Evidence #21 2015 Louisiana Preparation Program Fact Book TAGS: InTASC category- Instructional Practice (IP); CAEP Standard s 4.1, 4.2, 5.2, 5.4, and 8 Annual Reporting Measures: Persistence Data



2015 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAM FACT BOOK

Prepared by the Louisiana Board of Regents

July 26, 2016

Evidence #21 2015 Louisiana Preparation Program Fact Book TAGS: InTASC category- Instructional Practice (IP); CAEP Standard s 4.1, 4.2, 5.2, 5.4, and 8 Annual Reporting Measures: Persistence Data

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents	1
Introduction	3
Listing of Teacher Preparation Programs and Web Sites	4
State, Regional, and National Approval/Accreditation of Teacher Preparation Programs	5
Percentage of Program Completers Passing Praxis Assessments For Teacher Licensure – Undergraduate Programs (2012-13)	6
Percentage of Program Completers Passing Praxis Assessments For Teacher Licensure – Alternate Programs (2012-13)	7
Median Grade Point Average of Candidates Entering and Completing Teacher Preparation Programs – Undergraduate Programs (2012-13)	8
Median Grade Point Averages of Candidates Entering and Completing Teacher Preparation Programs – Alternate Programs (2012-13)	9
Number of Teacher Candidates Enrolled and Teacher Candidate Completers – Undergraduate Programs (2012-13)	10
Number of Teacher Candidates Enrolled and Teacher Candidate Completers – Alternate Programs (2012-13)	11
Gender for Enrolled Teacher Candidates – Undergraduate and Alternate Programs (2012-13)	12
Races for Enrolled Teacher Candidates – Undergraduate Programs (2012-13)	13
Races for Enrolled Teacher Candidates – Alternate Programs (2012-13)	14
Number of Hours for Clinical Experiences for Teacher Preparation Programs – Undergraduate Programs (2012-13)	15
Number of Hours for Clinical Experiences for Teacher Preparation Programs – Alternate Programs (2012-13)	16
Persistence in Teaching in Public Schools	17
Number and Percentage of 2012-13 Completers Who Began Teaching in Public Schools in Louisiana During 2013-14	20
Means and Effectiveness Levels for Compass Final Evaluation Scores By Undergraduate Programs for First and Second Year Teachers in 2012-14 and 2013-14	21
Means and Effectiveness Levels for Compass Final Evaluation Scores By Alternate Programs for First and Second Year Teachers in 2012-13 and 2013-14	25

Evidence #21 2015 Louisiana Preparation Program Fact Book TAGS: InTASC category- Instructional Practice (IP); CAEP Standard s 4.1, 4.2, 5.2, 5.4, and 8 Annual Reporting Measures: Persistence Data

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D.)

Years 2008-09, 2009-10, 2010-11, 2011-12, and 2012-13	32
Percentage of Undergraduate Completers Obtaining Value-Added Scores in the Teacher Effectiveness Levels During Their First and/or Second Year of Teaching	42
Percentage of Alternate Completers Obtaining Value-Added Scores in the Teacher Effectiveness Levels During Their First and/or Second Year of Teaching	46
Appendix A: Teacher Preparation Program 2020 Key Effectiveness Indicators	51
Appendix B: Teacher Preparation Data Dashboard Template	53

PERSISTENCE IN TEACHING IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Undergraduate and alternate program completers have the option of teaching in public schools in Louisiana, private schools in Louisiana, or schools in other states. It is not possible to track the retention of completers in private schools or out-of-state schools. The following two charts identify only the number and percentage of a cohort of program completers in 2008-09 who started teaching in public schools and who taught in public schools in Louisiana during the next five years. Completers not reflected in the following chart may be teaching in private schools in Louisiana or teaching in other states.

UNDERGRADUATE

	Pe	rsistence in Tea	ching in Public	Schools - 200	8-09 Completer	s
Undergraduate	Number of	Number &	Number &	Number &	Number &	Number &
Teacher Preparation Programs	2008-09	Percentage Teaching in	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage
Teacher Treparation Trograms	Completers	2009-10	Teaching in 2010-11	Teaching in 2011-12	Teaching in 2012-13	Teaching in 2013-14
	PUBLIC UN			2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
	100%	72%	72%	61%	61%	59%
Grambling State University	(n=18)	(n=13)	(n=13)	(n=11)	(n=11)	(n=10)
	100%	86%	91%	91%	91%	91%
Louisiana State University at Alexandria	(n=21)	(n=18)	(n=19)	(n=19)	(n=19)	(n=19)
Louisiana State University and A&M	100%	52%	50%	47%	46%	49%
College	(n=151)	(n=79)	(n=76)	(n=71)	(n=69)	(n=74)
	100%	85%	82%	76%	74%	70%
Louisiana State University at Shreveport	(n=74)	(n=63)	(n=61)	(n=56)	(n=55)	(n=52)
T T 1 T	100%	61%	67%	64%	64%	55%
Louisiana Tech University	(n=107)	(n=65)	(n=72)	(n=69)	(n=68)	(n=59)
Manuaga Ctata I Iniversity	100%	71%	68%	70%	63%	63%
McNeese State University	(n=108)	<mark>(n=77)</mark>	(n=73)	(n=76)	<mark>(n=68)</mark>	<mark>(n=68)</mark>
Nicholls State University	100%	88%	83%	84%	80%	77%
Nichons State University	(n=86)	(n=76)	(n=71)	(n=72)	(n=69)	(n=66)
Northwestern State University	100% (n=64)	63% (n=40)	64% (n=41)	63% (n=40)	64% (n=41)	59% (n=38)
Troiting State Oniversity	100%	67%	68%	69%	72%	73%
Southeastern Louisiana University	(n=181)	(n=122)	(n=123)	(n=125)	(n=131)	(n=133)
	100%	82%	88%	74%	74%	74%
Southern University and A&M College	(n=34)	(n=28)	(n=30)	(n=25)	(n=25)	(n=25)
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	100%	33%	50%	50%	50%	50%
Southern University at New Orleans	(n=12)	(n=4)	(n=6)	(n=6)	(n=6)	(n=6)
	100%	76%	72%	72%	72%	68%
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	(n=194)	(n=148)	(n=139)	(n=140)	(n=140)	(n=132)
	100%	76%	70%	68%	67%	65%
University of Louisiana at Monroe	(n=63)	(n=48)	(n=44)	(n=43)	(n=42)	(n=41)
	100%	68%	68%	57%	64%	59%
University of New Orleans	(n=44)	(n=30)	(n=30)	(n=25)	(n=28)	(n=26)
P	RIVATE U	1	1		1	
Lavisiana Callaga	100%	60%	60%	53%	47%	47%
Louisiana College	(n=15)	(n=9)	(n=9)	(n=8)	(n=7)	(n=7)
University of Holy Cross	100%	50%	50%	45%	45%	45%
Oniversity of Hory Closs	(n=20)	(n=10)	(n=10)	(n=9)	(n=9)	(n=9)
Xavier University of Louisiana	100%	83% (n=5)	83% (n=5)	83%	100%	100%
Zavici Oniversity of Louisiana	(n=6)	(n=5)	(n=5)	(n=5)	(n=6)	(n=6)

PERSISTENCE IN TEACHING IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS (CONT'D.)

