.85				
Louisiana State University at Shreveport	3.17	3.02				
Louisiana Tech University	3.00	3.92				
McNeese State University	3.17	3.49				
Nicholls State University	3.02	4.00				
Northwestern State University	3.09	3.37				
Southeastern Louisiana University	3.25	3.88				
Southern University and A&M College	2.40	2.70				
Southern University at New Orleans	3.07	3.72				
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	3.07	3.18				
University of Louisiana at Monroe	3.00	3.94				
University of New Orleans	3.14	3.81				
PRIVATE	UNIVERSITIES					
Centenary College	3.19	3.93				
Louisiana College	2.97	3.96				
Tulane University	3.03	3.68				
University of Holy Cross	3.03	3.80				
Xavier University of Louisiana	3.90	3.88				
PRIVAT	E PROVIDERS					
Ascension Parish School Board	3.27	N/A				
Caddo Parish School Board	2.90	N/A				
Iteach Louisiana	3.01	N/A				
Louisiana Resource Center for Educators	3.02	N/A				
Relay Graduate School	3.20	N/A				
St. Bernard Parish School Board	3.10	N/A				
The New Teacher Project	3.27	N/A				

### NUMBER OF TEACHER CANDIDATES ENROLLED AND TEACHER CANDIDATE COMPLETERS

### UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS (2015-16)

Teacher candidates must meet criteria identified by the State and universities to be admitted and officially enrolled in an undergraduate teacher preparation program. The criteria includes passage of the Praxis I assessment or obtain an ACT composite score of 22 or a SAT combined verbal and math score 1100 or higher (New SAT) or 1030 or higher (pre-March 2016 SAT) in lieu of the Praxis I assessments. They must also obtain a 2.5 or higher GPA and meet other entry requirements. Entry usually occurs during a student's sophomore or junior year. The following chart identifies the number of candidates who were officially enrolled in undergraduate teacher preparation programs and the number of additional students who completed undergraduate teacher preparation programs in 2015-16.

Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Programs	Teacher Candidates Enrolled in Program	Teacher Candidates Completed Program	Total Enrolled and Completed		
PUBLIC V	UNIVERSITIES				
Grambling State University	26	9	35		
Louisiana State University at Alexandria	46	17	63		
Louisiana State University and A&M College	739	175	914		
Louisiana State University at Shreveport	90	41	131		
Louisiana Tech University	74	82	156		
McNeese State University	214	85	299		
Nicholls State University	113	65	178		
Northwestern State University	115	59	174		
Southeastern Louisiana University	422	153	575		
Southern University and A&M College	35	7	42		
Southern University at New Orleans	13	4	17		
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	269	180	449		
University of Louisiana at Monroe	131	66	197		
University of New Orleans	133	40	173		
PRIVATE UNIVERSITIES					
Louisiana College	8	9	17		
Loyola University	6	6	12		
University of Holy Cross	4	21	25		
Xavier University of Louisiana	8	4	12		

### NUMBER OF TEACHER CANDIDATES ENROLLED AND TEACHER CANDIDATE COMPLETERS

#### **ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (2015-16)**

Teacher candidates must meet criteria identified by the State and teacher preparation programs to be admitted and officially enrolled in an alternate teacher preparation program. The criteria includes possession of a baccalaureate degree from a regionally accredited institution and passage of Praxis Basic Skills. Teacher candidates may have a Master's Degree or obtain an ACT composite score of 22 or a SAT combined verbal and math score 1100 or higher (New SAT) or 1030 or higher (pre-March 2016 SAT) in lieu of the Praxis I assessments. The candidates must pass Praxis Subject Area assessments, have a required GPA, and meet other entry requirements. Candidates enter one of three alternate pathway: Master of Arts in Teaching; Practitioner Teacher Program; or Certification-Only Program. Most alternate candidates teach full time as a teacher of record while being enrolled in their alternate certification programs. The following chart identifies the number of candidates who were officially enrolled in alternate teacher preparation programs and the number of additional students who completed alternate teacher preparation programs in 2015-16.

Alternate Teacher Preparation Programs	Teacher Candidates Enrolled in Program	Teacher Candidates Completed Program	Total Enrolled and Completed						
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES									
Grambling State University	31	11	42						
Louisiana State University at Alexandria	14	6	20						
Louisiana State University and A&M College	34	12	46						
Louisiana State University at Shreveport	23	11	34						
Louisiana Tech University	68	44	112						
McNeese State University	67	38	105						
Nicholls State University	85	29	114						
Northwestern State University	216	82	298						
Southeastern Louisiana University	62	20	82						
Southern University and A&M College	12	2	14						
Southern University at New Orleans	25	13	38						
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	73	37	110						
University of Louisiana at Monroe	73	35	108						
University of New Orleans	132	45	177						
PRIVATE	UNIVERSITIES								
Centenary College	38	25	63						
Louisiana College	119	103	222						
Tulane University	52	18	70						
University of Holy Cross	26	23	49						
Xavier University of Louisiana	21	13	34						
PRIVAT	E PROVIDERS								
Ascension Parish School Board	18	2	20						
Caddo Parish School Board	1	8	9						
Iteach Louisiana	438	280	718						
Louisiana Resource Center for Educators	234	88	322						
Relay Graduate School	5	36	41						
St. Bernard Parish School Board	16	28	44						
The New Teacher Project	278	134	412						

# GENDER FOR ENROLLED TEACHER CANDIDATES UNDERGRADUATE AND ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (2015-16)

The number of males and females enrolled in undergraduate and alternate programs in 2015-16 is provided below

Too show Duonoustion Duomous	Underg	raduate	Alternate				
Teacher Preparation Programs	Enrolled Males	Students Females	Stud Males	Females			
PUBL	PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES						
Grambling State University	3	23	11	20			
Louisiana State University at Alexandria	4	42	2	12			
Louisiana State University and A&M College	129	610	4	30			
Louisiana State University at Shreveport	14	76	5	18			
Louisiana Tech University	12	62	9	59			
McNeese State University	36	178	20	47			
Nicholls State University	18	95	18	67			
Northwestern State University	27	88	46	170			
Southeastern Louisiana University	63	359	20	42			
Southern University and A&M College	11	24	2	10			
Southern University at New Orleans	1	12	9	16			
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	34	235	17	56			
University of Louisiana at Monroe	16	115	14	59			
University of New Orleans	26	107	36	96			
	TE UNIVERSI	TIES					
Centenary College			11	27			
Louisiana College	1	7	43	76			
Loyola University	3	3					
Tulane University			15	37			
University of Holy Cross	0	4	5	21			
Xavier University of Louisiana	1	7	7	14			
	ATE PROVIDI	ERS		T			
Ascension Parish School Board			4	14			
Caddo Parish School Board			0	1			
Iteach Louisiana			118	320			
Louisiana Resource Center for Educators			49	185			
Relay Graduate School			2	3			
St. Bernard Parish School Board			6	10			
The New Teacher Project			94	184			

### RACES FOR ENROLLED UNDERGRADUATE TEACHER CANDIDATES

### **UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS (2015-16)**

The number of teacher candidates by race enrolled in undergraduate teacher preparation programs in 2015-16 is provided below.

Undergraduate				Race	:		
Teacher Preparation Programs	Hispanic	Indian	Asian	Black	Islander	White	Multi- Racial
PUBLIC	UNIVER	SITIES					
Grambling State University	0	0	0	24	0	2	0
Louisiana State University at Alexandria	1	0	2	1	0	42	0
Louisiana State University and A&M College	36	3	11	69	2	604	13
Louisiana State University at Shreveport	1	0	0	7	0	78	2
Louisiana Tech University	0	0	0	3	0	71	0
McNeese State University	2	0	0	12	0	196	4
Nicholls State University	2	0	1	9	0	101	0
Northwestern State University	5	1	0	16	0	88	3
Southeastern Louisiana University	26	2	1	31	0	332	29
Southern University and A&M College	0	0	0	34	0	1	0
Southern University at New Orleans	0	0	0	12	0	1	0
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	7	4	4	23	0	224	7
University of Louisiana at Monroe	5	0	2	14	0	108	2
University of New Orleans	8	2	6	19	0	102	4
PRIVATE U	JNIVER	SITIES					
Louisiana College	0	0	0	0	0	8	0
Loyola University	0	0	1	2	0	3	0
University of Holy Cross	1	0	0	0	0	3	0
Xavier University of Louisiana	0	0	0	7	0	0	1

### RACES FOR ENROLLED ALTERNATE TEACHER CANDIDATES

### **ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (2015-16)**

The number of teacher candidates by race enrolled in alternate teacher preparation programs in 2015-16 is provided below.

Alternate				Race			
Teacher Preparation Programs	Hispanic		Asian	Black	Islander	White	Multi- Racial
PUBLIC U	UNIVERS	SITIES					
Grambling State University	0	0	0	30	0	1	0
Louisiana State University at Alexandria	1	0	0	1	0	12	0
Louisiana State University and A&M College	1	0	1	1	0	31	1
Louisiana State University at Shreveport	1	0	1	3	0	18	0
Louisiana Tech University	0	0	2	10	0	55	1
McNeese State University	3	1	0	10	0	53	0
Nicholls State University	1	0	2	11	0	68	3
Northwestern State University	8	3	1	24	0	173	7
Southeastern Louisiana University	7	0	1	6	0	47	1
Southern University and A&M College	0	0	0	12	0	0	0
Southern University at New Orleans	0	0	0	14	0	10	1
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	0	1	0	8	0	60	4
University of Louisiana at Monroe	2	0	0	11	0	58	2
University of New Orleans	6	1	2	13	0	111	5
PRIVATE	UNIVER	SITIES					
Centenary College	1	0	0	0	2	35	0
Louisiana College	2	0	1	27	1	66	6
Tulane University	2	0	1	4	0	40	0
University of Holy Cross	4	0	0	3	0	19	0
Xavier University of Louisiana	1	0	0	11	0	8	1
Ascension Parish School Board							
	_	_	_		_	_	_
Caddo Parish School Board	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Iteach Louisiana	5	3	3	90	0	306	31
Louisiana Resource Center for Educators	7	0	1	42	0	163	3
Relay Graduate School	1	0	0	2	0	2	0
St. Bernard Parish School Board	1	0	0	1	0	15	0
The New Teacher Project	15	0	5	70	0	156	16

### NUMBER OF HOURS FOR CLINICAL EXPERIENCES FOR TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAMS

## UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS (2015-16)

The Board of Elementary and Secondary Education (BESE) requires 2015-16 teacher candidates to spend a minimum of 270 clock hours in student teaching, with at least 180 of such hours spent in actual teaching. BESE requires that a substantial portion of the 180 hours be on an all-day basis. In addition to the student teaching experience, BESE states that students should be provided actual teaching experience (in addition to observations) in classroom settings during the sophomore, junior, and senior years within schools with varied socioeconomic and cultural characteristics. It is recommended by BESE that preservice teachers be provided a minimum of 180 hours of direct teaching experience in field-based settings prior to student teaching. Student teaching generally occurs during one semester with a student being supervised by an experienced classroom teacher.

