In response to Standard 2 Task 6.C.1; Standard 5 Task 1.C.1; Task 4.C.1



2016 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAM FACT BOOK

Prepared by the Louisiana Board of Regents

June 15, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents	1
Introduction	3
Listing of Teacher Preparation Programs and Web Sites	4
State, Regional, and National Approval/Accreditation of Teacher Preparation Programs	5
Percentage of Program Completers Passing Praxis Assessments For Teacher Licensure – Undergraduate Programs (2013-14)	6
Percentage of Program Completers Passing Praxis Assessments For Teacher Licensure – Alternate Programs (2013-14)	7
Median Grade Point Average of Candidates Entering and Completing Teacher Preparation Programs – Undergraduate Programs (2013-14)	8
Median Grade Point Averages of Candidates Entering and Completing Teacher Preparation Programs – Alternate Programs (2013-14)	9
Number of Teacher Candidates Enrolled and Teacher Candidate Completers – Undergraduate Programs (2013-14)	10
Number of Teacher Candidates Enrolled and Teacher Candidate Completers – Alternate Programs (2013-14)	11
Gender for Enrolled Teacher Candidates – Undergraduate and Alternate Programs (2013-14)	12
Races for Enrolled Teacher Candidates – Undergraduate Programs (2013-14)	13
Races for Enrolled Teacher Candidates – Alternate Programs (2013-14)	14
Number of Hours for Clinical Experiences for Teacher Preparation Programs – Undergraduate Programs (2013-14)	15
Number of Hours for Clinical Experiences for Teacher Preparation Programs – Alternate Programs (2013-14)	16
Number and Percentage of 2013-14 Completers Who Taught in Public Schools in Louisiana During 2013-14	17
Persistence in Teaching in Public Schools	18
Means and Effectiveness Levels for Compass Evaluation Scores By Undergraduate Programs for First and Second Year Teachers Who Taught in 2013-14	-
and 2014-15	22

Disagnee "Bir Boro Domonium reacher rieparanon riogiam race Door
TAGS: InTASC- Instructional Practice (IP); CAEP Standards 4.1, 4.2, 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4 and the 8 annual reporting measures
persistence data
In response to Standard 2 Task 6.C.1; Standard 5 Task 1.C.1; Task 4.C.1

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D.)

Means and Effectiveness Levels for Compass Final Evaluation Scores By Alternate Programs for First and Second Year Teachers Who Taught in 2013-14	
and 2014-15	26
Value-Added Evaluation of Louisiana Teacher Preparation Programs for Academic	
Years 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14, and 2014-15	33
Percentage of Undergraduate and Undergraduate Completers Obtaining Value-Added Scores in the Teacher Effectiveness Levels During Their First and/or Second	
Year of Teaching (Grades 4-8)	38
Appendix A: Teacher Preparation Program 2020 Key Effectiveness Indicators	48
Appendix B: Teacher Preparation Data Dashboard Template	50

PERSISTENCE IN TEACHING IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Undergraduate and alternate program completers have the option of teaching in public schools in Louisiana, private schools in Louisiana, or schools in other states. It is not possible to track the retention of completers in private schools or out-of-state schools. The following two charts identify only the number and percentage of a cohort of program completers in 2009-10 who taught in public schools in Louisiana during the five year time period. Completers not reflected in the following chart may be teaching in private schools in Louisiana or teaching in other states.