ALTERNATE

Persistence in Teaching in Public Schools – 2008-09 Completers									
Alternate Teacher Preparation Programs	Number of 2007-08 Completers	Number & Percentage Teaching in 2008-09	Number & Percentage Teaching in 2009-10	Number & Percentage Teaching in 2010-11	Number & Percentage Teaching in 2011-12	Number & Percentage Teaching in 2012-13			
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES									
	100%	83%	83%	83%	83%	92%			
Grambling State University	(n=12)	(n=10)	(n=10)	(n=10)	(n=10)	(n=11)			
	100%	75%	75%	100%	75%	75%			
Louisiana State University at Alexandria	(n=4)	(n=3)	(n=3)	(n=4)	(n=3)	(n=3)			
Louisiana State University and A&M	100%	59%	58%	56%	53%	41%			
College	(n=102)	(n=60)	(n=59)	(n=57)	(n=54)	(n=42)			
	100%	89%	80%	78%	71%	68%			
Louisiana State University at Shreveport	(n=44)	(n=39)	(n=35)	(n=34)	(n=31)	(n=30)			
	100%	82%	77%	72%	68%	66%			
Louisiana Tech University	(n=74)	(n=61)	(n=57)	(n=53)	(n=50)	(n=49)			
	100%	<mark>85%</mark>	<mark>82%</mark>	83%	<mark>80%</mark>	<mark>64%</mark>			
McNeese State University	<mark>(n=60)</mark>	<mark>(n=51)</mark>	<mark>(n=49)</mark>	<mark>(n=50)</mark>	<mark>(n=48)</mark>	<mark>(n=47)</mark>			
	100%	89%	87%	83%	74%	74%			
Nicholls State University	(n=46)	(n=41)	(n=40)	(n=38)	(n=34)	(n=34)			
	100%	85%	88%	88%	85%	83%			
Northwestern State University	(n=48)	(n=41)	(n=42)	(n=42)	(n=41)	(n=40)			
	100%	86%	86%	73%	75%	73%			
Southeastern Louisiana University	(n=63)	(n=54)	(n=54)	(n=46)	(n=47)	(n=46)			
	100%	88%	84%	84%	80%	84%			
Southern University and A&M College	(n=25)	(n=22)	(n=21)	(n=21)	(n=20)	(n=21)			
	100%	80%	40%	60%	80%	60%			
Southern University at New Orleans	(n=5)	(n=4)	(n=2)	(n=3)	(n=4)	(n=3)			
TI	100%	77%	75%	74%	72%	70%			
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	(n=121)	(n=93)	(n=91)	(n=90)	(n=87)	(n=85)			
II.	100%	89%	82%	84%	76%	76%			
University of Louisiana at Monroe	(n=62)	(n=55)	(n=51)	(n=52)	(n=47)	(n=47)			
Hairmanian of Name Onland	100%	63%	58%	52%	48%	52%			
University of New Orleans	(n=60)	(n=38)	(n=35)	(n=31)	(n=29)	(n=31)			
	PRIVATE U	1							
Contonory College	100%	80%	70%	70%	60%	60%			
Centenary College	(n=10)	(n=8)	(n=7)	(n=7)	(n=6)	(n=6)			
Lauisiana Callaga	100%	95%	91%	89%	84%	79%			
Louisiana College	(n=57)	(n=54)	(n=52)	(n=51)	(n=48)	(n=45)			
University of Holy Cross	100%	50%	53%	61%	58%	53%			
University of noty Cross	(n=36)	(n=18)	(n=19)	(n=22)	(n=21)	(n=19)			
Tulono University	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%			
Tulane University	(n=2)	(n=0)	(n=0)	(n=0)	(n=0)	(n=1)			
Xavier University of Louisiana	100%	64%	64%	57%	43%	57%			
Mayici Offiversity of Louistalia	(n=14)	(n=9)	(n=9)	(n=8)	(n=6)	(n=8)			

PERSISTENCE IN TEACHING IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS (CONT'D.)

ALTERNATE (CONT'D.)

	Persistence in Teaching in Public Schools – 2008-09 Completers							
Alternate	Number of	Number &						
Teacher Preparation Programs	2007-08 Completers	Percentage Teaching in						
•	Completers	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13		
PRIVATE PROVIDERS								
	100%	74%	72%	67%	64%	61%		
Louisiana Resource Center for Educators	(n=168)	(n=125)	(n=121)	(n=112)	(n=107)	(n=102)		
	100%	93%	56%	41%	32%	31%		
The New Teacher Project	(n=288)	(n=268)	(n=160)	(n=118)	(n=93)	(n=90)		

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF 2012-13 COMPLETERS WHO BEGAN TEACHING IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS IN LOUISIANA DURING 2013-14

The numbers of undergraduate and alternate completers who completed in 2012-13 and began teaching in public schools in Louisiana in 2013-14 are listed below. Teacher candidates completers who began teaching in private schools in Louisiana or began teaching in other states during 2013-14 are not listed below.

	Undergradua	te Completers	Alternate (Completers
Teacher Preparation Programs	Number & Percentage Completed in 2012-13	Number & Percentage Teaching in Public Schools in 2013-14	Number & Percentage Completed in 2012-13	Number & Percentage Teaching in Public Schools in 2013-14
	PUBLIC UNIV	ERSITIES		
Grambling State University	100% (n=19)	47% (n=9)	100% (n=4)	75% (n=3)
Louisiana State University at Alexandria	100% (n=18)	78% (n=14)	100% (n=4)	100% (n=4)
Louisiana State University and A&M College	100% (n=240)	50% (n=120)	100% (n=83)	60% (n=50)
Louisiana State University at Shreveport	100% (n=47)	72% (n=34)	100% (n=30)	73% (n=22)
Louisiana Tech University	100% (n=79)	63% (n=50)	100% (n=96)	68% (n=65)
McNeese State University	100% (n=116)	<mark>74% (n=86)</mark>	100% (n=50)	<mark>76% (n=38)</mark>
Nicholls State University	100% (n=101)	88% (n=89)	100% (n=38)	79% (n=30)
Northwestern State University	100% (n=69)	64% (n=44)	100% (n=67)	84%(n=56)
Southeastern Louisiana University	100% (n=231)	72% (n=167)	100% (n=46)	76% (n=35)
Southern University and A&M College	100% (n=20)	80% (n=16)	100% (n=25)	88% (n=22)
Southern University at New Orleans	100% (n=12)	92% (n=11)	100% (n=14)	71% (n=10)
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	100% (n=200)	72% (n=143)	100% (n=96)	64% (n=61)
University of Louisiana at Monroe	100% (n=66)	65% (n=43)	100% (n=32)	69% (n=22)
University of New Orleans	100% (n=45)	62% (n=28)	100% (n=81)	73% (n=59)
	PRIVATE UNI	VERSITIES		
Centenary College	100% (n=12)	75% (n=9)	100% (n=24)	67% (n=16)
Louisiana College	100% (n=10)	80% (n=8)	100% (n=141)	82% (n=116)
Tulane University			100% (n=29)	45% (n=13)
University of Holy Cross	100% (n=22)	41% (n=9)	100% (n=39)	26% (n=10)
Xavier University of Louisiana	100% (n=3)	33% (n=1)	100% (n=14)	71% (n=10)
	PRIVATE PR	OVIDERS		
Louisiana Resource Center for Educators			100% (n=157)	73% (n=115)
The New Teacher Project			100% (n=163)	83% (n=135)

MEANS AND EFFECTIVENESS LEVELS FOR COMPASS FINAL EVALUATION SCORES BY UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS FOR FIRST AND SECOND YEAR TEACHERS IN 2012-13 AND 2013-14

The Louisiana Department of Education states that "Compass" is Louisiana's educator support and evaluation system designed to provide all educators with regular, meaningful feedback on their performance (http://www.louisianabelieves.com/teaching/compass). Under this system, every teacher in public schools in Louisiana is evaluated annually using a four-tiered rating – Highly Effective, Effective: Proficient, Effective: Emerging, and Ineffective. Half of the evaluation is based on achievement of student learning targets to generate the Compass Student Outcome score and half of the evaluation is based on observations by teachers' supervisors using the appropriate Compass rubric to generate a Compass Professional Practice score. The two scores are combined to generate Compass Final Evaluation Scores that range from 1.00 to 4.00.