Information provided below identifies the actual number of clock hours required by each teacher preparation program prior to student teaching and during student teaching. The actual clock hours required by undergraduate programs for student teaching ranges from 400 to 600 clock hours which exceeds the number of clock hours required by BESE. The actual number of clock hours required by undergraduate programs prior to student teaching ranges from 180 to 330 which also exceed the number of clock hours identified by BESE.

	Clock Hours	S	tudent Teaching						
Undergraduate	Prior to		Number of	Total					
Teacher Preparation Programs	Student	Number of	Clock Hours	Number of					
	Teaching	Weeks	per Week	Clock Hours					
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES									
Grambling State University	180	14	35	490					
Louisiana State University at									
Alexandria	198	14	35	490					
Louisiana State University and A&M									
College	180	14	35	490					
Louisiana State University at									
Shreveport	180	15	30	450					
Louisiana Tech University	330	10	40	450					
McNeese State University	273	14	30	420					
Nicholls State University	180	15	40	600					
Northwestern State University	260	15	35	525					
Southeastern Louisiana University	180	15	40	600					
Southern University and A&M									
College	180	14	35	490					
Southern University at New Orleans	180	10	40	400					
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	180	14	35	490					
University of Louisiana at Monroe	180	15	35	525					
University of New Orleans	180	15	35	525					
PRIVATE UNIVERSITIES									
Louisiana College	215	16	30	480					
Loyola University	180	15	35	525					
University of Holy Cross	240	15	35	525					
Xavier University of Louisiana	180	15	35	525					

# NUMBER OF HOURS FOR CLINICAL EXPERIENCES FOR TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAMS CONT'D

#### **ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (2015-16)**

**Student Teaching Clock Hours** Number of Alternate Prior to Total **Teacher Preparation Programs** Student **Clock Hours** Number of Number of **Teaching** Weeks per Week **Clock Hours PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES Grambling State University** Student Teaching Not Offered Louisiana State University at 127 14 490 Alexandria 35 Louisiana State University and A&M 180 22.29 35 780 Louisiana State University at Shreveport 140 15 30 450 Louisiana Tech University 10 40 400 180 McNeese State University 273 14 30 420 Nicholls State University Student Teaching Not Offered Northwestern State University Student Teaching Not Offered Southeastern Louisiana University 180 15 40 600 Southern University and A&M 14 490 180 College 35 Southern University at New Orleans 180 10 40 400 University of Louisiana at Lafayette 14 35 490 180 University of Louisiana at Monroe 180 15 35 525 University of New Orleans 15 35 180 525 PRIVATE UNIVERSITIES Centenary College 97 35 490 Louisiana College Student Teaching Not Offered **Tulane University** 200 520 15 40 University of Holy Cross 180 15 35 490 Xavier University of Louisiana 180 15 35 525 PRIVATE PROVIDERS Ascension Parish School Student Teaching Not Offered Caddo Parish School Board Student Teaching Not Offered Student Teaching Not Offered Iteach Louisiana Louisiana Resource Center for Student Teaching Not Offered Educators Relay Graduate School Student Teaching Not Offered St. Bernard Parish School Student Teaching Not Offered Board The New Teacher Project Student Teaching Not Offered

# NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF 2015-16 COMPLETERS WHO TAUGHT IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS IN LOUISIANA DURING 2016-17

The numbers of undergraduate and alternate completers who completed in 2015-16 and taught in public schools in Louisiana in 2016-17 are listed below. **Teacher candidates completers who began teaching in private schools in Louisiana or began teaching in other states during 2016-17 are not listed below.** 

listed below.	II w d a u a u a du	ata Camplatana	A 14 ann a 4 a	Alternate Completers			
		ate Completers	<u> </u>				
Teacher Preparation Programs	Number & Percentage	Number & Percentage	Number & Percentage	Number & Percentage			
Teacher Freparation Frograms	Completed in	Teaching in	Completed in	Teaching in			
	2015-16	Public Schools	2015-16	Public Schools			
	2010 10	in 2016-17	2010 10	in 2016-17			
	PUBLIC UNIV	ERSITIES					
Grambling State University	100% (n=9)	44% (n=4)	100% (n=11)	100% (n=11)			
Louisiana State University at	100% (n=17)	88% (n=15)	100% (n=6)	100% (n=6)			
Alexandria							
Louisiana State University and	100% (n=175)	47% (n=82)	100% (n=12)	50% (n=6)			
A&M College							
Louisiana State University at	100% (n=41)	81% (n=33)	100% (n=11)	82% (n=9)			
Shreveport	1000( ( 02)	(20/ ( 51)	10007 ( 44)	((0) ( 20)			
Louisiana Tech University	100% (n=82)	62% (n=51)	100% (n=44)	66% (n=29)			
McNeese State University	100% (n=85)	79% (n=67)	100% (n=38)	76% (n=29)			
Nicholls State University	100% (n=65)	79% (n=51)	100% (n=29)	83% (n=24)			
Northwestern State University	100% (n=59)	67% (n=39)	100% (n=82)	85% (n=70)			
Southeastern Louisiana University	100% (n=153)	82% (n=126)	100% (n=20)	65% (n=13)			
Southern University and A&M	100% (n=7)	57% (n=4)	100% (n=2)	100% (N=2)			
College	1000 ( 1)	1000 ( 1)	1000 ( 10)				
Southern University at New	100% (n=4)	100% (n=4)	100% (n=13)	7707 (* 10)			
Orleans	1000/ (** 190)	7007 ( 141)	1000/ (** 27)	77% (n=10)			
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	100% (n=180)	78% (n=141)	100% (n=37)	92% (n=34)			
University of Louisiana at Monroe	100% (n=66)	62% (n=41)	100% (n=35)	77% (n=27)			
University of New Orleans	100% (n=40)	70% (n=28)	100% (n=35) 100% (n=45)	71% (n=32)			
	PRIVATE UNI	` ′	100 % (n=13)	7170 (H=32)			
Centenary College		, LIKOTITES	100% (n=25)	52% (n=13)			
Louisiana College	100% (n=9)	89 (n=8)	100% (n=103)	87% (n=90)			
Loyola University	100% (n=6)	50% (n=3					
University of Holy Cross	100% (n=21)	62% (n=13)	100% (n=23)	30% (n=7)			
Xavier University of Louisiana	100% (n=4)	100% (n=4)	100% (n=13)	92% (n=12)			
Advice University of Louisiana	100 % (II=4)	, ,	100 % (II=13)	72 /6 (H=12)			
Ascension Parish School			100% (n=2)	100% (n=1)			
Roard			100 /0 (11 2)	. ,			
Caddo Parish School Board			100% (n=8)	100% (n=8)			
Iteach Louisiana			100% (n=280)	86% (n=240)			
Louisiana Resource Center for			100% (n=88)	88% (n=77)			
Educators							
Relay Graduate School			100% (n=36)	89% (n=32)			
St. Bernard Parish School			100% (n=28)	89% (n=25)			
Board The New Teacher Project			1000/ ( 124)	710( ( 05)			
The New Teacher Project			100% (n=134)	71% (n=95)			

#### PERSISTENCE IN TEACHING IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Undergraduate and alternate program completers have the option of teaching in public schools in Louisiana, private schools in Louisiana, or schools in other states. It is not possible to track the retention of completers in private schools or out-of-state schools. The following two charts identify only the number and percentage of a cohort of program completers in 2011-12 who taught in public schools in Louisiana during the five year time period. Completers not reflected in the following chart may be teaching in private schools in Louisiana or teaching in other states.

#### **UNDERGRADUATE**

	Pe	rsistence in Tea	ching in Public S	chools – 2010-	11 Completers					
Undergraduate	Number of	Number &								
Teacher Preparation Programs	2011-12	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage				
reacher rreparation rrograms	Completers	Teaching in 2012-13	Teaching in 2013-14	Teaching in 2014-15	Teaching in 2015-16	Teaching in 2016-17				
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES										
	100%	78%	78%	78%	67%	56%				
Grambling State University	(n=9)	(n=7)	(n=7)	(n=7)	(n=6)	(n=5)				
Granioning state emperate	100%	86%	86%	79%	86%	86%				
Louisiana State University at Alexandria	(n=14)	(n=12)	(n=12)	(n=11)	(n=12)	(n=12)				
Louisiana State University and A&M	100%	48%	51%	52%	49%	46%				
College	(n=149)	(n=71)	(n=76)	(n=77)	(n=73)	(n=68)				
	100%	74%	81%	72%	70%	65%				
Louisiana State University at Shreveport	(n=43)	(n=32)	(n=35)	(n=31)	(n=30)	(n=28)				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	100%	60%	54%	51%	50%	53%				
Louisiana Tech University	(n=80)	(n=48)	(n=43)	(n=41)	(n=40)	(n=42)				
·	100%	80%	77%	75%	73%	69%				
McNeese State University	(n=104)	(n=83)	(n=80)	(n=78)	(n=76)	(n=72)				
	100%	88%	86%	82%	79%	74%				
Nicholls State University	(n=102)	(n=90)	(n=88)	(n=84)	(n=81)	(n=75)				
	100%	69%	71%	67%	67%	69%				
Northwestern State University	(n=52)	(n=36)	(n=37)	(n=35)	(n=35)	(n=36)				
	100%	65%	71%	73%	70%	67%				
Southeastern Louisiana University	(n=207)	(n=135)	(n=146)	(n=150)	(n=144)	(n=139)				
	100%	82%	77%	71%	74%	77%				
Southern University and A&M College	(n=34)	(n=28)	(n=26)	(n=24)	(n=25)	(n=26)				
	100%	83%	83%	100%	100%	100%				
Southern University at New Orleans	(n=6)	(n=5)	(n=5)	(n=6)	(n=6)	(n=6)				
	100%	70%	72%	70%	68%	64%				
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	(n=208)	(n=146)	(n=149)	(n=146)	(n=141)	(n=134)				
	100%	57%	55%	58%	58%	55%				
University of Louisiana at Monroe	(n=76)	(n=43)	(n=42)	(n=44)	(n=44)	(n=42)				
	100%	62%	68%	65%	65%	62%				
University of New Orleans	(n=60)	(n=37)	(n=41)	(n=39)	(n=39)	(n=37)				
F	PRIVATE U	NIVERSIT	TIES							
	100%	78%	78%	78%	78%	78%				
Louisiana College	(n=9)	(n=7)	(n=7)	(n=7)	(n=7)	(n=7)				
	100%	25%	25%	50%	50%	75%				
University of Holy Cross	(n=4)	(n=1)	(n=1)	(n=2)	(n=2)	(n=3)				
	100%	56%	56%	67%	67%	67%				
Xavier University of Louisiana	(n=9)	(n=5)	(n=5)	(n=6)	(n=6)	(n=6)				