UNDERGRADUATE

	Persistence in Teaching in Public Schools – 2009-10 Completers					
Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Programs	Number of 2009-10 Completers	Number & Percentage Teaching in 2010-11	Number & Percentage Teaching in 2011-12	Number & Percentage Teaching in 2012-13	Number & Percentage Teaching in 2013-14	Number & Percentage Teaching in 2014-15
	PUBLIC UN	NIVERSIT	IES			
	100%	68%	63%	63%	68%	68%
Grambling State University	(n=19)	(n=13)	(n=12)	(n=12)	(n=13)	(n=13)
	100%	83%	83%	83%	83%	83%
Louisiana State University at Alexandria	(n=12)	(n=10)	(n=10)	(n=10)	(n=10)	(n=10)
Louisiana State University and A&M	100%	46%	47%	47%	47%	49%
College	(n=137)	(n=63)	(n=65)	(n=64)	(n=65)	(n=67)
	100%	73%	70%	73%	70%	70%
Louisiana State University at Shreveport	(n=40)	(n=29)	(n=28)	(n=29)	(n=28)	(n=28)
	100%	49%	61%	58%	58%	61%
Louisiana Tech University	(n=84)	(n=41)	(n=51)	(n=49)	(n=49)	(n=51)
	100%	74%	75%	75%	76%	72%
McNeese State University	(n=105)	(n=78)	(n=79)	(n=79)	(n=80)	(n=76)
	100%	65%	69%	64%	66%	65%
Nicholls State University	(n=109)	(n=71)	(n=75)	(n=70)	(n=72)	(n=71)
	100%	66%	65%	59%	59%	58%
Northwestern State University	(n=71)	(n=47)	(n=46)	(n=42)	(n=42)	(n=41)
	100%	60%	65%	68%	71%	70%
Southeastern Louisiana University	(n=182)	(n=109)	(n=118)	(n=124)	(n=129)	(n=128)
Southarm University and A &M Callege	100%	74%	65%	67%	63%	61%
Southern University and A&M College	(n=43) 100%	(n=32)	(n=28)	(n=29)	(n=27) 100%	(n=26)
Southorn University of New Orleans	(n=3)	100% (n=3)	67% (n=2)	67% (n=2)	(n=3)	100% (n=3)
Southern University at New Orleans	100%	63%	65%	66%	66%	64%
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	(n=166)	(n=104)	(n=107)	(n=109)	(n=109)	(n=106)
Offiversity of Louisiana at Larayette	100%	76%	78%	72%	71%	70%
University of Louisiana at Monroe	(n=76)	(n=58)	(n=59)	(n=55)	(n=54)	(n=53)
Chiversity of Eodisiana at Womoe	100%	47%	47%	44%	49%	49%
University of New Orleans	(n=45)	(n=21)	(n=21)	(n=20)	(n=22)	(n=22)
,	PRIVATE U	. ,	,	()	()	()
	100%	94%	69%	75%	69%	69%
Louisiana College	(n=16)	(n=15)	(n=11)	(n=12)	(n=11)	(n=11)
	100%	42%	42%	46%	46%	42%
University of Holy Cross	(n=26)	(n=11)	(n=11)	(n=12)	(n=12)	(n=11)
	100%	57%	57%	71%	57%	43%
Xavier University of Louisiana	(n=7)	(n=4)	(n=4)	(n=5)	(n=4)	(n=3)

PERSISTENCE IN TEACHING IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS (CONT'D.)

ALTERNATE

	Persistence in Teaching in Public Schools – 2009-10 Completers							
Alternate	Number of 2009-10	Number & Percentage	Number & Percentage	Number & Percentage	Number & Percentage	Number & Percentage		
Teacher Preparation Programs	Completers	Teaching in	Teaching in	Teaching in	Teaching in	Teaching in		
	DUDI IC I	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15		
	PUBLIC U			020/	000/	020/		
Compliant State University	100%	87%	93%	93%	80%	93%		
Grambling State University	(n=15)	(n=13)	(n=14)	(n=14)	(n=12)	(n=14)		
Louisiana Stata University et Alexandria	100%	88%	75%	75%	88%	75%		
Louisiana State University at Alexandria	(n=8)	(n=7)	(n=6)	(n=6)	(n=7)	(n=6)		
Louisiana State University and A&M	100%	55%	54%	54%	49%	45%		
College	(n=89)	(n=49)	(n=48)	(n=48)	(n=44)	(n=40)		
	100%	80%	77%	73%	71%	67%		
Louisiana State University at Shreveport	(n=51)	(n=41)	(n=39)	(n=37)	(n=36)	(n=34)		
	100%	81%	74%	74%	75%	71%		
Louisiana Tech University	(n=105)	(n=85)	(n=78)	(n=78)	(n=79)	(n=74)		
NAME OF TAXABLE	100%	71%	79%	80%	74%	70%		
McNeese State University	(n=70)	(n=50)	(n=55)	(n=56)	(n=52)	(n=49)		
N. 1. 11. C	100%	79%	80%	71%	71%	68%		
Nicholls State University	(n=76)	(n=60)	(n=61)	(n=54)	(n=54)	(n=52)		
N. d. G. d. H	100%	90%	85%	80%	75%	75%		
Northwestern State University	(n=61)	(n=55)	(n=52)	(n=49)	(n=46)	(n=46)		
	100%	55%	57%	59%	55%	55%		
Southeastern Louisiana University	(n=53)	(n=29)	(n=30)	(n=31)	(n=29)	(n=29)		
South and University and A 9-M Callege	100%	92%	86%	85%	85%	86%		
Southern University and A&M College	(n=84)	(n=77)	(n=72)	(n=71)	(n=71)	(n=72)		
Southern University at New Orleans	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%		
Southern University at New Orleans	(n=1)	(n=1)	(n=1)	(n=1)	(n=1)	(n=0)		
University of Levisions at Lefevette	100%	65%	63%	64%	67%	62%		
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	(n=146)	(n=95)	(n=92)	(n=94)	(n=98)	(n=91)		
University of Louisiana at Monroe	100%	86%	78%	75%	65%	65%		
Offiversity of Louisiana at Womoe	(n=51)	(n=44)	(n=40)	(n=38)	(n=33)	(n=33)		
University of New Orleans	100%	78%	70%	60%	60%	54%		
University of New Offeans	PRIVATE U	(n=29)	(n=26)	(n=22)	(n=22)	(n=20)		
				220/	220/	220/		
Centenary College	100%	33%	33% (n=3)	33%	33%	33%		
Centenary Conege	(n=9) 100%	(n=3)	(n=3) 86%	(n=3) 83%	(n=3) 77%	(n=3) 76%		
Louisiana College	(n=270)	89% (n=239)	86% (n=231)	83% (n=223)	(n=208)	/6% (n=206)		
Bodibiuiu College	100%	38%	38%	31%	38%	38%		
Tulane University	(n=16)	(n=6)	(n=6)	(n=5)	(n=6)	38% (n=6)		
Talalle Chirolotty	100%	48%	52%	52%	52%	50%		
University of Holy Cross	(n=42)	(n=20)	(n=22)	(n=22)	(n=22)	(n=21)		
	100%	67%	70%	59%	63%	52%		
Xavier University of Louisiana	(n=27)	(n=18)	(n=19)	(n=16)	(n=17)	(n=14)		
Tarior Chirototty of Doubland	(11 27)	(11-10)	(11-17)	(11-10)	(11-17)	(11-17)		