The first chart on the next page identifies the mean Compass Final Evaluation scores of all first and second year teachers who taught in 2012-13 and 2013-14 and completed undergraduate teacher preparation programs during or before 2012-13. In addition, it identifies the percentage of first and second year teachers who obtained Compass Final Evaluation scores in each of the four effectiveness levels after completing their undergraduate teacher preparation programs.

The next chart identifies the mean Compass <u>Professional Practice</u> scores of all first and second year teachers who taught in 2012-13 a n d 2 0 1 3 - 14 and completed undergraduate teacher preparation programs during or before 2012-13. In addition, it identifies the percentage of first and second year teachers who obtained Compass Professional Practices scores in each of the four effectiveness levels after completing their undergraduate teacher preparation programs.

The third chart identifies the mean Compass <u>Student Growth</u> scores of all first and second year teachers who taught in 2012-13 and 2013-14 and completed undergraduate teacher preparation programs during or before 2012-2013. In addition, it identifies the percentage of first and second year teachers who obtained Compass Student Growth scores in each of the four effectiveness levels after completing their undergraduate teacher preparation programs.

.

UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS

COMPASS FINAL EVALUATION

	Compass Final Evaluation								
<mark>Undergraduate</mark> Teacher			Compass Effective	veness Levels					
Preparation Programs	Mean	Ineffective	Effective: Emerging	Effective: Proficient	Highly Effective				
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES									
Grambling State	3.0								
University	(n=30)	3%	10%	67%	20%				
Louisiana State University	2.9								
at Alexandria	(n=42)	10%	10%	62%	19%				
Louisiana State University and A&M College	3.0 (n=409)	3%	14%	60%	24%				
Louisiana State University	3.2								
at Shreveport	(n=132)	2%	14%	49%	35%				
Louisiana Tech University	3.2 (n=203)	3%	9%	51%	37%				
McNeese State University	3.2 (n=322)	<mark>3%</mark>	<mark>6%</mark>	<mark>54%</mark>	<mark>37%</mark>				
Nicholls State University	3.1 (n=309)	3%	9%	68%	20%				
Northwestern State	3.0								
University	(n=151)	5%	11%	62%	23%				
Southeastern Louisiana University	3.0 (n=586)	4%	11%	65%	21%				
Southern University and A&M College	3.0 (n=83)	4%	17%	57%	23%				
Southern University at New Orleans	2.8 (n=25)	8%	16%	64%	12%				
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	3.1 (n=555)	5%	8%	61%	26%				
University of Louisiana at	3.2	370	670	0176	2070				
Monroe Conversity of Louisiana at	3.2 (n=174)	4%	9%	56%	32%				
University of New Orleans	3.0	7/0	370	30/0	32/0				
oniversity of New Orleans	3.0 (n=125)	2%	10%	78%	10%				
		ATE UNIVERS		1 2/2					
Louisiana College	3.2 (n=36)	3%	6%	61%	31%				

UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

COMPASS PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE

		Compa	ss Professional	Practice						
Undergraduate Teacher		C	ompass Effectiv	veness Levels						
Preparation Programs	Mean		Effective:	Effective:	Highly					
		Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective					
	PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES									
Grambling State	3.1									
University	(n=30)	0%	7%	70%	23%					
Louisiana State University	3.0									
at Alexandria	(n=42)	2%	7%	79%	12%					
Louisiana State University	3.0									
and A&M College	(n=409)	1%	17%	65%	17%					
Louisiana State University	3.1									
at Shreveport	(n=132)	1%	13%	54%	33%					
Louisiana Tech University	3.2									
	(n=203)	0%	12%	59%	30%					
McNeese State University	<mark>3.2</mark>									
	<mark>(n=322)</mark>	<mark>0%</mark>	<mark>8%</mark>	<mark>64%</mark>	<mark>29%</mark>					
Nicholls State University	3.0									
	(n=309)	0%	12%	77%	11%					
Northwestern State	3.0									
University	(n=151)	1%	15%	67%	18%					
Southeastern Louisiana	3.0									
University	(n=586)	0%	18%	68%	13%					
Southern University and	2.9	40/	2=0/		4.50/					
A&M College	(n=83)	1%	25%	59%	15%					
Southern University at	2.9	***	400/	500/	4.504					
New Orleans	(n=25)	4%	12%	68%	16%					
University of Louisiana at	3.0	22/	4.40/		100/					
Lafayette	(n=555)	0%	11%	70%	19%					
University of Louisiana at	3.2	401	701	6001	2004					
Monroe	(n=174)	1%	7%	63%	30%					
University of New Orleans	2.9	021	400/	7-01	4654					
	(n=125)	0%	13%	77%	10%					
X		ATE UNIVERSI		T	1					
Louisiana College	3.0	0%	14%	67%	19%					
	(n=36)									

UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

COMPASS STUDENT GROWTH

	Compass Student Growth								
<mark>Undergraduate</mark> Teacher		C	Compass Effectiveness Levels						
Preparation Programs	Mean		Effective:	Effective:	Highly				
		Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective				
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES									
Grambling State	3.1								
University	(n=30)	3%	10%	43%	43%				
Louisiana State University	3.1								
at Alexandria	(n=42)	7%	12%	33%	48%				
Louisiana State University	3.2								
and A&M College	(n=409)	2%	14%	34%	50%				
Louisiana State University	3.2								
at Shreveport	(n=132)	2%	17%	28%	53%				
Louisiana Tech University	3.3								
	(n=203)	3%	10%	28%	60%				
McNeese State University	<mark>3.3</mark>								
	<mark>(n=322)</mark>	<mark>3%</mark>	<mark>7%</mark>	<mark>32%</mark>	<mark>58%</mark>				
Nicholls State University	3.2								
	(n=309)	3%	14%	32%	51%				
Northwestern State	3.2	***	400/	222/	- 224				
University	(n=151)	4%	13%	32%	52%				
Southeastern Louisiana	3.2								
University	(n=586)	3%	13%	35%	49%				
Southern University and	3.1	22/	400/	240/	4=0/				
A&M College	(n=83)	2%	19%	31%	47%				
Southern University at	2.8	00/	4.50/	4.40/	220/				
New Orleans	(n=25)	8%	16%	44%	32%				
University of Louisiana at	3.1	5 0/	420/	260/	4.50/				
Lafayette	(n=555)	5%	13%	36%	46%				
University of Louisiana at	3.2	40/	4.40/	220/	F40/				
Monroe	(n=174)	4%	14%	32%	51%				
University of New Orleans	3.1	20/	470/	2007	430/				
	(n=125)	2% ATE UNIVERSI	17%	39%	42%				
Lavisiana Callaga				200/	F.C0/				
Louisiana College	3.3	3%	3%	39%	56%				
	(n=36)			<u> </u>					

The Louisiana Department of Education states that *Compass* is Louisiana's educator support and evaluation system designed to provide all educators with regular, meaningful feedback on their performance (http://www.louisianabelieves.com/teaching/compass). Under this system, every teacher in public schools in Louisiana is evaluated annually using a four-tiered rating – Highly Effective, Effective: Proficient, Effective: Emerging, and Ineffective. Half of the evaluation is based on achievement of student learning targets to generate the Compass Student Outcome score and half of the evaluation is based on observations by their supervisor using the appropriate Compass rubric to generate a Compass Professional Practice score. The two scores are averaged to generate a Compass Final Evaluation Scores that ranges from 1.00 to 4.00.