# PERSISTENCE IN TEACHING IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS (CONT'D.) ALTERNATE

Persistence in Teaching in Public Schools - 2010-11 Completers										
Alternate Teacher Preparation Programs	Number of 2011-12 Completers	Number & Percentage Teaching in 2012-13	Number & Percentage Teaching in 2013-14	Number & Percentage Teaching in 2014-15	Number & Percentage Teaching in 2015-16	Number & Percentage Teaching in 2016-17				
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES										
	100%	70%	80%	80%	80%	70%				
Grambling State University	(n=10)	(n=7)	(n=8)	(n=8)	(n=8)	(n=7)				
	100%	82%	82%	82%	82%	73%				
Louisiana State University at Alexandria	(n=11)	(n=9)	(n=9)	(n=9)	(n=9)	(n=8)				
Louisiana State University and A&M	100%	51%	53%	52%	49%	43%				
College	(n=154)	(n=79)	(n=82)	(n=80)	(n=76)	(n=66)				
	100%	82%	80%	82%	82%	74%				
Louisiana State University at Shreveport	(n=49)	(n=40)	(n=39)	(n=40)	(n=40)	(n=36)				
	100%	76%	69%	65%	64%	59%				
Louisiana Tech University	(n=100)	(n=76)	(n=69)	(n=65)	(n=64)	(n=59)				
	100%	82%	73%	71%	67%	66%				
McNeese State University	(n=85)	(n=70)	(n=62)	(n=60)	(n=57)	(n=56)				
	100%	83%	75%	79%	82%	75%				
Nicholls State University	(n=77)	(n=64)	(n=58)	(n=61)	(n=63)	(n=58)				
	100%	78%	76%	67%	61%	57%				
Northwestern State University	(n=46)	(n=36)	(n=35)	(n=31)	(n=28)	(n=26)				
	100%	55%	55%	55%	55%	55%				
Southeastern Louisiana University	(n=33)	(n=18)	(n=18)	(n=18)	(n=18)	(n=18)				
	100%	83%	83%	94%	86%	78%				
Southern University and A&M College	(n=36)	(n=30)	(n=30)	(n=34)	(n=31)	(n=28)				
	100%	60%	70%	60%	60%	70%				
Southern University at New Orleans	(n=10)	(n=6)	(n=7)	(n=6)	(n=6)	(n=7)				
III.	100%	67%	73%	63%	60%	62%				
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	(n=97)	(n=65)	(n=71)	(n=61)	(n=58)	(n=60)				
III.	100%	85%	77%	74%	69%	66%				
University of Louisiana at Monroe	(n=103)	(n=88)	(n=79)	(n=76)	(n=71)	(n=68)				
H. CN OI	100%	59%	66%	61%	60%	52%				
University of New Orleans	(n=82)	(n=48)	(n=54)	(n=50)	(n=49)	(n=43)				
	PRIVATE U			2007	2007	0.00				
Contonory College	100%	65% (n=15)	48%	39%	30%	26%				
Centenary College	(n=23) 100%	(n=15) 86%	(n=11) 83%	(n=9) 79%	(n=7) 74%	(n=6) 71%				
Louisiana College	(n=126)	(n=108)	83% (n=105)	/9% (n=99)	(n=93)	(n=90)				
Louisiana Conege	100%	46%	46%	31%	31%	23%				
Tulane University	(n=13)	(n=6)	40% (n=6)	(n=4)	(n=4)	(n=3)				
Tutalle Offiversity	100%	40%	40%	44%	42%	38%				
University of Holy Cross	(n=45)	(n=18)	(n=18)	(n=20)	(n=19)	(n=17)				
Oniversity of Hory Closs	100%	77%	59%	59%	46%	55%				
Xavier University of Louisiana	(n=22)	(n=17)	(n=13)	(n=13)	(n=10)	(n=12)				
Zavici Oniversity of Louisiana	(11-22)	(11-17)	$(\Pi - IJ)$	(11-13)	(11-10)	(11-12)				

# PERSISTENCE IN TEACHING IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS (CONT'D.) ALTERNATE

PRIVATE PROVIDERS									
Louisiana Resource Center for Educators 100% 69% 66% 65% 60% 58%									
	(n=147)	(n=101)	(n=97)	(n=95)	(n=88)	(n=85)			
The New Teacher Project	100%	86%	56%	43%	N/A	30%			
	(n=231)	(n=198)	(n=130)	(n=99)	(n=N/A)	(n=70)			

The Louisiana Department of Education states that Compass is Louisiana's educator support and evaluation system designed to provide all educators with regular, meaningful feedback on their performance (<a href="http://www.louisianabelieves.com/teaching/compass">http://www.louisianabelieves.com/teaching/compass</a>). Under this system, every teacher in public schools in Louisiana is evaluated annually using a four-tiered rating – Highly Effective, Effective: Proficient, Effective: Emerging, and Ineffective. Half of the evaluation is based on achievement of student learning targets to generate the Compass Student Outcome score and half of the evaluation is based on observations by teachers' supervisors using the appropriate Compass rubric to generate a Compass Professional Practice score. The two scores are combined to generate Compass Final Evaluation Scores that range from 1.00 to 4.00.

The first chart identifies the mean Compass <u>Student Growth</u> scores of all first and second year teachers who taught in 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17 and completed undergraduate teacher preparation programs during or before 2015-16. In addition, it identifies the percentage of first and second year teachers who obtained Compass Student Growth scores in each of the four effectiveness levels after completing their undergraduate teacher preparation programs.

The next chart identifies the mean Compass <u>Professional Practice</u> scores of all first and second year teachers who taught in 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17 and completed undergraduate teacher preparation programs during or before 2015-16. In addition, it identifies the percentage of first and second year teachers who obtained Compass Professional Practices scores in each of the four effectiveness levels after completing their undergraduate teacher preparation programs.

The chart on the next page identifies the mean Compass <u>Final Evaluation</u> scores of all first and second year teachers who taught in 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17 and completed undergraduate teacher preparation programs during or before 2015-16. In addition, it identifies the percentage of first and second year teachers who obtained Compass Final Evaluation scores in each of the four effectiveness levels after completing their undergraduate teacher preparation programs.

#### **UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS**

### COMPASS STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES K-12 – ALL CERTIFICATION AREAS)

	Compass Student Growth								
Undergraduate Teacher			Compass Effective	eness Levels					
Preparation Programs	Mean &		<b>Effective:</b>	<b>Effective:</b>	Highly				
	Number	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective				
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES									
Grambling State	3.3	4%	4%	29%	62%				
University	(n=45)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=13)	(n=28)				
Louisiana State University	3.3	1%	9%	28%	62%				
at Alexandria	(n=96)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=27)	(n=59)				
Louisiana State University	3.3	2%	9%	29%	61%				
and A&M College	(n=537)	(n=10)	(n=46)	(n=155)	(n=326)				
Louisiana State University	3.5	0%	5%	28%	68%				
at Shreveport	(n=177)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=49)	(n=120)				
Louisiana Tech University	3.4	1%	5%	28%	66%				
	(n=256)	(n=≤10)	(n=12)	(n=72)	(n=170)				
McNeese State University	3.4	1%	10%	19%	70%				
	(n=360)	(n=≤10)	(n=36)	(n=67)	(n=252)				
Nicholls State University	3.1	3%	13%	37%	48%				
	(n=353)	(n=10)	(n=44)	(n=129)	(n=170)				
Northwestern State	3.2	4%	15%	29%	53%				
University	(n=170)	(n=≤10)	(n=25)	(n=49)	(n=90)				
Southeastern Louisiana	3.3	2%	7%	33%	58%				
University	(n=740)	(n=15)	(n=53)	(n=242)	(n=430)				
Southern University and	3.2	4%	6%	44%	46%				
A&M College	(n=52)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=23)	(n=24)				
Southern University at	3.0	6%	17%	33%	44%				
New Orleans	(n=36)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=12)	(n=16)				
University of Louisiana at	3.3	2%	10%	32%	57%				
Lafayette	(n=717)	(n=13)	(n=72)	(n=227)	(n=405)				
University of Louisiana at	3.2	3%	10%	29%	58%				
Monroe	(n=244)	(n=≤10)	(n=25)	(n=70)	(n=142)				
University of New Orleans	2.9	5%	18%	40%	37%				
	(n=211)	(n=10)	(n=38)	(n=84)	(n=79)				
	PRIV	ATE UNIVERS	ITIES						
Louisiana College	3.4	0%	11%	25%	64%				
	(n=56)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=14)	(n=36)				
University of Holy Cross	3.0	0%	21%	43%	36%				
	(n=47)	(n=≤10)	(n=10)	(n=20)	(n=17)				
Xavier University	3.5	0%	0%	36%	64%				
	(n=11)	$(n=\le 10)$	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)				

### UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

### COMPASS PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE (GRADES K-12 – ALL CERTIFICATION AREAS)

			ss Professional					
Undergraduate Teacher		C	ompass Effectiv	eness Levels				
Preparation Programs	Mean &		<b>Effective:</b>	<b>Effective:</b>	Highly			
	Number	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective			
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES								
Grambling State	2.9	0%	18%	73%	9%			
University	(n=45)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=33)	(n=≤10)			
Louisiana State University	3.3	0%	6%	56%	38%			
at Alexandria	(n=96)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=54)	(n=36)			
Louisiana State University	3.1	0%	11%	62%	27%			
and A&M College	(n=537)	(n=≤10)	(n=60)	(n=330)	(n=146)			
Louisiana State University	3.3	0%	6%	48%	46%			
at Shreveport	(n=177)	(n=≤10)	(n=11)	(n=85)	(n=81)			
Louisiana Tech University	3.2	0%	6%	59%	35%			
-	(n=256)	(n=≤10)	(n=16)	(n=150)	(n=89)			
McNeese State University	3.3	1%	6%	48%	45%			
	(n=360)	(n=≤10)	(n=23)	(n=173)	(n=161)			
Nicholls State University	3.0	0%	12%	72%	16%			
-	(n=353)	(n=≤10)	(n=41)	(n=254)	(n=58)			
Northwestern State	3.1	1%	11%	61%	27%			
University	(n=170)	(n=≤10)	(n=19)	(n=104)	(n=45)			
Southeastern Louisiana	3.1	0%	13%	59%	28%			
University	(n=740)	(n=≤10)	(n=98)	(n=433)	(n=209)			
Southern University and	3.1	2%	8%	62%	29%			
A&M College	(n=52)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=32)	(n=15)			
Southern University at	2.9	0%	17%	67%	17%			
New Orleans	(n=36)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=24)	(n=≤10)			
University of Louisiana at	3.2	0%	9%	61%	30%			
Lafayette	(n=717)	(n=≤10)	(n=67)	(n=434)	(n=214)			
University of Louisiana at	3.2	0%	7%	57%	36%			
Monroe	(n=244)	(n=≤10)	(n=17)	(n=138)	(n=88)			
University of New Orleans	3.0	1%	13%	66%	20%			
	(n=211)	(n=≤10)	(n=28)	(n=139)	(n=42)			
		ATE UNIVERSI	TIES					
Louisiana College	3.4	0%	5%	45%	50%			
	(n=56)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=25)	(n=28)			
University of Holy Cross	3.1	0%	11%	62%	28%			
	(n=47)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=29)	(n=13)			
Xavier University	3.1	0%	0%	64%	36%			
	(n=11)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)			

### UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

### COMPASS FINAL EVALUATION (GRADES K-12 – ALL CERTIFICATION AREAS)

		Compa	ss Final Evalua	tion						
Undergraduate Teacher		C	ompass Effectiv	eness Levels						
Preparation Programs	Mean &		<b>Effective:</b>	Effective:	Highly					
	Number	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective					
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES										
Grambling State	3.1	2%	9%	64%	24%					
University	(n=45)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=29)	(n=11)					
Louisiana State University	3.3	0%	10%	45%	45%					
at Alexandria	(n=96)	(n=≤10)	(n=10)	(n=43)	(n=43)					
Louisiana State University	3.3	1%	9%	53%	37%					
and A&M College	(n=537)	(n=≤10)	(n=46)	(n=286)	(n=199)					
Louisiana State University	3.4	0%	6%	45%	49%					
at Shreveport	(n=177)	(n=≤10)	(n=11)	(n=80)	(n=86)					
Louisiana Tech University	3.4	0%	4%	51%	45%					
	(n=256)	(n=≤10)	(n=11)	(n=130)	(n=114)					
McNeese State University	3.4	1%	7%	38%	54%					
	(n=360)	(n=≤10)	(n=26)	(n=135)	(n=195)					
Nicholls State University	3.1	0%	14%	61%	25%					
	(n=353)	(n=≤10)	(n=48)	(n=215)	(n=89)					
Northwestern State	3.2	2%	11%	49%	38%					
University	(n=170)	(n=≤10)	(n=19)	(n=83)	(n=64)					
Southeastern Louisiana	3.3	1%	8%	58%	34%					
University	(n=740)	(n=≤10)	(n=57)	(n=428)	(n=251)					
Southern University and	3.2	2%	8%	62%	29%					
A&M College	(n=52)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=32)	(n=15)					
Southern University at	3.0	3%	14%	61%	22%					
New Orleans	(n=36)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=22)	(n=≤10)					
University of Louisiana at	3.3	0%	10%	53%	37%					
Lafayette	(n=717)	(n=≤10)	(n=73)	(n=378)	(n=264)					
University of Louisiana at	3.3	1%	11%	46%	42%					
Monroe	(n=244)	(n=≤10)	(n=26)	(n=113)	(n=103)					
University of New Orleans	3.0	3%	15%	63%	19%					
	(n=211)	(n=≤10)	(n=32)	(n=133)	(n=40)					
		ATE UNIVERSI								
Louisiana College	3.4	0%	4%	48%	48%					
	(n=56)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=27)	(n=27)					
University of Holy Cross	3.1	0%	15%	60%	26%					
	(n=47)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=28)	(n=12)					
Xavier University	3.4	0%	0%	64%	36%					
	(n=11)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)					

The Louisiana Department of Education states that Compass is Louisiana's educator support and evaluation system designed to provide all educators with regular, meaningful feedback on their performance (<a href="http://www.louisianabelieves.com/teaching/compass">http://www.louisianabelieves.com/teaching/compass</a>). Under this system, every teacher in public schools in Louisiana is evaluated annually using a four-tiered rating – Highly Effective, Effective: Proficient, Effective: Emerging, and Ineffective. Half of the evaluation is based on achievement student learning targets to generate the Compass Student Growth score and half of the evaluation is based on observations by their supervisor using the appropriate Compass rubric to generate a Compass Professional Practice score. The two scores are averaged to generate a Compass Final Evaluation Scores that ranges from 1.00 to 4.00.

The results in the following tables represent new teachers in grades K-12 for all areas of certification.

The first chart identifies the mean Compass <u>Student Growth</u> scores of all first and second year teachers who taught in 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17 and completed alternate teacher preparation programs during or before 2015-16. In addition, it identifies the percentage of first and second year teachers who obtained Compass Student Growth scores in each of the four effectiveness levels after completing their alternate teacher preparation programs.

The next chart identifies the mean Compass <u>Professional Practice</u> scores of all first and second year teachers who taught in 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17 and completed alternate teacher preparation programs during or before 2015-16. In addition, the following chart identifies the percentage of first and second year teachers who obtained Compass Professional Practices scores in each of the four effectiveness levels after completing their alternate teacher preparation programs.

The chart on the next page identifies the mean Compass <u>Final Evaluation</u> scores of all first and second year teachers who taught in 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17 and completed alternate teacher preparation programs during or before 2015-16. In addition, it identifies the percentage of first and second year teachers who obtained Compass Final Evaluation scores in each of the four effectiveness levels after completing their alternate teacher preparation programs.

#### **ALTERNATE PROGRAMS**

### COMPASS STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES K-12 – ALL CERTIFICATION AREAS)

	COMPASS STUDENT GROWTH							
Alternate Teacher		Compass Effectiveness Levels						
Preparation Programs	Mean &		Effective	Effective	Highly			
	Number	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective			
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES								
Grambling State University	3.2	6%	3%	39%	52%			
– Masters of Arts in	(n=33)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=13)	(n=17)			
Teaching	, ,							
Louisiana State University	3.2	0%	9%	35%	57%			
at Alexandria –	(n=23)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=13)			
Certification-Only								
Louisiana State University	3.3	1%	10%	35%	54%			
and A&M College – Master	(n=168)	(n=≤10)	(n=17)	(n=58)	(n=91)			
of Arts in Teaching								
Louisiana State University	3.4	0%	0%	44%	56%			
and A&M College –	(n=27)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=12)	(n=15)			
Certification-Only								
Louisiana State University	3.4	1%	9%	23%	67%			
at Shreveport –	(n=79)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=18)	(n=53)			
Certification-Only	, ,							
Louisiana Tech University	3.5	1%	4%	23%	72%			
Master of Arts in Teaching	(n=242)	(n=≤10)	(n=10)	(n=55)	(n=175)			
Louisiana Tech University	3.7	0%	0%	15%	85%			
Certification Only	(n=26)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=22)			
McNeese State University –	3.6	1%	8%	15%	76%			
Master of Arts in Teaching	(n=78)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=12)	(n=59)			
McNeese State University –	3.6	0%	4%	25%	71%			
Certification-Only	(n=80)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=20)	(n=57)			
Nicholls State University –	3.0	7%	10%	37%	47%			
Masters of Arts in Teaching	(n=60)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=22)	(n=28)			
Nicholls State University –	3.3	2%	6%	37%	54%			
Certification-Only	(n=94)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=35)	(n=51)			
Northwestern State	3.4	1%	10%	24%	65%			
University – Masters of	(n=221)	(n=≤10)	(n=21)	(n=54)	(n=144)			
Arts in Teaching	,							
Northwestern State	3.2	4%	13%	24%	59%			
University – Practitioner	(n=70)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=17)	(n=41)			
Teacher Program	,							
Southeastern Louisiana	3.5	0%	2%	29%	70%			
University – Master of Arts	(n=56)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=16)	(n=39)			
in Teaching	,			-	-			
Southeastern Louisiana	3.4	0%	2%	33%	64%			
University – Certification-	(n=45)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=15)	(n=29)			
Only	,							

### ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

### COMPASS STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES K-12 – ALL CERTIFICATION AREAS) (CONT'D.)

	COMPASS STUDENT GROWTH							
Alternate Teacher		Compass Effectiveness Levels						
Preparation Programs	Mean &		Effective	Effective	Highly			
•	Number	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective			
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES								
Southern University	3.1	3%	16%	34%	47%			
and A&M College –	(n=62)	(n=≤10)	(n=10)	(n=21)	(n=29)			
Certification-Only								
Southern University of	2.9	8%	17%	35%	41%			
New Orleans –	(n=66)	(n=≤10)	(n=11)	(n=23)	(n=27)			
Certification-Only								
University of Louisiana at	3.3	3%	9%	33%	55%			
Lafayette – Certification-	(n=181)	(n=≤10)	(n=16)	(n=60)	(n=99)			
Only								
University of Louisiana at	3.5	0%	4%	25%	71%			
Lafayette – Master of Arts	(n=24)	(n=≤10)	$(n=\le 10)$	(n=≤10)	(n=17)			
in Teaching								
University of Louisiana at	3.3	4%	7%	30%	59%			
Monroe – Master of Arts in	(n=148)	(n=≤10)	(n=11)	(n=44)	(n=87)			
Teaching	,	, – ,	, ,					
University of New Orleans	3.1	3%	15%	35%	48%			
- Masters of Arts in Teaching	(n=202)	(n=≤10)	(n=30)	(n=70)	(n=97)			
University of New Orleans	2.6	15%	23%	31%	31%			
<ul><li>Certification-Only</li></ul>	(n=13)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)			
		ATE UNIVERSI		/				
Centenary College – Master	3.4	3%	6%	33%	58%			
of Arts in Teaching	(n=66)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=22)	(n=38)			
Louisiana College –	3.4	1%	8%	28%	63%			
Practitioner Teacher Program	(n=506)	(n=≤10)	(n=42)	(n=141)	(n=317)			
Tulane University –	3.0	5%	18%	34%	42%			
Certification Only	(n=38)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=13)	(n=16)			
University of Holy Cross –	3.0	5%	19%	28%	48%			
Certification-Only	(n=58)	(n=≤10)	(n=11)	(n=16)	(n=28)			
Xavier University – Master	3.0	2%	20%	41%	37%			
of Arts in Teaching	(n=49)	(n=≤10)	(n=10)	(n=20)	(n=18)			
	PRIV	VATE PROVIDI						
Iteach Louisiana –	3.2	4%	9%	32%	55%			
Practitioner Teacher	(n=345)	(n=14)	(n=31)	(n=111)	(n=189)			
Program								
Louisiana Resource Center	3.3	2%	11%	30%	57%			
for Educators – Practitioner	(n=532)	(n=13)	(n=57)	(n=158)	(n=304)			
Teacher Program								

### ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

### COMPASS STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES K-12 – ALL CERTIFICATION AREAS) (CONT'D.)

	COMPASS STUDENT GROWTH				
Alternate Teacher		Compass Effectiveness Levels			
Preparation Programs	Mean &		Effective	<b>Effective</b>	Highly
	Number	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective
	PUBI	LIC UNIVERSIT	ΓΙΕS		
Relay Graduate School –	2.5	7%	43%	29%	21%
Practitioner Teacher	(n=14)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
Program					
St. Bernard Parish	3.3	0%	3%	41%	56%
School Board –	(n=32)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=13)	(n=18)
Practitioner Teacher					
Program					
The New Teacher Project	3.0	4%	16%	41%	39%
– Practitioner Teacher	(n=362)	(n=14)	(n=57)	(n=150)	(n=141)
Program					

### ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

### COMPASS PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE (GRADES K-12 – ALL CERTIFICATION AREAS)

	COMPASS PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE							
Alternate Teacher		Compass Effectiveness Levels						
Preparation Program	Mean &		Effective	Effective	Highly			
•	Number	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective			
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES								
Grambling State University	3.2	0%	3%	73%	24%			
· ·	(n=33)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=24)	(n=≤10)			
Louisiana State University	3.2	0%	0%	65%	35%			
at Alexandria –	(n=23)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=15)	(n=≤10)			
Certification-Only								
Louisiana State University	3.1	1%	11%	54%	34%			
and A&M College – Master	(n=168)	(n=≤10)	(n=19)	(n=91)	(n=57)			
of Arts in Teaching								
Louisiana State University	3.2	0%	4%	67%	30%			
and A&M College –	(n=27)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=18)	(n=≤10)			
Certification-Only								
Louisiana State University	3.2	0%	8%	56%	37%			
at Shreveport –	(n=79)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=44)	(n=29)			
Certification-Only								
Louisiana Tech University	3.4	0%	5%	38%	57%			
- Master of Arts in	(n=242)	(n=≤10)	(n=11)	(n=92)	(n=138)			
Teaching								
Louisiana Tech University	3.5	0%	0%	46%	54%			
<ul><li>Certification-Only</li></ul>	(n=26)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=12)	(n=14)			
McNeese State University –	3.4	0%	6%	37%	56%			
Master of Arts in Teaching	(n=78)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=29)	(n=44)			
McNeese State University –	3.4	0%	3%	45%	53%			
Certification-Only	(n=80)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=36)	(n=42)			
Nicholls State University –	3.2	0%	10%	55%	35%			
Master of Arts in Teaching	(n=60)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=33)	(n=21)			
Nicholls State University –	3.1	0%	7%	67%	26%			
Certification-Only	(n=94)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=63)	(n=24)			
Northwestern State	3.4	0%	3%	53%	44%			
University – Master of	(n=221)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=116)	(n=98)			
Arts in Teaching		10/	501	500/	200/			
Northwestern State	3.2	1%	6%	63%	30%			
University – Practitioner	(n=70)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=44)	(n=21)			
Teacher Program Southeastern Louisiana	າ າ	0%	2%	59%	39%			
University – Master of Arts	3.3	0% (n=≤10)	2% (n=≤10)	(n=33)	(n=22)			
in Teaching	(n=56)	(11-210)	(11->10)	(11–33)	(11-22)			
Southeastern Louisiana	3.2	2%	7%	60%	31%			
University – Certification-	(n=45)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=27)	(n=14)			
Only								

### ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

# COMPASS PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE (GRADES K-12 – ALL CERTIFICATION AREAS) (CONT'D.)

	COMPASS PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE						
Alternate Teacher		Compass Effectiveness Levels					
Preparation Program	Mean &		Effective	Effective	Highly		
	Number	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective		
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES (CONT'D.)							
Southern University and	3.1	0%	13%	68%	19%		
A&M College –	(n=62)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=42)	(n=12)		
Certification-Only							
Southern University at	3.0	0%	21%	56%	23%		
New Orleans –	(n=66)	(n=≤10)	(n=14)	(n=37)	(n=15)		
Certification-Only							
University of Louisiana at	3.2	0%	8%	64%	28%		
Lafayette – Certification-	(n=181)	(n=≤10)	(n=15)	(n=116)	(n=50)		
Only							
University of Louisiana at	3.2	0%	0%	75%	25%		
Lafayette – Master of Arts	(n=24)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=18)	(n=≤10)		
in Teaching Certification-							
University of Louisiana at	3.3	0%	4%	59%	37%		
Monroe – Master of Arts in	(n=148)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=87)	(n=55)		
Teaching							
University of New Orleans –	3.2	1%	8%	58%	33%		
Master of Arts in Teaching	(n=202)	(n=≤10)	(n=17)	(n=117)	(n=66)		
University of New Orleans	3.0	0%	23%	46%	31%		
- Certification-Only	(n=13)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)		
		ATE UNIVERSI	TIES				
Centenary College – Master	3.3	0%	8%	47%	46%		
of Arts in Teaching	(n=66)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=31)	(n=30)		
Louisiana College -	3.3	0%	4%	57%	38%		
Practitioner Teacher Program	(n=506)	(n=≤10)	(n=22)	(n=289)	(n=193)		
Tulane University –	3.1	0%	16%	61%	24%		
Certification Only	(n=38)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=23)	(n=≤10)		
, and the second				` `			
University of Holy Cross –	3.0	0%	3%	88%	9%		
Certification-Only	(n=58)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=51)	(n=≤10)		
Xavier University – Master	2.9	0%	16%	69%	14%		
of Arts in Teaching	(n=49)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=34)	(n=≤10)		
		VATE PROVIDI			T		
Iteach Louisiana –	3.2	0%	8%	60%	32%		
Certification Only	(n=345)	(n=≤10)	(n=28)	(n=208)	(n=109)		
Louisiana Resource Center	3.2	1%	10%	58%	32%		
for Educators – Practitioner	(n=532)	(n=≤10)	(n=51)	(n=308)	(n=170)		
Teacher Program							

### ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

# COMPASS PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE (GRADES K-12 – ALL CERTIFICATION AREAS) (CONT'D.)

	COMPASS PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE							
Alternate Teacher		C	Compass Effectiveness Levels					
Preparation Program	Mean &		Effective	<b>Effective</b>	Highly			
	Number	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective			
	PRIVATE PROVIDERS							
Relay Graduate School –	3.0	0%	14%	79%	7%			
Practitioner Teacher Program	(n=14)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=11)	(n=≤10)			
St. Bernard Parish	3.1	0%	13%	69%	19%			
School Board –	(n=32)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=22)	(n=≤10)			
Practitioner Teacher								
The New Teacher	3.1	0%	8%	64%	28%			
Project – Practitioner	(n=362)	(n=≤10)	(n=30)	(n=231)	(n=101)			
Teacher Program								

### ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

#### **COMPASS FINAL EVALUATION**

	COMPASS FINAL EVALUATION					
Alternate Teacher	Compass Effectiveness Levels					
Preparation Programs	Mean &		Effective	Effective	Highly	
	Number	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective	
		LIC UNIVERSIT				
Grambling State University	3.2	0%	9%	61%	30%	
– Master of Arts in	(n=33)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=20)	(n=10)	
Teaching	(11-33)	, ,	, ,	, ,		
Louisiana State University	3.3	0%	4%	65%	30%	
at Alexandria –	(n=23)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=15)	(n=≤10)	
Certification-Only	( =5)		, ,	, ,		
Louisiana State University	3.2	1%	10%	55%	35%	
and A&M College – Master	(n=168)	(n=≤10)	(n=17)	(n=92)	(n=58)	
of Arts in Teaching	( 200)		, ,	, ,		
Louisiana State University	3.3	0%	4%	63%	33%	
and A&M College –	(n=27)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=17)	(n=≤10)	
Certification-Only	( ,					
Louisiana State University	3.4	0%	6%	47%	47%	
at Shreveport –	(n=79)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=37)	(n=37)	
Certification-Only	( - /					
Louisiana Tech University	3.5	1%	3%	37%	60%	
<ul><li>Master of Arts</li></ul>	(n=242)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=89)	(n=144)	
in Teaching	, ,					
Louisiana Tech University	3.6	0%	0%	39%	62%	
<ul><li>Certification-Only</li></ul>	(n=26)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=10)	(n=16)	
McNeese State University –	3.6	1%	3%	35%	62%	
Master of Arts in Teaching	(n=78)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=27)	(n=48)	
McNeese State University –	3.6	0%	3%	38%	60%	
Certification-Only	(n=80)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=30)	(n=48)	
Nicholls State University –	3.1	0%	17%	53%	30%	
Masters of Arts in	(n=60)	(n=≤10)	(n=10)	(n=32)	(n=18)	
Teaching	, ,					
Nicholls State University –	3.3	0%	6%	62%	32%	
Certification-Only	(n=94)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=58)	(n=30)	
Northwestern State	3.4	1%	7%	42%	51%	
University – Master of	(n=221)	(n=≤10)	(n=16)	(n=92)	(n=112)	
Arts in Teaching	,					
Northwestern State	3.2	1%	9%	57%	33%	
University – Practitioner	(n=70)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=40)	(n=23)	
Teacher Program	( /		-			
Southeastern Louisiana	3.4	0%	0%	55%	45%	
University – Master of Arts	(n=56)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=31)	(n=25)	
in Teaching	,,					

## MEANS AND EFFECTIVENESS LEVELS FOR COMPASS EVALUATION SCORES BY ALTERNATE PROGRAMS FOR FIRST AND SECOND YEAR TEACHERS WHO TAUGHT IN 2014-15, 2015-16, AND 2016-17 (CONT'D.)

### ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

### COMPASS FINAL EVALUATION (GRADES K-12 – ALL CERTIFICATION AREAS) (CONT'D.)

		COMPASS	FINAL EVALU	JATION	
Alternate Teacher		C	Compass Effective	veness Levels	
Preparation Programs	Mean &		Effective	Effective	Highly
	Number	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective
	PUBI	LIC UNIVERSIT			
Southeastern Louisiana	3.3	2%	4%	56%	38%
University – Certification-	(n=45)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=25)	(n=17)
Only	,				
Southern University and	3.1	2%	13%	61%	24%
A&M College –	(n=62)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=38)	(n=15)
Certification-Only	, ,				
Southern University at	3.0	2%	21%	50%	27%
New Orleans –	(n=66)	(n=≤10)	(n=14)	(n=33)	(n=18)
Certification-Only	, ,				
University of Louisiana at	3.3	1%	10%	50%	39%
Lafayette – Certification-	(n=181)	(n=≤10)	(n=18)	(n=91)	(n=71)
Only	, - ,				
University of Louisiana at	3.4	0%	0%	58%	42%
Lafayette – Master of Arts	(n=24)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=14)	(n=10)
in Teaching	( /				
University of Louisiana at	3.4	1%	9%	45%	45%
Monroe – Master of Arts in	(n=148)	(n=≤10)	(n=13)	(n=67)	(n=67)
Teaching	, ,				
University of New Orleans –	3.1	1%	15%	53%	31%
Master of Arts in Teaching	(n=202)	(n=≤10)	(n=30)	(n=107)	(n=63)
University of New Orleans	2.9	8%	23%	39%	31%
<ul><li>Certification-Only</li></ul>	(n=13)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
	PRIV	ATE UNIVERSI	TIES		
Centenary College – Masters	3.4	3%	6%	41%	50%
of Arts in Teaching	(n=66)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=27)	(n=33)
Louisiana College -	3.4	1%	5%	48%	46%
Practitioner Teacher Program	(n=506)	(n=≤10)	(n=27)	(n=244)	(n=231)
Tulane University –	3.1	5%	13%	47%	34%
Certification Only	(n=38)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=18)	(n=13)
University of Holy Cross –	3.0	0%	21%	55%	24%
Certification-Only	5.0 (n=58)	(n=≤10)	(n=12)	(n=32)	(n=14)
Xavier University – Master	3.0	0%	25%	53%	22%
of Arts in Teaching	3.0 (n=49)	(n=≤10)	(n=12)	(n=26)	(n=11)
or rate in reaching		VATE PROVIDI	1	(11-20)	(11-11)
Itaaah I anisiana		1	_	F50/	200/
Iteach Louisiana –	3.3	1%	8%	55%	36%
Certification Only	(n=345)	(n=≤10)	(n=27)	(n=189)	(n=125)

### MEANS AND EFFECTIVENESS LEVELS FOR COMPASS EVALUATION SCORES BY ALTERNATE PROGRAMS FOR FIRST AND SECOND YEAR TEACHERS WHO TAUGHT IN 2014-15, 2015-16, AND 2016-17 (CONT'D.)

### ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

### COMPASS FINAL EVALUATION (GRADES K-12 – ALL CERTIFICATION AREAS) (CONT'D.)

	COMPASS FINAL EVALUATION					
Alternate Teacher	Compass Effectiveness Levels					
Preparation Programs	Mean &		Effective	Effective	Highly	
	Number	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective	
	PRIV	VATE PROVIDI	ERS			
Louisiana Resource Center	3.3	1%	9%	54%	36%	
for Educators – Practitioner	(n=532)	(n=≤10)	(n=49)	(n=286)	(n=192)	
Teacher Program						
Relay Graduate School	2.7	0%	36%	57%	7%	
<ul> <li>Practitioner Teacher</li> </ul>	(n=14)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	
Program						
St. Bernard Parish	3.3	0%	6%	63%	31%	
School Board –	(n=32)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=20)	(n=10)	
Practitioner Teacher	, ,					
Program						
The New Teacher	3.1	3%	12%	56%	29%	
Project – Practitioner	(n=362)	(n=10)	(n=44)	(n=204)	(n=104)	
Teacher Program						

Value-added scores have been calculated by the Louisiana Department of Education for all teachers whose students are assessed for mathematics, social studies, and English language arts. The value-added scores examine the growth of learning of grades 4-8 students and link the students' growth in achievement to their teachers and the teacher preparation programs that prepared the new teachers.

Value-added scores have been listed in the following tables for first and second year teachers who have completed Elementary (Grades 1-5), Middle School (Grades 4-8), or Secondary (Grades 6-12) teacher preparation programs and are teaching grades 4-8 students in mathematics, science, and English/language arts. Due to the administration of new social studies assessments during 2015-16, data for social studies is not provided for this report. It will be provided in the future.

Data have only been provided if there are 10 or more value-added scores for first and second year teachers for each content area. In some cases, the same teacher may have value-added scores for different content areas. As an example, an elementary teacher is responsible for teaching mathematics, science, and language arts and the same teacher may have a value-added score included in the means for each of those areas. Thus, the same 10 or more teachers could have scores that were used to calculate the means for mathematics, science, and English language arts. In the case of a middle school teacher responsible for teaching just science, their value-added score would be calculated for science based upon the growth of all of their students.

Results for mathematics and English/language arts are based upon new assessments that are now being administered to students. The results in this report should not be compared to previous value added scores since scores in this report are based upon different distributions of scores for the effectiveness levels.

On the following pages, all means describe the performance of the teacher preparation programs listed in the left column. The means and number of completers appear in the same row for each group. The value added score means were calculated using the Louisiana Department of Education hierarchical linear model. The value added scores are rounded to the nearest tenth of a point. The means for new teachers are calculated for *all* teachers in a given content area who are teaching in their first or second year. If a program had 10 or more new teachers with 2 years of data (i.e., mathematics and English/language arts), the 2-year means are reported. If a program has 10 or more new teachers with 3 years of data (i.e., science), the 3-year mean is reported. Teachers from Louisiana teacher preparation programs are only counted as new if they entered as a first year teacher within five years or less from their program completion date. Data are not reported if institutions have less than 10 new teachers in a content area.

The Louisiana Department of Education also prepares tables that identify the distribution of value-added scores for all teachers for each academic year across the four teacher effectiveness levels (i.e., Ineffective; Effective: Emerging; Effective: Proficient; and Highly Effective) for the Compass teacher evaluation system.

As an example, if a new teacher obtained a value-added score of 1.2 in English/Language Arts during 2016-17, their value-added score would fall within the Effective Proficient range. If another new teacher obtained a value-added score of -0.7 in Mathematics in 2016-17, their value-added score would fall within the Effective Emerging range. The percentages in the following tables were based upon the number of new grades 4-8 teachers whose value-added scores fell within each of the effectiveness levels for the respective years.

The distribution tables for 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17 have been provided below.

Transitional Student Growth Data for All Individual Teachers (Compass) in Public Schools in Louisiana							
Individual Teacher Labels For State Teacher Evaluation System	Distribution of Tr	Percentile Ranges of Transitional Student Growth					
(Compass)	English/ Language Arts (New Assessment)	Mathematics (New Assessment)	Science	Social Studies (Old Assessment)	Data Scores for all Individual Teachers		
Highly Effective	3.5 and higher	5.5 & higher	5.5 & higher		80 <sup>th</sup> percentile and above		
Effective Proficient	0.5 to 3.4	-0.4 to 5.4	-0.4 to 5.4		50 <sup>th</sup> to 79 <sup>th</sup> percentile		
Effective Emerging	-5.4 to 0.4	-7.4 to -0.5	-8.4 to -0.5		11 <sup>th</sup> to 49 <sup>th</sup> percentile		
Ineffective	-5.5 & Lower	-7.5 & Lower	-8.5 & Lower		At or below 10 <sup>th</sup> percentile		

Transitional Student Growth Data for All Individual Teachers (Compass) in Public Schools in Louisiana						
Individual Teacher Labels For State Teacher Evaluation System	Distribution of Tra	Percentile Ranges of Transitional Student Growth				
(Compass)	English/ Language Arts (New Assessment)	Mathematics (New Assessment)	Science	Social Studies New Assessment Being Administered	Data Scores for all Individual Teachers	
Highly Effective	3.5 and higher	5.5 & higher	5.5 & higher		80 <sup>th</sup> percentile and above	
Effective Proficient	0.6 to 3.4	-0.4 to 5.4	-0.4 to 5.4		50 <sup>th</sup> to 79 <sup>th</sup> percentile	
Effective Emerging	-5.4 to 0.5	-8.4 to -0.5	-8.4 to -0.5		11 <sup>th</sup> to 49 <sup>th</sup> percentile	
Ineffective	-5.5 & Lower	-8.5 & Lower	-8.5 & Lower		At or below 10 <sup>th</sup> percentile	

	Transitional Student (	Growth Data for All Individu	ial Teachers (Compass) i	n Public Schools in Louis	siana*
Individual	Distribution of Trans	al Teachers (2016-17)	Percentile Ranges of		
Teacher Labels For State Teacher Evaluation System (Compass)	abels r State cacher cluation system  Socience Studie Socience Studie Studie		Social Studies**	Transitional Student Growth Data Scores for all Individual Teachers	
Highly Effective	5.5 and higher	6.5 & higher	6.5 & higher	-	80 <sup>th</sup> percentile and above
Effective Proficient	0.5 to 5.4	0.5 to 6.4	0.5 to 6.4	-	50 <sup>th</sup> to 79 <sup>th</sup> percentile
Effective Emerging	-7.4 to 0.4	-8.4 to 0.4	-8.4 to 0.4	-	11 <sup>th</sup> to 49 <sup>th</sup> percentile
Ineffective	-7.5 & Lower	-8.5 & Lower	-8.5 & Lower	-	At or below 10 <sup>th</sup> percentile

<sup>\*</sup>Value-added was not available for Compass in the 2016-2017 school year. Transitional Student Growth Data was used in this transitional year and was not included in the overall Compass evaluation result.

<sup>\*\*</sup>A new Social Studies assessment was piloted in the 2015-2016 school year and was not analyzed for Transitional Student Growth Data in the 2016-2017 school year.

<sup>†</sup>The first statewide administration of a new assessment (PARCC) in Language Arts and Mathematics occurred in the 2014-2015 school year.

The tables on the following pages identify the percentage of first and second year teachers who completed undergraduate teacher preparation programs and obtained value added scores in each of the four levels of effectiveness for each of the three content areas when teaching students in grades 4-8. A minimum of 10 completers were required for each content area for percentages to be generated. The 10 or more scores were generated over a three year time period as new teachers taught science, mathematics, and English/language arts 2014-15, 2015-16, and 2016-17.

#### UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS

### MATHEMATICS (GRADES 4-8) (YEARS 2014-15, 2015-16 & 2016-17)

UNDERGRADUATE	Mean &	Levels of Effectiveness			
TEACHER PREPARATION	Number		<b>Effective:</b>	<b>Effective:</b>	Highly
PROGRMS		Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective
Louisiana State University and	-1.6	18%	39%	33%	10%
A&M College	(n=39)	(n=≤10)	(n=15)	(n=13)	(n=≤10)
Louisiana State University at	-0.8	15%	39%	31%	15%
Shreveport	(n=13)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
Louisiana Tech University	-2.9	31%	25%	38%	6%
	(n=16)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
McNeese State University	-6.1	50%	29%	7%	14%
	(n=14)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
Nicholls State University	-0.2	3%	47%	33%	17%
	(n=30)	(n=≤10)	(n=14)	(n=10)	(n=≤10)
Southeastern Louisiana University	-0.9	16%	31%	38%	16%
	(n=58)	(n=≤10)	(n=18)	(n=22)	(n=≤10)
Southern University and A&M	0.5	10%	40%	30%	20%
College	(n=10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
University of Louisiana at	1.5	5%	34%	34%	27%
Lafayette	(n=56)	(n=≤10)	(n=19)	(n=19)	(n=15)
University of Louisiana at Monroe	-5.2	31%	50%	12%	8%
	(n=26)	(n=≤10)	(n=13)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
University of New Orleans	-3.4	20%	53%	20%	7%
	(n=15)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)

### UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

### SCIENCE (GRADES 4-8) (2014-15, 2015-16, & 2016-17)

UNDERGRADUATE	Mean &		Levels of Eff	ectiveness	
TEACHER PREPARATION	Number		Effective:	<b>Effective:</b>	Highly
PROGRMS		Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective
Louisiana State University at	2.0	0%	30%	60%	10%
Alexandria	(n=10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
Louisiana State University and	-0.4	6%	50%	32%	12%
A&M College	(n=34)	(n=≤10)	(n=17)	(n=11)	(n=≤10)
Louisiana State University	-4.8	15%	69%	15%	0%
at Shreveport	(n=13)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
Louisiana Tech University	-2.7	25%	50%	6%	19%
	(n=16)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
McNeese State University	-1.5	12%	47%	29%	12%
	(n=17)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
Nicholls State University	-2.2	15%	46%	15%	23%
	(n=13)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
Northwestern State University	2.9	0%	40%	20%	40%
	(n=10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
Southeastern Louisiana	-0.9	16%	32%	32%	19%
University	(n=31)	(n=≤10)	(n=10)	(n=10)	(n=≤10)
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	0.1	12%	28%	45%	16%
	(n=58)	(n=≤10)	(n=16)	(n=26)	(n=≤10)
University of Louisiana at Monroe	-2.9	8%	63%	29%	0%
	(n=24)	(n=≤10)	(n=15)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
University of New Orleans	-0.2	12%	53%	12%	24%
	(n=17)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)

### UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

### ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS (GRADES 4-8) (2014-15, 2015-16, & 2016-17)

UNDERGRADUATE	Mean &		Levels of Eff	ectiveness	
TEACHER PREPARATION	Number		Effective:	<b>Effective:</b>	Highly
PROGRMS		Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective
Louisiana State University at	-0.9	22%	33%	28%	17%
Alexandria	(n=18)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
Louisiana State University and	0.8	8%	41%	25%	25%
A&M College	(n=63)	(n=≤10)	(n=26)	(n=16)	(n=16)
Louisiana State University	-2.7	13%	65%	17%	4%
at Shreveport	(n=23)	(n=≤10)	(n=15)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
Louisiana Tech University	0.5	7%	48%	23%	23%
	(n=31)	(n=≤10)	(n=15)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
McNeese State University	-0.8	18%	32%	32%	18%
	(n=22)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
Nicholls State University	0.6	0%	46%	42%	13%
	(n=24)	(n=≤10)	(n=11)	(n=10)	(n=≤10)
Northwestern State University	0.8	0%	63%	6%	31%
	(n=16)	(n=≤10)	(n=10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
Southeastern Louisiana University	-1.0	15%	51%	19%	15%
	(n=65)	(n=10)	(n=33)	(n=12)	(n=10)
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	0.7	6%	42%	27%	24%
	(n=66)	(n=≤10)	(n=28)	(n=18)	(n=16)
University of Louisiana at Monroe	-1.2	19%	39%	33%	8%
	(n=36)	(n=≤10)	(n=14)	(n=12)	(n=≤10)
University of New Orleans	-0.7	23%	35%	19%	23%
	(n=26)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)

### **ALTERNATE PROGRAMS**

### MATHEMATICS (GRADES 4-8) (YEARS 2014-15, 2015-16 & 2016-17)

ALTERNATE	Mean &	an & Levels of Effectiveness			
TEACHER PREPARATION	Number		<b>Effective:</b>	<b>Effective:</b>	Highly
PROGRMS		Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective
P	UBLIC UN	IVERSITIES	9 9		
Louisiana State University and	1.5	9%	46%	18%	27%
A&M College – Master of Arts in	(n=11)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
Teaching					
Louisiana Tech University – Master	-2.3	20%	40%	30%	10%
of Arts in Teaching	(n=20)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
Nicholls State University – Master of	2.2	10%	40%	10%	40%
Arts in Teaching	(n=10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
Nicholls State University –	-2.7	14%	43%	43%	0%
Certification Only	(n=14)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
Northwestern State University –	-1.1	9%	48%	26%	17%
Master of Arts in Teaching	(n=23)	(n=≤10)	(n=11)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
Northwestern State University –	-0.3	8%	54%	15%	23%
Practitioner Teacher Program	(n=13)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
University of Louisiana at Lafayette –	0.3	15%	31%	39%	15%
Certification-Only	(n=26)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=10)	(n=≤10)
University of Louisiana at Monroe –	-1.1	8%	50%	33%	8%
Masters of Arts in Teaching	(n=12)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
University of New Orleans – Master	-1.3	15%	31%	46%	8%
of Arts in Teaching	(n=13)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
	RIVATE UI	NIVERSITIES			
Louisiana College - Practitioner	-1.8	11%	52%	23%	14%
Teacher Program	(n=44)	(n=≤10)	(n=23)	(n=10)	(n=≤10)
	PRIVATE I	PROVIDERS			
Iteach Louisiana – Certification Only	0.5	7%	44%	24%	24%
	(n=41)	(n=≤10)	(n=18)	(n=10)	(n=10)
Louisiana Resource Center for	-0.5	10%	29%	45%	16%
Educators – Practitioner Teacher	(n=51)	(n=≤10)	(n=15)	(n=23)	(n=≤10)
Program					
The New Teacher Project –	3.2	7%	21%	45%	28%
Practitioner Teacher Program	(n=29)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=13)	(n=≤10)

### ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

### SCIENCE (GRADES 4-8) (2014-15, 2015-16, & 2016-17)

ALTERNATE	Mean &		Levels of Eff	ectiveness	
TEACHER PREPARATION	Number		<b>Effective:</b>	<b>Effective:</b>	Highly
PROGRMS		Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective
P	UBLIC UN	IVERSITIES			
Louisiana State University and	-3.5	9%	73%	18%	0%
A&M College - Master of Arts in	(n=11)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
Teaching					
Louisiana State University at	-0.6	9%	55%	18%	18%
Shreveport - Certification-Only	(n=11)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
Louisiana Tech University - Master	-1.6	8%	54%	31%	8%
of Arts in Teaching	(n=13)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
McNeese State University – Master	-2.4	10%	80%	0%	10%
of Arts in Teaching	(n=10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
Northwestern State University –	-1.3	7%	57%	29%	7%
Master of Arts in Teaching	(n=14)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
University of Louisiana at Lafayette –	-2.7	17%	33%	39%	11%
Certification-Only	(n=18)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
University of Louisiana at Monroe –	2.8	12%	18%	24%	47%
Master of Arts in Teaching	(n=17)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
University of New Orleans – Master	1.2	15%	15%	31%	39%
of Arts in Teaching	(n=13)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
	RIVATE UI	NIVERSITIE			
Louisiana College - Practitioner	-0.6	20%	31%	33%	16%
Teacher Program	(n=45)	(n=≤10)	(n=14)	(n=15)	(n=≤10)
Xavier University	-0.6	8%	31%	54%	8%
	(n=13)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
		PROVIDERS			
Iteach Louisiana – Certification	-0.8	14%	59%	9%	18%
Only	(n=22)	(n=≤10)	(n=13)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)
Louisiana Resource Center for	1.0	14%	24%	35%	28%
Educators – Practitioner Teacher	(n=29)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=10)	(n=≤10)
Program					
The New Teacher Project –	3.1	6%	24%	35%	35%
Practitioner Teacher Program	(n=34)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=12)	(n=12)

### ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

### ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS (GRADES 4-8) (2014-15, 2015-16, & 2016-17)

ALTERNATE	Mean &		<b>Levels of Eff</b>	ectiveness			
TEACHER PREPARATION	Number		<b>Effective:</b>	<b>Effective:</b>	Highly		
PROGRMS		Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective		
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES							
Louisiana State University and	0.2	5%	47%	32%	16%		
A&M College - Master of Arts in	(n=19)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)		
Teaching							
Louisiana State University at	0.0	0%	71%	14%	14%		
Shreveport – Certification-Only	(n=14)	(n=≤10)	(n=10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)		
Louisiana Tech University - Master	1.6	15%	31%	23%	31%		
of Arts in Teaching	(n=13)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)		
McNeese State University – Master	0.8	0%	46%	36%	18%		
of Arts in Teaching	(n=11)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)		
McNeese State University –	-3.0	30%	50%	10%	10%		
Certification-Only	(n=10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)		
Nicholls State University – Master of	1.9	5%	30%	30%	35%		
Arts in Teaching	(n=20)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)		
Nicholls State University –	0.9	0%	42%	50%	8%		
Certification-Only	(n=12)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)		
Northwestern State University –	-0.4	10%	52%	21%	17%		
Master of Arts in Teaching	(n=29)	(n=≤10)	(n=15)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)		
University of Louisiana at Lafayette –	-0.5	4%	58%	21%	17%		
Certification-Only	(n=24)	(n=≤10)	(n=14)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)		
University of Louisiana at Monroe –	-1.8	14%	55%	32%	0%		
Master of Arts in Teaching	(n=22)	(n=≤10)	(n=12)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)		
University of New Orleans – Master	1.7	0%	35%	39%	26%		
of Arts in Teaching	(n=23)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)		
		NIVERSITIE		T			
Louisiana College - Practitioner	0.5	12%	43%	18%	27%		
Teacher Program	(n=60)	(n=≤10)	(n=26)	(n=11)	(n=16)		
		PROVIDERS			/		
Iteach Louisiana	-1.1	13%	51%	21%	15%		
I C	(n=47)	(n=≤10)	(n=24)	(n=10)	(n=≤10)		
Louisiana Resource Center for	-0.5	17%	37%	31%	15%		
Educators – Practitioner Teacher	(n=65)	(n=11)	(n=24)	(n=20)	(n=10)		
Program The New Tree Law Project	2.2	264	2221	2601	4607		
The New Teacher Project –	2.3	3%	32%	26%	40%		
Practitioner Teacher Program	(n=38)	(n=≤10)	(n=12)	(n=10)	(n=15)		