PERSISTENCE IN TEACHING IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS (CONT'D.)

ALTERNATE (CONT'D.)

	Persistence in Teaching in Public Schools – 2009-10 Completers							
Alternate	Number of	Number &						
Teacher Preparation Programs	2009-10	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage		
reacher rreparation rrograms	Completers	Teaching in						
		2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15		
PRIVATE PROVIDERS								
iTeach Louisiana	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Louisiana Resource Center for Educators	100%	80%	75%	75%	72%	69%		
	(n=279)	(n=224)	(n=210)	(n=208)	(n=200)	(n=192)		
The New Teacher Project	100%	93%	47%	33%	27%	20%		
	(n=360)	(n=333)	(n=170)	(n=120)	(n=97)	(n=73)		

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF 2013-14 COMPLETERS WHO TAUGHT IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS IN LOUISIANA DURING 2013-14

The numbers of undergraduate and alternate completers who completed in 2013-14 and taught in public schools in Louisiana in 2014-15 are listed below. Teacher candidates completers who began teaching in private schools in Louisiana or began teaching in other states during 2013-14 are not listed below.

	Undergradu	ate Completers	Alternate	Completers
Teacher Preparation Programs	Number & Percentage Completed in 2013-14	Number & Percentage Teaching in Public Schools in 2014-15	Number & Percentage Completed in 2013-14	Number & Percentage Teaching in Public Schools in 2014-15
	PUBLIC UNIV	,		
Grambling State University	100% (n=23)	74% (n=17)	100% (n=10)	100% (n=10)
Louisiana State University at Alexandria	100% (n=23)	100% (n=23)	100% (n=7)	100% (n=7)
Louisiana State University and A&M College	100% (n=218)	50% (n=108)	100% (n=56)	59% (n=33)
Louisiana State University at Shreveport	100% (n=35)	89% (n=31)	100% (n=23)	83% (n=19)
Louisiana Tech University	100% (n=73)	60% (n=44)	100% (n=72)	76% (n=55)
McNeese State University	100% (n=102)	73% (n=74)	100% (n=37)	67% (n=24)
Nicholls State University	100% (n=106)	79% (n=83)	100% (n=41)	83% (n=34)
Northwestern State University	100% (n=41)	66% (n=27)	100% (n=58)	88%(n=51)
Southeastern Louisiana University	100% (n=187)	75% (n=140)	100% (n=28)	79% (n=22)
Southern University and A&M College	100% (n=14)	93% (n=13)	100% (n=16)	81% (n=13)
Southern University at New Orleans	100% (n=11)	73% (n=8)	100% (n=10)	100% (n=10)
University of Louisiana at Lafayette	100% (n=223)	81% (n=177)	100% (n=53)	71% (n=36)
University of Louisiana at Monroe	100% (n=46)	72% (n=33)	100% (n=32)	84% (n=27)
University of New Orleans	100% (n=68)	75% (n=51)	100% (n=78)	63% (n=49)
	PRIVATE UNI	VERSITIES		
Centenary College			100% (n=28)	54% (n=15)
Louisiana College	100% (n=13)	77% (n=10)	100% (n=113)	87% (n=98)
Tulane University			100% (n=30)	53% (n=16)
University of Holy Cross	100% (n=13)	54% (n=7)	100% (n=29)	28% (n=8)
Xavier University of Louisiana	100% (n=6) PRIVATE PR	75% (n=3)	100% (n=24)	88% (n=21)
iTeach Louisiana – Certification-Only	TIM / III Z I K		100% (n=100)	77% (n=77)
Louisiana Resource Center for Educators			100% (n=137)	72% (n=98)
The New Teacher Project			100% (n=341)	80% (n=269)