The chart on the next page identifies the mean Compass <u>Final Evaluation</u> scores of all first and second year teachers who taught in 2012-13 and 2013-14 and completed alternate teacher preparation programs during or before 2012-13. In addition, it identifies the percentage of first and second year teachers who obtained Compass Final Evaluation scores in each of the four effectiveness levels after completing their alternate teacher preparation programs.

The next chart identifies the mean Compass <u>Professional Practice</u> scores of all first and second year teachers who taught in 2012-13 and 2013 - 14 and completed alternate teacher preparation programs during or before 2012-13. In addition, the following chart identifies the percentage of first and second year teachers who obtained Compass Professional Practices scores in each of the four effectiveness levels after completing their alternate teacher preparation programs.

The last chart identifies the mean Compass <u>Student Growth</u> scores of all first and second year teachers who taught in 2012-13 and 2013 -14 and completed alternate teacher preparation programs during or before 2012-13. In addition, it identifies the percentage of first and second year teachers who obtained Compass Student Growth scores in each of the four effectiveness levels after completing their alternate teacher preparation programs.

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS

COMPASS FINAL EVALUATION

	COMPASS FINAL EVALUATION						
Alternate Teacher		C	ompass Effecti	veness Levels			
Preparation Programs	Mean		Effective	Effective	Highly		
		Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective		
	PUBI	LIC UNIVERSIT	TIES				
Louisiana State University and A&M College – Master of Arts in Teaching	3.2 (n=156)	4%	10%	54%	33%		
Louisiana State University at Shreveport – Certification-Only	3.3 (n=136)	5%	4%	43%	48%		
Louisiana Tech University – Certification-Only	3.2 (n=67)	5%	8%	48%	40%		
Louisiana Tech University - Master of Arts in Teaching	3.4 (n=194)	4%	4%	41%	51%		
McNeese State University – Master of Arts in Teaching	3.3 (n=148)	<mark>3%</mark>	<mark>4%</mark>	<mark>50%</mark>	<mark>43%</mark>		
Nicholls State University – Certification-Only	3.0(n=195)	3%	13%	62%	22%		
Northwestern State University – Practitioner Teacher Program	3.0 (n=56)	7%	7%	64%	21%		
Southeastern Louisiana University – Master of Arts in Teaching	3.2 (n=34)	0%	3%	74%	24%		
Southern University and A&M College – Certification-Only	3.1 (n=97)	4%	8%	64%	24%		
Southern University at New Orleans – Certification-Only	2.9 (n=36)	6%	17%	56%	22%		
University of Louisiana at Lafayette – Certification- Only	3.1 (n=287)	6%	10%	58%	27%		
University of Louisiana at Monroe – Master of Arts in Teaching	3.1 (n=186)	7%	6%	54%	33%		
University of New Orleans – Certification-Only	3.0 (n=73)	8%	10%	60%	22%		

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

COMPASS FINAL EVALUATION (CONT'D.)

	COMPASS FINAL EVALUATION								
Alternate Teacher		Compass Effectiveness Levels							
Preparation Programs	Mean	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
PRIVATE UNIVERSITIES									
Centenary College	3.3 (n=32)	0%	3%	63%	34%				
- Masters of Arts in									
Teaching									
Louisiana College -	3.2 (n=411)	2%	9%	53%	37%				
Practitioner Teacher									
Tulane University –	3.0 (n=28)	4%	14%	61%	21%				
Certification Only									
University of Holy	3.0 (n=45)	4%	7%	76%	13%				
Cross –									
Certification Only									
Xavier University –	2.8 (n=40)	5%	20%	65%	10%				
Master of Arts in									
Teaching									
	PRIV	ATE PROVIDI	ERS						
Louisiana Resource Center	3.2 (n=373)	1%	8%	65%	26%				
for Educators - Practitioner									
Teacher									
The New Teacher Project -	2.9 (n=429)	7%	12%	63%	18%				
Practitioner Teacher									
Program									

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

COMPASS PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE

	COMPASS PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE					
Alternate Teacher		C	ompass Effecti	veness Levels		
Preparation Program	Mean		Effective	Effective	Highly	
		Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective	
	PUBI	LIC UNIVERSI	ΓIES			
Louisiana State University	3.0 (n=156)	1%	13%	67%	20%	
and A&M College – Master						
of Arts in Teaching						
Louisiana State University	3.4 (n=136)	0%	2%	52%	46%	
at Shreveport –						
Certification-Only						
Louisiana Tech University	3.3 (n=67)	0%	8%	52%	40%	
Certification-Only						
Louisiana Tech University	3.3 (n=194)	0%	4%	58%	38%	
Master of Arts in Teaching						
McNeese State University –	3.3 (n=148)	<mark>0%</mark>	<mark>7%</mark>	<mark>53%</mark>	<mark>41%</mark>	
Master of Arts in Teaching						
Nicholls State University –	3.0 (n=195)	0%	12%	72%	16%	
Certification-Only						
Northwestern State	3.1 (n=56)	2%	11%	65%	25%	
University – Practitioner						
Teacher						
Southeastern Louisiana	3.1 (n=34)	0%	15%	65%	21%	
University – Master of Arts						
in Teaching						
Southern University and	3.0 (n=97)	0%	118%	67%	16%	
A&M College –						
Certification-Only						
Southern University at	3.0 (n=36)	0%	8%	78%	14%	
New Orleans						
University of Louisiana at	3.0 (n=287)	1%	12%	70%	18%	
Lafayette – Certification-						
Only						
University of Louisiana at	3.2 (n=186)	0%	5%	67%	29%	
Monroe – Master of Arts in						
Teaching						
University of New Orleans	3.0 (n=73)	1%	16%	69%	23%	
Certification-Only						

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

COMPASS PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE (CONT'D.)