### APPENDIX A Teacher Preparation Program 2020 Key Effectiveness Indicators

Teacher Preparation Analytics v 6.10.14

Assessment Categories	KeyIndicators	Measures
Candidate Selection Profile	Academic Strength	PRIOR ACHIEVEMENT—(1) For Undergraduate Programs: Non-education course GPA required for program admission. Mean and range of high school GPA percentile (or class rank) for candidates admitted as freshmen. Mean and tercile distribution of candidates' SAT/ACT scores. GPA in major and overall required for program completion. Average percentile rank of completers' GPA in their major at the university, by cohort.  —(2) For Post-Baccalaureate Programs: Mean and range of candidates' college GPA percentile and mean and tercile distribution of GRE scores  TEST PERFORMANCE—For All Programs: Mean and tercile distribution of admitted candidate scores on rigorous national test of college sophomore-level general knowledge and reasoning skills
	Teaching Promise	<b>ATTITUDES, VALUES, AND BEHAVIORS SCREEN</b> —Percent of accepted program candidates whose score on a rigorous and validated "fitness for teaching" assessment demonstrates a strong promise for teaching
	Candidate/Completer Diversity	<b>DISAGGREGATED COMPLETIONS COMPARED TO ADMISSIONS</b> —Number & percent of completers in newest graduating cohort AND number and percent of candidates originally admitted in that same cohort: overall and by race/ethnicity, age, and gender
	Content Knowledge	<b>CONTENT KNOWLEDGE TEST</b> —Program completer mean score, tercile distribution, and pass rate on rigorous and validated nationally normed assessment of college-level content knowledge used for initial licensure
Knowledge and Skills for Teaching	Pedagogical Content Knowledge	<b>PEDAGOGICAL CONTENT KNOWLEDGE TEST</b> —Program completer mean score, tercile distribution, and pass rate on rigorous and validated nationally normed assessment of comprehensive pedagogical content knowledge used for initial licensure
Teaching Skill		<b>TEACHING SKILL PERFORMANCE TEST</b> —Program completer mean score, tercile distribution, and pass rate on rigorous and validated nationally normed assessment of demonstrated teaching skill used for initial licensure



### Teacher Preparation Program 2020 Key Effectiveness Indicators (Cont'd.)

Teacher Preparation Analytics v 6.10.14

Assessment Categories	KeyIndicators	Measures
	Completer Rating of Program	<b>EXIT AND FIRST YEAR COMPLETER SURVEY ON PREPARATION</b> —State- or nationally-developed program completer survey of teaching preparedness and program quality, by cohort, upon program (including alternate route) completion and at end of first year of full-time teaching
Performance as Classroom Teachers	Impact on K-12 Students	<b>TEACHER ASSESSMENTS BASED ON STUDENT LEARNING</b> —Assessment of program completers or alternate route candidates during their first three years of full-time teaching using valid and rigorous student-learning driven measures, including value-added and other statewide comparative evidence of K-12 student growth overall and in low-income and low-performing schools
	Demonstrated Teaching Skill	ASSESSMENTS OF TEACHING SKILL—Annual assessment based on observations of program completers' or alternate route candidates' first three years of full-time classroom teaching, using valid, reliable, and rigorous statewide instruments and protocols
	K-12 Student Perceptions	<b>STUDENT SURVEYS ON TEACHING PRACTICE</b> —K-12 student surveys about completers' or alternate route candidates' teaching practice during first three years of full-time teaching, using valid and reliable statewide instruments
Program Productivity,	Entry and Persistence in Teaching	<b>TEACHING EMPLOYMENT AND PERSISTENCE</b> —(1) Percent of completers or alternate route candidates, by cohort and gender—race-ethnicity, employed and persisting in teaching years 1-5 after program completion or initial alternate route placement, in-state and out-of-state —(2) Percent of completers attaining a second stage teaching license in states with multi-tiered licensure
Alignment to State Needs	Placement/ Persistence in High- Need Subjects/Schools	HIGH-NEED EMPLOYMENT AND PERSISTENCE—Number & percent of completers or alternate route candidates, by cohort, employed and persisting in teaching in low-performing, low-income, or remote rural schools or in high need subjects years 1-5 after program completion or initial alternate route placement, in-state and out-of-state

### **APPENDIX B**

### 2018 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD

[Name of University)

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents [Name of University System]
[Public/Private] University [Undergraduate/Alternate] Teacher Preparation Program

			BASICP	ROGRAMIN	FORMATION	١				
Program Wel										
Approval/Accreditation					nes of Agencies				Status	
		State: Board of		•	dary Education	on (BE	SE)			
		State: Board of Regents (BoR)								
		Regional: Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges								
		(SACSCOC)								
		National: National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE); Teacher								
		Education Accreditation Council (TEAC); or Council for the Accreditation of Educator								
		Preparation (CAEP)								
Type of Prog	ram	Traditional (Und	lergraduate	e)						
			CANDI	DATESELECT	IONPROFILE					
AcademicStrength		Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Skills Assessment (2015-16)								
		Median GPA of Candidates Entering the Program (2015-16)								
		Median GPA of Candidates Completing the Program (2015-16)								
		Number of Candidates who Started but Did not Complete the Program Within 6								
		Years								
TeachingPro	mise	Data not yet ava								
Candidates/		Candidates	Er	nrolled		Comple	eters	ters Tota		
Completer		(2015-16)								
Diversity		Enrolled _		Males				Females		
		Gender								
		Enrolled	Hispanic	Indian	Asian	Bla	ck Islande	er White	Multi-Racial	
		Race								
		KNOWLED	GE AND SK	CILLS FOR TE	ACHING OF C	ОМРІ	LETERS			
	Content	Completer Passa	age Rate o	n Praxis Cont	ent Assessm	ents (2	2015-16)			
Knowledge	Pedagogical	Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Content Assessments (2015-16)  Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Professional Knowledge Assessments (2015-16)								
	Overall	Completer Passage Rate on all Assessments (2015-16)								
ClinicalExperiences		Student	Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences Prior to Student Teaching							
		Teaching	Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences				Number of		Total Number o	
			During Student Teaching			Weeks	Clock Hours	Clock Hours		
								per Week		
Licensure Re	guirements	Percentage of 20	1 015-16 Cou	mpleters Tha	nt Meet State	Licens	sing Requirem	ents		
Licensure Requirements  Completer Rating		Percentage of 2015-16 Completers That Meet State Licensing Requirements  Data Not Yet Available								
completerite	ating	Data Not Tet Ave	anabic							
	PR	OGRAM PRODUC	ΤΙΛΙΤΆ ΔΝΙ	DALIGNMEN	ΝΤ ΤΟ STATE	NEEDS	S OF COMPLET	FRS		
Entry and Pe		T								
Entry and Persistence in Teaching in Public Schools in		Percentage & Number of 2015-16 Completers That Were Teaching in 2016-17  Percentage & Number of 2015-16 Completers That Obtained a License to Teach								
Louisiana		2011-12 Completers Teaching in Public Schools in Louisiana in 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17								
		2011-12 Con Number of	•	ching in Public S Number &	chools in Louisi Number &		012-13, 2013-14, Number &	2014-15, 2015-16 ar Number &	nd 2016-17 Number &	
(Please examine the 2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these scores.)		2011-12		ercentage	Percentage		Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	
		Completers	Т	eaching in	Teaching in		Teaching in	Teaching in	Teaching in	
				2012-13	2013-14		2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	
Placement/Persistence in		Data Not Yet A	vailable		<u> </u>			<u> </u>		
High-Need Subjects/Schools		Data NUL TEL A	waiiabie							
gii iteeu 3	aajeetaj Jenoona	1								

٠,

# APPENDIX B (CONT'D.) 2018 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD [Name of University)

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents [Name of University System] [Public/Private] *University* [Undergraduate/Alternate] *Teacher Preparation Program* 

	in the state of th							
	CE AS CLASSROOM TEACHERS (NEW TE							
Impact on	Mean Compass Student Growth	Co	Compass Student Growth Mean & Number of Scores					
K-12 Students	Score (2014-15, 2015-16, & 2016-							
	17) and Number of Scores for All							
(Please examine the 2018	New Teachers with Less than Two							
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Years of Teaching							
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2014-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Growth Scores						
scores.)	15, 2015-16, & 2016-17 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
	Student Growth Scores for the							
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher							
	Effectiveness Levels							
Demonstrated Teaching		Com	nass Professional Pract	ice Mean & Number of	Scores			
	Mean Compass Professional	Compass Professional Practice Mean & Number of Scores						
Skill	Practice Score (2014-15, 2015-16,							
(5)	& 2016-17) and Number of Scores							
(Please examine the 2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation	for All New Teachers with Less							
Data Fact Book to accurately	than Two Years of Teaching							
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2014-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Professional Practice Scores						
scores.)	15, 2015-16, & 2016-17 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
	<i>Professional Practice Scores</i> for the							
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher							
	Effectiveness Levels							
Overall Impact and	Mean Compass Final Evaluation	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores						
Demonstrated Teaching	Score (2014-15, 2015-16, & 2016-		-					
Skill	17) and Number of Scores for New							
	Teachers with Less than Two Years							
(Please examine the 2018	of Teaching							
Louisiana Teacher Preparation		Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores						
Data Fact Book to accurately	Percentage and Number of 2014- 15, 2015-16, & 2016-17 <i>Compass</i>	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
interpret the meaning of these	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
scores.)	Final Evaluation Scores for the							
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher							
	Effectiveness Levels							
<b>State Value Added Scores</b>	Content Areas	Mean, Number of Scores, & Effectiveness Levels for Value-Added Scores of						
for Growth in Student		Twenty-five or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who Taught During 2014-15/2015-16/2016-17						
Learning for New	Mathematics		raught During 2014	1-13/2013-16/2016-1/				
Teachers in Grades 4-8	Wathematics							
with Less than Two Years		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
of Teaching by Content								
Areas (Twenty-five or	6.	1	<u> </u>		1			
More New Teachers)	Science							
· ·								
(Please examine the 2018		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
Louisiana Teacher Preparation					]			
Data Fact Book to accurately	Social Studies	N/A						
interpret the meaning of these	(Note: Data are not available – new		T	T	1			
scores.)	assessments being developed.)	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	English/Language Arts/Reading							
				1				
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			