The Louisiana Department of Education states that Compass is Louisiana's educator support and evaluation system designed to provide all educators with regular, meaningful feedback on their performance (http://www.louisianabelieves.com/teaching/compass). Under this system, every teacher in public schools in Louisiana is evaluated annually using a four-tiered rating – Highly Effective, Effective: Proficient, Effective: Emerging, and Ineffective. Half of the evaluation is based on achievement of student learning targets to generate the Compass Student Growth score and half of the evaluation is based on observations by teachers' supervisors using the appropriate Compass rubric to generate a Compass Professional Practice score. The two scores are combined to generate Compass Final Evaluation Scores that range from 1.00 to 4.00.

The first chart identifies the mean Compass <u>Student Growth</u> scores of all first and second year teachers who taught in 2013-14 and 2014-15 and completed undergraduate teacher preparation programs during or before 2013-14. In addition, it identifies the percentage of first and second year teachers who obtained Compass Student Growth scores in each of the four effectiveness levels after completing their undergraduate teacher preparation programs.

The next chart identifies the mean Compass <u>Professional Practice</u> scores of all first and second year teachers who taught in 2013-14 and 2014-15 and completed undergraduate teacher preparation programs during or before 2013-14. In addition, it identifies the percentage of first and second year teachers who obtained Compass Professional Practices scores in each of the four effectiveness levels after completing their undergraduate teacher preparation programs.

The chart on the next page identifies the mean Compass <u>Final Evaluation</u> scores of all first and second year teachers who taught in 2013-14 and 2014-15 and completed undergraduate teacher preparation programs during or before 2013-14. In addition, it identifies the percentage of first and second year teachers who obtained Compass Final Evaluation scores in each of the four effectiveness levels after completing their undergraduate teacher preparation programs.

UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS

COMPASS STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES K-12 – ALL CERTIFICATION AREAS)

	Compass Student Growth						
<mark>Undergraduate</mark> Teacher		(Compass Effecti	,			
Preparation Programs	Mean		Effective:	Effective:	Highly		
		Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective		
		LIC UNIVERSI	TIES	T			
Grambling State	3.1	40/	6%	420/	400/		
University	(n=52)	4%	0%	42%	48%		
Louisiana State University at Alexandria	3.2	4%	12%	25%	59%		
Louisiana State University	(n=75) 3.2	4/0	12/0	23/0	39/0		
and A&M College	(n=594)	3%	12%	31%	54%		
Louisiana State University	3.3	10/					
at Shreveport	(n=193)	1%	14%	26%	59%		
Louisiana Tech University	3.4	20/	00/	200/	(10/		
MAN COLUMN	(n=292)	2%	8%	30%	61%		
McNeese State University	3.4	3%	7%	28%	63%		
Nicholls State University	(n=446) 3.2	370	770	2070	0370		
Nichons State University	(n=463)	2%	13%	33%	52%		
Northwestern State	3.2						
University	(n=205)	4%	13%	29%	55%		
Southeastern Louisiana	3.2	20/	100/	2.407	7. 40. (
University	(n=877)	3%	10%	34%	54%		
Southern University and	3.2	20/	170/	220/	400/		
A&M College	(n=109)	2%	17%	33%	48%		
Southern University at New Orleans	3.0	5%	15%	37%	44%		
University of Louisiana at	(n=41) 3.2	370	13/0	37/0	44/0		
Lafayette	(n=821)	4%	12%	35%	50%		
University of Louisiana at	3.2	170	1270	3370	3070		
Monroe	(n=256)	3%	12%	31%	54%		
University of New Orleans	3.0						
	(n=203)	3%	16%	40%	40%		
PRIVATE UNIVERSITIES							
Louisiana College	3.4						
	(n=55)	2%	4%	31%	64%		
University of Holy Cross	3.1	00/	100/	200/	120/		
	(n=26)	0%	19%	39%	42%		

UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

COMPASS PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE (GRADES K-12 – ALL CERTIFICATION AREAS)

	Compass Professional Practice							
<mark>Undergraduate</mark> Teacher		C	ompass Effecti					
Preparation Programs	Mean		Effective:	Effective:	Highly			
		Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective			
		LIC UNIVERSIT	ΓΙΕS	_				
Grambling State	3.0							
University	(n=52)	0%	12%	69%	19%			
Louisiana State University	3.1	407	-0.	 00/	100/			
at Alexandria	(n=75)	1%	7%	73%	19%			
Louisiana State University	3.0	407	/		100/			
and A&M College	(n=594)	1%	15%	66%	19%			
Louisiana State University	3.2	407	400/	500 /	2.607			
at Shreveport	(n=193)	1%	10%	53%	36%			
Louisiana Tech University	3.2	-10/	100/	500/	200/			
	(n=292)	≤1%	12%	59%	29%			
McNeese State University	3.2	~10/	00/	(20/	2007			
N: 1 11 C	(n=446)	≤1%	8%	62%	30%			
Nicholls State University	3.0	~10/	12%	75%	120/			
Northwestern State	(n=463)	≤1%	1270	/3%	13%			
University	3.0	1%	13%	65%	21%			
Southeastern Louisiana	(n=205) 3.0	1 / 0	13/0	0370	21/0			
University		≤1%	16%	67%	17%			
Southern University and	(n=877) 2.9	≥1 /0	1070	0770	1 / /0			
A&M College	(n=109)	2%	20%	60%	18%			
Southern University at	2.9	270	2070	0070	1070			
New Orleans	(n=41)	2%	12%	68%	17%			
University of Louisiana at	3.1	270	12/0	0070	1,70			
Lafayette	(n=821)	≤1%	11%	67%	21%			
University of Louisiana at	3.2		/-					
Monroe	(n=256)	1%	7%	63%	30%			
University of New Orleans	3.0							
	(n=203)	1%	14%	74%	12%			
PRIVATE UNIVERSITIES								
Louisiana College	3.2							
	(n=55)	0%	9%	60%	31%			
University of Holy Cross	2.9							
	(n=26)	0%	12%	73%	15%			

UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

COMPASS FINAL EVALUATION (GRADES K-12 – ALL CERTIFICATION AREAS)

	Compass Final Evaluation							
Undergraduate Teacher		C	ompass Effecti					
Preparation Programs	Mean		Effective:	Effective:	Highly			
		Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective			
		LIC UNIVERSIT	ΓΙΕS	_				
Grambling State	3.0			·	100/			
University	(n=52)	4%	10%	67%	19%			
Louisiana State University	3.1	-0.4						
at Alexandria	(n=75)	5%	11%	53%	31%			
Louisiana State University	3.1	-0.4						
and A&M College	(n=594)	3%	12%	59%	27%			
Louisiana State University	3.2	20/	100/	4007	200/			
at Shreveport	(n=193)	2%	12%	48%	39%			
Louisiana Tech University	3.3	20/	00/	5.40/	250/			
	(n=292)	2%	8%	54%	37%			
McNeese State University	3.3	20/	607	710/	410/			
N. 1 11 0 N. 1	(n=446)	3%	6%	51%	41%			
Nicholls State University	3.1	20/	00/	(50/	2.40/			
N. d. G.	(n=463)	2%	9%	65%	24%			
Northwestern State University	3.1	4%	10%	500/	269/			
	(n=205)	4%	10%	59%	26%			
Southeastern Louisiana University	3.1	3%	9%	63%	26%			
	(n=877)	370	970	0370	2070			
Southern University and A&M College	3.0 (n=109)	4%	14%	59%	24%			
Southern University at	2.9	4/0	14/0	39/0	24/0			
New Orleans	(n=41)	5%	17%	61%	17%			
University of Louisiana at	3.1	370	1770	0170	1 / / 0			
Lafayette	(n=821)	4%	8%	60%	29%			
University of Louisiana at	3.2	1,0	070	0070	2570			
Monroe	(n=256)	4%	9%	55%	33%			
University of New Orleans	2.9	.,,	2,0	22,0	22,0			
omversity of frew officials	(n=203)	3%	11%	74%	12%			
PRIVATE UNIVERSITIES								
Louisiana College	3.4							
	(n=55)	2%	4%	51%	44%			
University of Holy Cross	3.0							
	(n=26)	0%	19%	65%	15%			