		COMPASS PRO	OFESSIONAL	PRACTICE	
Alternate Teacher		C	ompass Effectiv	veness Levels	
Preparation Program	Mean		Effective	Effective	Highly
		Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective
	PRIV	ATE UNIVERSI	TIES		
Centenary College	3.3 (n=32)	0%	6%	53%	41%
- Master of Arts in					
Teaching					
Louisiana College -	32 (n=411)	0%	9%	62%	29%
Practitioner Teacher					
Tulane University	3.0 (n=28)	0%	18%	68%	14%
University of Holy	3.1 (n=45)	2%	9%	67%	22%
Cross –					
Certification-Only					
Xavier University –	3.0 (n=40)	0%	18%	73%	10%
Master of Arts in					
Teaching					
	PRI	VATE PROVIDI	ERS	l	
Louisiana Resource Center	3.1 (n=373)	0%	12%	67%	21%
for Educators - Practitioner					
Teacher					
The New Teacher Project	3.0 (n=429)	0%	12%	73%	15%
- Practitioner Teacher					
Program					

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

COMPASS STUDENT GROWTH

		COMPASS	STUDENT GR	ROWTH	
Alternate Teacher			Compass Effecti		
Preparation Programs	Mean	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective
	PUBI	LIC UNIVERSI	TIES		
Grambling State University – Certification-Only	3.1 (n=30)	3%	10%	43%	43%
Louisiana State University and A&M College – Master of Arts in Teaching	3.2 (n=156)	3%	14%	28%	55%
Louisiana State University at Shreveport – Certification-Only	3.4 (n=136)	5%	6%	27%	63%
Louisiana Tech University Master of Arts in Teaching	3.4 (n=194)	4%	7%	23%	67%
McNeese State University – Master of Arts in Teaching	3.4 (n=148)	<mark>3%</mark>	<mark>7%</mark>	<mark>29%</mark>	<mark>61%</mark>
Nicholls State University – Certification-Only	3.1 (n=195)	3%	16%	36%	45%
Northwestern State University – Practitioner Teacher	3.0 (n=56)	5%	16%	36%	43%
Southeastern Louisiana University – Master of Arts in Teaching	3.1 (n=34)	0%	21%	32%	47%
Southern University and A&M College – Certification-Only	3.2 (n=97)	4%	12%	35%	49%
Southern University of New Orleans – Certification-Only	3.0 (n=36)	6%	22%	25%	47%
University of Louisiana at Lafayette – Certification- Only	3.1 (n=287)	6%	15%	31%	48%
University of Louisiana at Monroe – Master of Arts in Teaching	3.1 (n=186)	7%	14%	31%	48%
University of New Orleans – Certification-Only	2.9 (n=73)	7%	16%	41%	36%

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

COMPASS STUDENT GROWTH (CONT'D.)

		COMPASS	STUDENT GR	OWTH		
Alternate Teacher		C	ompass Effectiv	veness Levels		
Preparation Programs	Mean		Effective	Effective	Highly	
		Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective	
	PRIV	ATE UNIVERSI	TIES			
Centenary College-	3.2 (n=32)	0%	13%	41%	47%	
Master of Arts in						
Teaching						
Louisiana College –	3.20 (n=411)	2%	13%	34%	52%	
Practitioner Teacher						
Tulane University –	3.2 (n=28)	4%	18%	25%	54%	
Certification Only						
University of Holy	2.9 (n=45)	4%	24%	42%	29%	
Cross						
Xavier University	2.8 (n=40)	5%	23%	48%	25%	
	PRI	VATE PROVIDI	ERS			
Louisiana Resource Center	3.2 (n=373)	1%	16%	36%	52%	
for Educators – Practitioner						
Teacher						
The New Teacher Project –	2.9 (n=429)	7%	18%	41%	34%	
Practitioner Teacher						
Program						

VALUE-ADDED EVALUATION OF LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAMS FOR ACADEMIC YEARS 2009-2010, 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, AND 2013-2014

Value-added scores have been calculated by the Louisiana Department of Education for all teachers whose students are assessed for mathematics (grades 4-10), science (grades 4-8), social studies (grades 4-8), and English language arts (grades 4-8). Value-added scores have been identified for first and second year teachers who have completed Elementary (Grades 1-5), Middle School (Grades 4-8), or Secondary (Grades 6-12) teacher preparation programs and are teaching in the areas of certification that they were prepared to teach. Data have only been provided if there are 25 or more value-added scores for first and second year teachers for each content area. In some cases, the same teacher may have value-added scores for different content areas. As an example, an elementary teacher is responsible for teaching math, science, social studies, and language arts and the same teacher may have a value-added score listed for each of those areas. Thus, 25 scores listed for math, 25 scores listed for science, 25 scores listed for social studies, and 25 scores listed for English language arts may actually represent 25 elementary teachers instead of 100 different teachers. In the case of a middle school teacher responsible for teaching science, they will have a value-added score calculated for their students just in the area of science and scores could represent different teachers.

The value-added score means were calculated using the Louisiana department of Education hierarchical linear model which is described at the following URL: http://www.louisianabelieves.com/teaching/value-added-model.

On the following pages, all means describe the performance of the teacher preparation programs listed in the left column. The means, Ns, and standard errors of the mean appear in the same row for each group. The value added score means were calculated using the Louisiana department of Education hierarchical linear model which is described at the following URL: http://www.louisianabelieves.com/teaching/value-added-model. The value-added scores are rounded to the nearest tenth of a point. The means for new teachers are calculated for *all* teachers in a given content area who are teaching in their first or second year. If a program had 25 or more new teachers with 3 years of data, the 3-year means are reported. If four- or five-years of data were needed to generate a minimum of 25 scores for a content area, the means represented the four- or five-years of data. Teachers from Louisiana teacher preparation programs are only counted as new from a teacher preparation program if they entered as a first year teacher within five years or less from their program completion date. Data are not reported if institutions have less than 25 new teachers in a content area.

The Louisiana Department of Education has prepared tables that identify the distribution of value-added scores for all individual teachers for each academic year across the four teacher effectiveness levels for the Compass teacher evaluation system. The distribution table for 2013-14 has been provided below. As an example, if a teacher obtained a value-added score of 1.9 in Language Arts based upon the growth of achievement of their students, the score would be in the Effective Proficient range. If they obtained a value-added score of -11.6 in Language Arts, their value-added score would be in the Ineffective range.

Transitional Stu	Transitional Student Growth Data for All Individual Teachers (Compass) in Public Schools in Louisiana											
Individual Teacher Labels For State Teacher Evaluation System	Distribution of Tr	<mark>ansitional Student</mark> Teachers	Percentile Ranges of Transitional Student Growth									
(Compass)	Language Arts	Mathematics	<u>Science</u>	Social Studies	Data Scores for all Individual Teachers							
Highly Effective	7.5 and higher	12.5 & higher	6.5 & higher	6.5 & higher	80 th percentile and above							
Effective Proficient	0.5 to 7.4	-0.4 to 12.4	0.4 to 6.4	-0.4 to 6.4	50 th to 79 th percentile							
Effective Emerging	-11.4 to 0.4	-19.4 to -0.5	-9.4 to -0.5	-10.4 to -0.5	11 th to 49 th percentile							
Ineffective	-11.5 & Lower	-19.5 & Lower	-9.5 & lower	-10.5 & Lower	At or below 10 th percentile							

UNDERGRADUATE

MATHEMATICS (GRADES 4-10)

Teacher Preparation Programs	2011-	3 years 2011-12 to 2013-14			4 years 11 to 20			years 0 to 20	13-14
	Mean	N	SEM	Mean	N	SEM	Mean	N	SEM
	P	UBLIC	UNIVE	RSITIE	S				
Louisiana State University and A&M College	1.1	77	1.6						
Louisiana State University – Shreveport	-0.9	33	2.6						
Louisiana Tech University	-4.0	52	1.8						
McNeese State University	<mark>-9.9</mark>	<mark>41</mark>	1.7						
Nicholls State University	0.1	40	1.8						
Northwestern State University				-3.7	29	2.5			
Southeastern Louisiana University	-4.0	75	1.5						
Southern University and A&M College				3.3	25	2.5			
University of Louisiana Lafayette	-4.1	123	1.3						
University of Louisiana Monroe	-4.6	33	2.2						
University of New Orleans							1.0	34	2.1

UNDERGRADUATE (CONT'D.)

