## 2018 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD **McNeese State University** Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System **Public University Alternate Teacher Preparation Program BASIC PROGRAM INFORMATION Program Web Site** http://www.mcneese.edu/education Names of Agencies Status Approval/Accreditation State: Board of Elementary and Secondary Education (BESE) Approved State: Board of Regents (BoR) **Approved** Regional: Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges Accredited (SACSCOC) National: National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE); Teacher Accredited Education Accreditation Council (TEAC); or Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP) Type of Program Alternate (Master of Arts in Teaching; Certification-Only) **CANDIDATE SELECTION PROFILE** Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Skills Assessment (2015-16) **Academic Strength** 100% Median GPA of Candidates Entering the Program (2015-16) 3.17 Median GPA of Candidates Completing the Program (2015-16) 3.49 Number of Candidates who Started but Did not Complete the Program Within 6 Years Data Not Yet (by 2015-16) Available **Teaching Promise** Data Not Yet Available. Enrolled Completers Total Candidates Candidates/ 67 38 105 Completer (2015-16)Males **Females Diversity Enrolled** 20 47 Gender Hispanic Indian Asian **Black** Islander White Multi-Racial **Enrolled** 53 0 10 Race **KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS FOR TEACHING OF COMPLETERS** Knowledge Content Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Content Assessments (2015-16) 100% Pedagogical Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Professional Knowledge Assessments (2015-16) 100% Overall Completer Passage Rate on all Assessments (2015-16) 100% Full Time Internships are Offered as an Option for the Academic Year Clinical Experiences Yes Student Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences Prior to Student Teaching 273 Total Number of Teaching Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences Number of Number of Weeks Clock Hours per **Clock Hours During Student Teaching** Week 420 14 30 **Licensure Requirements** Number and Percentage of 2015-16 Completers That Meet State Licensing 100% Requirements **Completer Rating** Data Not Yet Available PROGRAM PRODUCTIVITY AND ALIGNMENT TO STATE NEEDS OF COMPLETERS **Entry and Persistence in** Percentage & Number of 2015-16 Completers That Began Teaching in 2016-17 76% (n=29) **Teaching in Public Schools** Percentage & Number of 2015-16 Completers That Obtained a License to Teach Data Not Yet in Louisiana Available 2011-12 Completers Teaching in Public Schools in Louisiana in 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15, 2015-16, & 2016-17 (Please examine the 2018 Number of Number & Number & Number & Number & Number &

Percentage

Teaching in

2013-14

73%

(n=62)

Percentage

Teaching in

2014-15

71%

(n=60)

Percentage

Teaching in

2015-16

67%

(n=57)

Percentage

Teaching in

2016-17

66%

(n=56)

Percentage

Teaching in

2012-13

82%

(n=70)

Louisiana Teacher Preparation

Data Fact Book to accurately

scores.)

interpret the meaning of these

2011-12

Completers

100%

(n=85)

## 2018 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD (CONT'D)

**McNeese State University** 

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System

Master of Arts in Teaching Alternate Teacher Preparation Program

DEDECORMANCE	AS CLASSBOOM TEACHERS (NEW TE	ACHEDS WITH	LESS THAN TWO V	EARS OF TEACHING	2)			
	Mean Compass Student Growth	EACHERS WITH LESS THAN TWO YEARS OF TEACHING)  Compass Student Growth Mean & Number of Scores						
Impact on K-12 Students	Score (2014-15, 2015-16, & 2016-	·						
K-12 Students	17) and Number of Scores for All	3.6						
(Please examine the 2018	New Teachers with Less than Two	(n=78)						
Louisiana Teacher Preparation								
Data Fact Book to accurately	Years of Teaching	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Growth Scores						
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2014-	·						
scores.)	15, 2015-16, & 2016-17 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
	Student Outcome Scores for the	1%	8%	15%	76%			
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=12)	(n=59)			
	Effectiveness Levels	, ,	<u>'</u>	` ′	, ,			
Demonstrated Teaching	Mean Compass Professional	Compass Professional Practice Mean & Number of Scores						
Skill	Practice Score (2014-15, 2015-16,	3.4						
<b>.</b>	& 2016-17) and Number of Scores	(n=78)						
(Please examine the 2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation	for All New Teachers with Less							
Data Fact Book to accurately	Book to accurately							
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2014-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Professional Practice Scores						
scores.)	15, 2015-16, & 2016-17 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
	Professional Practice Scores for	0%	6%	37%	56%			
	the New Teachers by LDOE	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=29)	(n=44)			
	Teacher Effectiveness Levels							
Overall Impact and	Mean Compass Final Evaluation	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores						
Demonstrated Teaching	Score (2014-15, 2015-16, & 2016-	3.6						
Skill	17) and Number of Scores for	(n=78)						
	New Teachers with Less than Two							
(Please examine the 2018	Years of Teaching							
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Percentage and Number of 2014-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores						
interpret the meaning of these	15, 2015-16, & 2016-17 <i>Compass</i>	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
scores.)	Final Evaluation Scores for the	1%	3%	35%	62%			
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=27)	(n=48)			
	Effectiveness Levels							
State Value Added Scores	Content Areas		of Scores, & Effectiven					
for New Teachers in		or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who Taught During 2013-14, 2014-15, & 2015-16						
Grades 4-8 with Less than	Mathematics	N/A (n=N/A)						
Two Years of Teaching by								
Content Areas (Ten or		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
More New Teachers)		N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%			
(0)		(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)			
(Please examine the 2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Science	-2.4 (n=10)						
Data Fact Book to accurately								
interpret the meaning of these		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
scores.)		10%	80%	0%	10%			
		(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)			
	Social Studies		N/A					
	(Note: Data are not available –	·						
	new assessments being	N/A	Effective Emerging N/A	Effective Proficient N/A	Highly Effective N/A			
	developed.)	IN/A	14/74	IV/A	19/74			
	English/Language Arts/Reading	0.8 (n=11)						
		Ineffective	, , ,					
		0%	46%	36%	18%			
		(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)			
		(11-310)	(11-310)	(11-310)	(11-310)			

