InTASC- Instructional Practice (IP); CAEP Standards 2.1, 3.2, 3.4, 4.1, 4.2, 5.1, 5.3, 5.4, and the 8 annual reporting measures; persistence data; Annual Report- Section 4 [4.2]

2018 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD

McNeese State University Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System Public Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program **BASIC PROGRAM INFORMATION Program Web Site** http://www.mcneese.edu/education Names of Agencies Status Approval/Accreditation State: Board of Elementary and Secondary Education (BESE) Approved State: Board of Regents (BoR) **Approved** Regional: Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges Accredited (SACSCOC) National: National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE); Teacher Accredited Education Accreditation Council (TEAC); or Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP) Type of Program Traditional (Undergraduate) **CANDIDATE SELECTION PROFILE Academic Strength** Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Skills Assessment (2015-16) 100% Median GPA of Candidates Entering the Program (2015-16) 3.15 Median GPA of Candidates Completing the Program (2015-16) 3.43 Number of Candidates who Started but Did not Complete the Program Within 6 Years Data Not Yet (by 2015-16) Available **Teaching Promise** Data not yet available. **Enrolled** Completers Total **Candidates** Candidates/ 214 85 299 Completer (2015-16)Males **Females Diversity Enrolled** 36 178 Gender Hispanic Indian Asian Black Islander White Multi-Racial **Enrolled** 0 196 2 12 Race **KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS FOR TEACHING OF COMPLETERS** Knowledge Content Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Content Assessments (2015-16) 100% **Pedagogical** Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Professional Knowledge Assessments (2015-16) 100% Overall Completer Passage Rate on all Assessments (2015-16) 100% Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences Prior to Student Teaching **Clinical Experiences** Student 273 Number of Number of **Total Number of** Teaching Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences Clock Hours per Weeks **Clock Hours During Student Teaching** Week 14 30 420 Number and Percentage of 2015-16 Completers That Meet State Licensing **Licensure Requirements** 100% Requirements Data Not Yet Available **Completer Rating** PROGRAM PRODUCTIVITY AND ALIGNMENT TO STATE NEEDS OF COMPLETERS **Entry and Persistence in** Percentage & Number of 2015-16 Completers That Began Teaching in 2016-17 79% (n=67) **Teaching in Public** Percentage & Number of 2015-16 Completers That Obtained a License to Teach Data Not Yet **Schools in Louisiana** Available 2011-12 Completers Teaching in Public Schools in Louisiana in 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15, 2015-16, & 2016-17 (Please examine the 2018 Number of Number & Number & Number & Number & Number & Louisiana Teacher Preparation 2011-12 Percentage Percentage Percentage Percentage Percentage Data Fact Book to accurately Completers Teaching in Teaching in Teaching in Teaching in Teaching in interpret the meaning of these 2012-13 2013-14 2014-15 2015-16 2016-17 scores.) 100% 80% 77% 75% 73% 69% (n=104)(n=83)(n=80)(n=78)(n=76)(n=72)

2018 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD (CONT'D)

McNeese State University

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System

Public Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

DEDECORMANI	CE AS CLASSPOOM TEACHERS (NEW TE	ACHERS WITH	LESS THAN TWO V	EARS OF TEACHING	2)	
Impact on	Mean Compass Student Outcome	FEACHERS WITH LESS THAN TWO YEARS OF TEACHING) Compass Student Outcome Mean & Number of Scores				
K-12 Students	Score (2014-15, 2015-16, & 2016-	3.4 (n=360)				
R-12 Students	17) and Number of Scores for All					
(Please examine the 2018	New Teachers with Less than Two					
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Years of Teaching	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Outcome Scores				
Data Fact Book to accurately	Percentage and Number of 2014-					
interpret the meaning of these	15, 2015-16, & 2016-17 <i>Compass</i>	Ineffective			•	
scores.)	Student Outcome Scores for the	inerrective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective	
		1%	10%	19%	70%	
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels	(n=≤10)	(n=36)	(n=67)	(n=252)	
Domonstrated Teaching		Com	pass Professional Pract	ice Mean & Number of	Scores	
Demonstrated Teaching Skill	Mean Compass Professional Practice Score (2014-15, 2015-16,	Com				
JAIII	& 2016-17) and Number of Scores	3.3 (n=360)				
(Please examine the 2018	for All New Teachers with Less than					
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Two Years of Teaching					
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these scores.)	Percentage and Number of 2014-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Professional Practice Scores				
	15, 2015-16, & 2016-17 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective	
scores.	Professional Practice Scores for the	1%	6%	48%	45%	
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	(n=≤10)	(n=23)	(n=173)	(n=161)	
	Effectiveness Levels	, ,		, ,	,	
Overall Impact and	Mean Compass Final Evaluation	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores				
Demonstrated Teaching	Score (2014-15, 2015-16, & 2016-	3.4 (n=360)				
Skill	17) and Number of Scores for New					
	Teachers with Less than Two Years					
(Please examine the 2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	of Teaching					
	Percentage and Number of 2014-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores				
	15, 2015-16, & 2016-17 <i>Compass</i>	Ineffective Effective Emerging Effective Proficient Highly Effective			Highly Effective	
interpret the meaning of these scores.)	Final Evaluation Scores for the New	1%	7%	38%	54%	
555. 551,	Teachers by LDOE Teacher	(n=≤10)	(n=26)	(n=135)	(n=195)	
	Effectiveness Levels					
State Value Added Scores	Content Areas	Mean, Number	of Scores, & Effectiven	ess Levels for Value-Ad	ded Scores of Ten	
for New Teachers in		or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who Taught During 2014-15, 2015-16, and 2016-17 -6.1 (n=14)				
Grades 4-8 with Less than	Back and the					
Two Years of Teaching by	Mathematics					
Content Areas (Ten or		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective	
More New Teachers)		50%	29%	7%	14%	
		(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	
(Please examine the 2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Science	-1.5 (n=17)				
		Ineffective Effective Emerging Effective Proficient Highly Effective				
scores.)		12%	47%	29%	12%	
		(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	
	Social Studies	N/A				
	(Note: Data are not available –	Ineffective				
	new assessments being	Ineffective N/A	Effective Emerging N/A	Effective Proficient N/A	N/A	
	developed.)	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	
	English/Language Arts/Reading	-0.8 (n-23)				
		(n=22) Ineffective Effective Emerging Effective Proficient Highly Effecti				
		18%	32%	32%	18%	
		(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	