SCIENCE (GRADES 4-8)

Teacher Preparation Programs	2011	3 years 12 to 20	12 14		4 years 11 to 20	12 14		5 years .0 to 20	12 14
Programs	Mean	N 12 (0 20.	SEM	Mean	11 to 20 N	SEM	Mean	N	SEM
				RSITIE		02	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		02
Louisiana State University and A&M College	0.0	57	0.9						
Louisiana State University – Shreveport				0.3	34	1.5			
Louisiana Tech University	-1.2	35	1.5						
McNeese State University				<mark>-2.7</mark>	<mark>34</mark>	1.0			
Nicholls State University	-1.2	34	1.2						
Northwestern State University							-0.4	31	1.3
Southeastern Louisiana University	-1.3	62	0.9						
Southern University and A&M College							-1.6	34	1.7
University of Louisiana Lafayette	-2.2	92	0.8						
University of Louisiana Monroe	-1.3	27	1.5						
University of New Orleans				1.7	26	1.2			

UNDERGRADUATE (CONT'D.)

SOCIAL STUDIES (GRADES 4-8)

Teacher Preparation Programs	2011	3 years 12 to 20:	12_1/		4 years 11 to 20	12_1/		years 0 to 20	12_1/
Fiograms	Mean	N N	SEM	Mean	11 to 20 N	SEM	Mean	N N	SEM
	P	UBLIC	UNIVE	RSITIE	S				
Louisiana State University and A&M College	-0.3	57	1.0						
Louisiana State University – Shreveport				-2.5	30	1.8			
Louisiana Tech University	-3.4	32	1.4						
McNeese State University	<mark>-2.6</mark>	<mark>31</mark>	<mark>1.3</mark>						
Nicholls State University	-3.1	47	1.4						
Northwestern State University	0.3	31	1.5						
Southeastern Louisiana University	-2.6	89	1.0						
Southern University and A&M College				0.6	28	2.2			
University of Louisiana Lafayette	-4.6	99	1.1						
University of Louisiana Monroe				-3.1	27	2.0			
University of New Orleans							-0.9	27	1.4

UNDERGRADUATE (CONT'D.)

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS (GRADES 4-8)

Teacher Preparation Programs	2011-	3 years 12 to 20:	13-14	2010-	4 years 11 to 20	13-14		years 0 to 20:	L3-14
	Mean	N	SEM	Mean	N	SEM	Mean	N	SEM
	I	PUBLIC	UNIVE	RSITIE	S				
Louisiana State University and A&M College	-2.1	81	0.8						
Louisiana State University – Shreveport	-2.9	32	1.5						
Louisiana Tech University	-3.9	49	1.5						
McNeese State University	<mark>-6.4</mark>	<mark>40</mark>	<mark>1.5</mark>						
Nicholls State University	-1.3	57	1.2						
Northwestern State University	-1.4	38	1.7						
Southeastern Louisiana University	-1.8	109	0.8						
Southern University and A&M College				-0.6	35	1.6			
University of Louisiana Lafayette	-3.6	131	0.8						
University of Louisiana Monroe	-2.7	47	1.4						
University of New Orleans	-0.6	26	1.3						

ALTERNATE

MATHEMATICS (GRADES 4-10)

Teacher Preparation	3 years 4 years		•	5	years				
Programs	2011-	12 to 20	13-14	2010-1	1 to 20	013-14	2009-10	to 20	13-14
	Mean	N	SEM	Mean	N	SEM	Mean	N	SEM
	PUI	BLIC UN	NIVERS	SITIES					
Louisiana State University	4.8	39	2.6						
– Master of Arts in									
Teaching									
Louisiana State University				-3.5	26	2.4			
– Shreveport –									
Certification-Only									
Louisiana Tech University –	-6.4	25	2.4						
Certification-Only	4.2	27	2.0						
Louisiana Tech University -	-4.2	37	2.0						
Master of Arts in Teaching McNeese State University				<mark>-2.7</mark>	31	<mark>2.1</mark>			
Master of Arts in				<u>-2.7</u>	<mark>51</mark>	<u> </u>			
Teaching									
Nicholls State University –	-0.2	47	2.0						
Certification-Only									
Northwestern State							0.1	31	1.8
University – Practitioner									
Teacher Program									
Southeastern Louisiana							-1.6	33	2.4
University – Master of Arts in									
Teaching									
Southern University and A&M	1.6	26	2.3						
College – Certification-Only									
University of Louisiana –	-3.5	57	1.7						
Lafayette – Certification-Only									
University of Louisiana -				-2.9	35	2.3			
Monroe – Master of Arts in									
Teaching University of New Orleans –				3.2	25	2.0			
Certification-Only				3.2	23	2.0			
,	PRIV	ATE U	NIVER	SITIES	l		•		
Louisiana College – Practitioner	0.1	93	1.8						
Teacher Program									
	PR	IVATE	PROVI	DERS					
Louisiana Resource Center for	1.5	95	1.3						
Educators – Practitioner									
Teacher Program	7.0	107	4 -	-		-			
The New Teacher Project –	7.6	107	1.5						
Practitioner Teacher Program				l					

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

SCIENCE (GRADES 4-8)

Teacher Preparation		3 years 4 years					į	5 years			
Programs	2011-	12 to 20	13-14	2010-	11 to 20	13-14	2009-1	0 to 201	13-14		
	Mean	N	SEM	Mean	N	SEM	Mean	N	SEM		
	P	UBLIC	UNIVE	RSITIE	S						
Louisiana State	2.3	30	1.4								
University – Master of											
Arts in Teaching											
Louisiana State	-0.3	28	1.4								
University – Shreveport											
Certification-Only											
Louisiana Tech	-1.6	25	1.0								
University –											
Certification-Only											
Louisiana Tech				-2.3	28	1.8					
University - Master of											
Arts in Teaching											
McNeese State							<mark>-2.0</mark>	<mark>31</mark>	<mark>1.4</mark>		
University – Master of											
Arts in Teaching											
Nicholls State University	-2.4	35	1.0								
Certification-Only											
Northwestern State							1.1	30	1.5		
University – Practitioner											
Teacher Program											
University of Louisiana –	0.1	48	0.9								
Lafayette – Certification-Only											
University of Louisiana -	-1.3	38	0.8								
Monroe – Master of Arts in											
Teaching											
	P	RIVATE	E UNIVI	ERSITII	ES						
Louisiana College –	-1.6	75	0.9								
Practitioner Teacher Program											
]	PRIVAT	E PRO	VIDERS	5				•		
Louisiana Resource Center for	1.7	62	0.9								
Educators – Practitioner											
Teacher Program											
The New Teacher Project –	2.4	68	1.3								
Practitioner Teacher											
Program											

ALTERNATE (CONT'D.)