## 2018 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD (CONT'D)

**McNeese State University** 

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System Certification-Only Program Alternate Teacher Preparation Program

DEDECORMANCE AS CLASSDOOM TEACHERS (NEW TEACHERS WITH LESS THAN TWO VEARS OF TEACHING)									
	1	(NEW TEACHERS WITH LESS THAN TWO YEARS OF TEACHING)							
Impact on	Mean Compass Student Growth	Compass Student Growth Mean & Number of Scores							
K-12 Students	Score (2013-14, 2014-15, & 2015-	3.6 (n=80)							
(Places evening the 2010	16) and Number of Scores for All								
(Please examine the 2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation	New Teachers with Less than Two								
Data Fact Book to accurately	Years of Teaching		. To a short Effect	Lavala fa 101 de 10					
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2013-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Growth Scores							
scores.)	14, 2014-15, & 2015-16 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
	Student Growth Scores for the New	0%	4%	25%	71%				
	Teachers by LDOE Teacher	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=20)	(n=57)				
	Effectiveness Levels	, ,	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	, ,				
Demonstrated Teaching	Mean Compass Professional	Compass Professional Practice Mean & Number of Scores							
Skill	Practice Score (2013-14, 2014-15,	3.4 (n=80)							
	& 2015-16) and Number of Scores								
(Please examine the 2018	for All New Teachers with Less than								
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Two Years of Teaching								
	Percentage and Number of 2013-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Professional Practice Scores							
scores.)	14, 2014-15, & 2015-16 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
	Professional Practice Scores for the	0%	3%	45%	53%				
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=36)	(n=42)				
	Effectiveness Levels	1							
Overall Impact and	Mean Compass Final Evaluation	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores							
Demonstrated Teaching	Score (2013-14, 2014-15, & 2015-	3.6							
Skill	16) and Number of Scores for New	(n=80)							
	Teachers with Less than Two Years								
(Please examine the 2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	of Teaching								
	Percentage and Number of 2013-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores							
	14, 2014-15, & 2015-16 Compass	Ineffective 0%	Effective Emerging 3%	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective 60%				
scores.)	Final Evaluation Scores for the New			38%					
	Teachers by LDOE Teacher	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=30)	(n=48)				
	Effectiveness Levels		<u> </u>						
State Value Added Scores	Content Areas	Mean, Number of Scores, & Effectiveness Levels for Value-Added Scores of Ten							
for New Teachers in		or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who Taught During 2013-14, 2014-15, & 2015-16  N/A (n=N/A)							
Grades 4-8 with Less than	Mathematics								
Two Years of Teaching by									
Content Areas (Ten or		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
More New Teachers)		N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%				
(Please examine the 2018		(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)				
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Science	N/A							
Data Fact Book to accurately				N/A)					
interpret the meaning of these scores.)		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
Jeores.j		N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%				
		(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)				
	Social Studies		N	I/A					
	(Note: Data not available – new assessments being developed.)	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
	assessments being developed.)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	English/Language Arts/Reading	-3.0 (n=10)							
		Ineffective	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
		30%	50%	10%	10%				
		(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)				