SOCIAL STUDIES (GRADES 4-8)

Teacher Preparation		3 years			4 years			years	
Programs		12 to 20	1		11 to 20	1		0 to 20	
	Mean	N	SEM	Mean	N	SEM	Mean	N	SEM
				RSITIE	S	1	I		ı
Louisiana State	4.2	34	1.4						
University – Master of									
Arts in Teaching									
Louisiana State	1.3	34	1.7						
University – Shreveport									
 Certification-Only 									
Louisiana Tech				-5.6	25	2.0			
University –									
Certification-Only									
Louisiana Tech							-4.8	31	1.7
University Master of									
Arts in Teaching									
McNeese State							<mark>-1.7</mark>	<mark>31</mark>	<mark>1.9</mark>
University – Master of									
Arts in Teaching									
Nicholls State University	-4.3	31	1.7						
– Certification-Only									
Southern University and				3.4	25	1.5			
A&M College									
University of Louisiana –	-2.1	66	1.2						
Lafayette – Certification-Only									
University of Louisiana -	-4.6	26	1.8						
Monroe – Master of Arts in									
Teaching									
	P	RIVATI	E UNIVI	ERSITII	ES				
Louisiana College –	-0.2	76	1.2						
Practitioner Teacher Program									
		PRIVAT	E PRO	VIDERS	3				
Louisiana Resource Center for	0.7	57	1.3						
Educators – Practitioner									
Teacher Program									
The New Teacher Project –	9.7	55	1.8						
Practitioner Teacher									
Program									

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS (GRADES 4-8)

Teacher Preparation		3 years			4 years			5 years	
Programs		12 to 20			11 to 20			0 to 20	
	Mean	N	SEM	Mean	N	SEM	Mean	N	SEM
	P	PUBLIC	UNIVE	RSITIE	S				
Louisiana State	1.4	33	1.3						
University – Master of									
Arts in Teaching									
Louisiana State	0.3	39	1.6						
University – Shreveport									
 Certification-Only 									
Louisiana Tech							-2.2	32	1.4
University –									
Certification-Only									
Louisiana Tech	-1.9	35	1.6						
University Master of									
Arts in Teaching									
McNeese State	<mark>-3.7</mark>	<mark>32</mark>	<mark>1.6</mark>						
University – Master of									
Arts in Teaching									
Nicholls State University	-1.4	52	1.1						
Certification-Only									
Northwestern State							-0.5	36	1.8
University – Practitioner									
Teacher Program									
Southeastern Louisiana							2.1	27	2.2
University – Master of Arts in									
Teaching									
Southern University and							0.6	27	1.2
A&M College									
University of Holy Cross –							0.4	25	1.8
Certification-Only									
University of Louisiana –	-2.0	-81	0.9						
Lafayette – Certification-Only									
University of Louisiana -	-1.5	33	1.3						
Monroe – Master of Arts in									
Teaching									
University of New Orleans							1.7	26	1.8
Certification-Only									
	1		T	ERSITII	ES				1
Louisiana College –	-2.0	99	1.3						
Practitioner Teacher Program			1						
University of Holy Cross –							0.4	25	1.8
Certification-Only									

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS (GRADES 4-8) (CONT'D.)

Teacher Preparation Programs	2011-	3 years 12 to 20	13-14	2010-	4 years 11 to 20	13-14		5 years .0 to 201	l 3-14
	Mean	N	SEM	Mean	N	SEM	Mean	N	SEM
PRIVATE PROVIDERS									
Louisiana Resource Center for Educators – Practitioner Teacher Program	-0.7	82	0.9						
The New Teacher Project – Practitioner Teacher	2.5	99	0.9						

The following charts identify the percentage of first and second year teachers who completed undergraduate teacher preparation programs and obtained value-added scores in each of the four levels of effectiveness for each of the four content areas. A minimum of 25 scores were required for each content area for percentages to be listed. The 25 scores were generated over a three year time period as new teachers taught during (2011-12 to 2013-14), four year time period as new teachers taught during (2010-11 to 2013-14), or five year time period as new teachers taught during (2009-2014) depending upon the sizes of the programs. The previous section of the fact book provides the means and numbers of teachers for each of the institutions listed below for each of the content areas.

UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS

MATHEMATICS

UNDERGRADUATE	Levels of Effectiveness for Value-added Scores						
TEACHER PREPARATION		Effective:	Effective:	Highly			
PROGRAMS	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective			
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES							
Louisiana State University and A&M College	10%	35%	35%	20%			
Louisiana State University at Shreveport	12%	42%	24%	21%			
Louisiana Tech University	15%	44%	27%	14%			
McNeese State University	<mark>32%</mark>	<mark>46%</mark>	<mark>20%</mark>	<mark>2%</mark>			
Nicholls State University	8%	40%	28%	25%			
Northwestern State University	14%	48%	28%	10%			
Southeastern Louisiana University	17%	40%	33%	9%			
Southern University and A&M College	4%	40%	20%	36%			
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	20%	44%	24%	13%			
University of Louisiana at Monroe	18%	33%	42%	6%			
University of New Orleans	9%	35%	27%	29%			

UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

SCIENCE

UNDERGRADUATE	Levels of Effectiveness for Value-added Scores						
TEACHER PREPARATION		Effective:	Effective:	Highly			
PROGRAMS	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective			
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES							
Louisiana State University and A&M	11%	33%	37%	19%			
College							
Louisiana State University at	12%	24%	38%	27%			
Shreveport							
Louisiana Tech University	14%	40%	26%	20%			
McNeese State University	<mark>12%</mark>	<mark>50%</mark>	35%	<mark>3%</mark>			
Wicheese State Oniversity	12/0	30%	53/0	3 /0			
Nicholls State University	6%	47%	38%	9%			
Northwestern State University	10%	42%	23%	26%			
Southeastern Louisiana University	8%	44%	40%	8%			
Boutheastern Louisiana Oniversity	870	4470	40/0	870			
Southern University and A&M	18%	38%	24%	21%			
College							
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	11%	48%	29%	12%			
University of Louisiana at Monroe	11%	33%	41%	15%			
University of New Orleans	0%	39%	46%	15%			

UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

SOCIAL STUDIES

UNDERGRADUATE	Levels of Effectiveness for Value-added Scores						
TEACHER PREPARATION		Effective:	Effective:	Highly			
PROGRAMS	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective			
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES							
Louisiana State University and A&M College	4%	51%	33%	12%			
Louisiana State University at Shreveport	7%	57%	27%	10%			
Louisiana Tech University	9%	59%	19%	13%			
McNeese State University	<mark>7%</mark>	<mark>55%</mark>	<mark>26%</mark>	<mark>13%</mark>			
Nicholls State University	15%	47%	21%	17%			
Northwestern State University	0%	45%	39%	16%			
Southeastern Louisiana University	15%	48%	26%	11%			
Southern University and A&M College	11%	36%	29%	25%			
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	16%	47%	25%	12%			
University of Louisiana at Monroe	7%	59%	15%	19%			
University of New Orleans	7%	41%	44%	7%			

UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

UNDERGRADUATE	Levels of Effectiveness for Value-added Scores						
TEACHER PREPATION		Effective:	Effective:	Highly			
PROGRAMS	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective			
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES							
Louisiana State University and A&M College	12%	53%	25%	10%			
Louisiana State University at Shreveport	13%	60%	13%	16%			
Louisiana Tech University	14%	57%	12%	16%			
McNeese State University	<mark>28%</mark>	<mark>48%</mark>	<mark>23%</mark>	<mark>3%</mark>			
Nicholls State University	16%	37%	26%	21%			
Northwestern State University	13%	40%	29%	18%			
Southeastern Louisiana University	10%	50%	29%	11%			
Southern University and A&M College	9%	46%	20%	26%			
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	18%	47%	23%	12%			
University of Louisiana at Monroe	17%	47%	23%	13%			
University of New Orleans	8%	39%	42%	12%			

The following charts identify the percentage of first and second year teachers who completed alternate teacher preparation programs and obtained value-added scores in each of the four levels of effectiveness for each of the four content areas. A minimum of 25 scores were required for each content area for percentages to be listed. The 25 scores were generated over a three year time period as new teachers taught during (2011-12 to 2013-14), four year time period as new teachers taught during (2010-11 to 2013-14), or five year time period as new teachers taught during (2009-10 to 2013-14) depending upon the sizes of the programs. The previous section of the fact book provides the means and numbers of teachers for each of the institutions listed below for each of the content areas.

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS

MATHEMATICS

ALTERNATE	Levels of Effectiveness for Value-added Scores				
TEACHER PREPARATION		Effective:	Effective:	Highly	
PROGRAMS	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective	
	PUBLIC UNIV	ERSITIES			
Louisiana State University and A&M	10%	23%	33%	33%	
College – Master of Arts in Teaching					
Louisiana State University at	23%	27%	35%	15%	
Shreveport – Certification-					
Only					
Louisiana Tech University – Master	11%	60%	19%	11%	
of Arts in Teaching					
Louisiana Tech University –	20%	44%	28%	8%	
Certification-Only					
McNeese State University – Master of	<mark>13%</mark>	<mark>55%</mark>	<mark>16%</mark>	<mark>16%</mark>	
Arts in Teaching					
Nicholls State University –	13%	34%	34%	19%	
Certification-Only					
Northwestern State University –	3%	52%	29%	16%	
Practitioner Teacher Program					
Southeastern Louisiana University –	9%	49%	27%	15%	
Master of Arts in Teaching					
Southern University and A&M	8%	39%	19%	35%	
College – Certification-Only					
University of Louisiana at Lafayette –	14%	44%	26%	11%	
Certification-Only					
University of Louisiana at Monroe –	23%	34%	31%	11%	
Master of Arts in Teaching					
University of New Orleans –	0%	36%	44%	20%	
Certification-Only					
	PRIVATE UNI	VERSITIES			
Louisiana College - Practitioner	15%	40%	22%	24%	
Teacher Program					

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

MATHEMATICS (CONT'D.)

ALTERNATE	Levels of Effectiveness for Value-added Scores					
TEACHER PREPARATION		Effective:	Effective:	Highly		
PROGRAMS	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective		
PRIVATE PROVIDERS						
Louisiana Resource Center for	6%	43%	28%	22%		
Educators – Practitioner						
Teacher Program						
The New Teacher Project – Practitioner Teacher Program	7%	22%	27%	45%		

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

SCIENCE

ALTERNATE	Levels	of Effectivenes	ss for Value-adde	ed Scores
TEACHER PREPARATION		Effective:	Effective:	Highly
PROGRAMS	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective
	PUBLIC UNIV	ERSITIES		
Louisiana State University and A&M	7%	40%	20%	33%
College – Master of Arts in Teaching				
Louisiana State University at	11%	29%	50%	11%
Shreveport – Certification-				
Only				
Louisiana Tech University - Master of	14%	46%	25%	14%
Arts in Teaching				
Louisiana Tech University –	12%	40%	48%	0%
Certification-Only				
McNeese State University – Master	<mark>13%</mark>	<mark>52%</mark>	<mark>19%</mark>	<mark>16%</mark>
of Arts in Teaching				
Nicholls State University –	9%	51%	31%	9%
Certification-Only				
Northwestern State University –	3%	50%	27%	20%
Practitioner Teacher Program				
Southeastern Louisiana University –	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Master of Arts in Teaching				
University of Louisiana at Lafayette –	6%	44%	31%	19%
Certification-Only				
University of Louisiana at Monroe –	5%	55%	34%	5%
Master of Arts in Teaching				
I	PRIVATE UNI	VERSITIES		
Louisiana College – Practitioner	19%	39%	29%	13%
Teacher Program				
-	PRIVATE PR	OVIDERS		
Louisiana Resource Center for	5%	31%	42%	23%
Educators – Practitioner				
Teacher Program				
The New Teacher Project –	13%	25%	24%	38%
Practitioner Teacher Program				

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

SOCIAL STUDIES

ALTERNATE	Levels of Effectiveness for Value-added Scores						
TEACHER PREPARATION		Effective:	Effective:	Highly			
PROGRAMS	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective			
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES							
Louisiana State University and A&M	6%	24%	38%	32%			
College – Master of Arts in Teaching							
Louisiana State University at	6%	29%	41%	24%			
Shreveport – Certification-							
Only							
Louisiana Tech University – Master	19%	52%	19%	10%			
of Arts in Teaching							
Louisiana Tech University –	32%	40%	20%	8%			
Certification-Only							
McNeese State University – Master	<mark>13%</mark>	<mark>42%</mark>	<mark>32%</mark>	<mark>13%</mark>			
of Arts in Teaching							
Nicholls State University –	16%	48%	23%	13%			
Certification-Only							
Southern University and A&M	0%	36%	32%	32%			
College – Certification-Only							
University of Louisiana at Lafayette –	14%	44%	29%	14%			
Certification-Only							
University of Louisiana at Monroe –	15%	58%	15%	12%			
Master of Arts in Teaching							
]	PRIVATE UNI	VERSITIES					
Louisiana College – Practitioner	8%	36%	37%	20%			
Teacher Program							
	PRIVATE PR	OVIDERS					
Louisiana Resource Center for	9%	37%	32%	23%			
Educators – Practitioner							
Teacher Program							
The New Teacher Project –	2%	16%	33%	49%			
Practitioner Teacher Program							

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

ALTERNATE	Levels of Effectiveness for Value-added Scores					
TEACHER PREPARATION		Effective:	Effective:	Highly		
PROGRAMS	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective		
PUBLIC	AND PRIVAT	TE UNIVERSI	ΓIES			
Louisiana State University and A&M	0%	49%	30%	21%		
College – Master of Arts in Teaching						
Louisiana State University at	8%	44%	33%	15%		
Shreveport – Certification-						
Only						
Louisiana Tech University – Master of	14%	46%	20%	20%		
Arts in Teaching						
Louisiana Tech University –	16%	38%	38%	9%		
Certification-Only						
McNeese State University –	<mark>22%</mark>	<mark>41%</mark>	<mark>25%</mark>	<mark>13%</mark>		
Certification-Only						
Nicholls State University –	12%	50%	25%	14%		
Certification-Only						
Northwestern State University –	19%	17%	36%	28%		
Practitioner Teacher Program						
Southeastern Louisiana University –	7%	37%	33%	22%		
Master of Arts in Teaching	40/	4.40/	222/	100/		
Southern University and A&M	4%	44%	33%	19%		
College – Certification-Only	4.50/	420/	220/	140/		
University of Louisiana at Lafayette – Certification-Only	15%	42%	32%	11%		
University of Louisiana at Monroe –	6%	58%	21%	15%		
Master of Arts in Teaching	0%	38%	21%	13%		
University of New Orleans	8%	31%	35%	27%		
oniversity of ivew offeans	0.70	3170	3370	2170		
	DIVATE LINE	VEDCITIES				
Louisiana College – Practitioner	PRIVATE UNI 19%	38%	25%	17%		
Teacher Program	19%	38%	25%	17%		
University of Holy Cross –	12%	32%	32%	24%		
Certification-Only	12%	32%	32%	24%		
Continuation-Only	PRIVATE PR	OVIDERS				
Louisiana Resource Center for	12%	35%	39%	13%		
Educators – Practitioner	12/0	33/0	33/0	13/0		
Teacher Program						
	951	2551	0.454	0.551		
The New Teacher Project –	9%	26%	34%	30%		
Practitioner Teacher Program						