The Louisiana Department of Education states that Compass is Louisiana's educator support and evaluation system designed to provide all educators with regular, meaningful feedback on their performance (http://www.louisianabelieves.com/teaching/compass). Under this system, every teacher in public schools in Louisiana is evaluated annually using a four-tiered rating – Highly Effective, Effective: Proficient, Effective: Emerging, and Ineffective. Half of the evaluation is based on a c h i e ve m e n t of student learning targets to generate the Compass Student Growth score and half of the evaluation is based on observations by their supervisor using the appropriate Compass rubric to generate a Compass Professional Practice score. The two scores are averaged to generate a Compass Final Evaluation Scores that ranges from 1.00 to 4.00.

The results in the following tables represent new teachers in grades K-12 for all areas of certification.

The first chart identifies the mean Compass <u>Student Growth</u> scores of all first and second year teachers who taught in 2013-14 and 2014 -15 and completed alternate teacher preparation programs during or before 2013-14. In addition, it identifies the percentage of first and second year teachers who obtained Compass Student Growth scores in each of the four effectiveness levels after completing their alternate teacher preparation programs.

The next chart identifies the mean Compass <u>Professional Practice</u> scores of all first and second year teachers who taught in 2013-14 and 2014 - 15 and completed alternate teacher preparation programs during or before 2013-14. In addition, the following chart identifies the percentage of first and second year teachers who obtained Compass Professional Practices scores in each of the four effectiveness levels after completing their alternate teacher preparation programs.

The chart on the next page identifies the mean Compass <u>Final Evaluation</u> scores of all first and second year teachers who taught in 2013-14 and 2014-15 and completed alternate teacher preparation programs during or before 2013-14. In addition, it identifies the percentage of first and second year teachers who obtained Compass Final Evaluation scores in each of the four effectiveness levels after completing their alternate teacher preparation programs.

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS

COMPASS STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES K-12 – ALL CERTIFICATION AREAS)

		COMPASS	STUDENT GR	OWTH	
Alternate Teacher		C	ompass Effecti	veness Levels	
Preparation Programs	Mean	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective
	PUBI	LIC UNIVERSIT			
Louisiana State University at Alexandria – Certification-Only	2.9 (n=33)	6%	21%	30%	42%
Louisiana State University and A&M College – Master of Arts in Teaching	3.2 (n=223)	2%	13%	32%	53%
Louisiana State University and A&M College – Certification-Only	3.3 (n=70)	0%	6%	41%	53%
Louisiana State University at Shreveport – Certification-Only	3.4 (n=180)	4%	8%	25%	63%
Louisiana Tech University Master of Arts in Teaching	3.5 (n=290)	3%	6%	22%	69%
Louisiana Tech University Certification Only	3.3 (n=83)	4%	10%	27%	60%
McNeese State University – Master of Arts in Teaching	3.4 (n=179)	3%	6%	27%	64%
McNeese State University – Certification-Only	3.4 (n=105)	1%	10%	26%	64%
Nicholls State University – Masters of Arts in Teaching	2.9 (n=27)	7%	22%	26%	44%
Nicholls State University – Certification-Only	3.1 (n=240)	2%	15%	38%	46%
Northwestern State University – Masters of Arts in Teaching	3.3 (n=158)	2%	8%	34%	56%
Northwestern State University – Practitioner Teacher Program	3.1 (n=70)	4%	13%	34%	49%
Southeastern Louisiana University – Master of Arts in Teaching	3.2 (n=57)	0%	14%	33%	53%
Southeastern Louisiana University – Certification- Only	3.2 (n=75)	1%	11%	40%	48%
Southern University and A&M College – Certification-Only	3.2 (n=129)	4%	11%	36%	50%

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

COMPASS STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES K-12 – ALL CERTIFICATION AREAS) (CONT'D.)

	COMPASS STUDENT GROWTH						
Alternate Teacher		Compass Effectiveness Levels					
Preparation Programs	Mean		Effective	Effective	Highly		
		Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective		
		IC UNIVERSI					
Southern University of	3.1 (n=54)	6%	17%	30%	48%		
New Orleans –							
Certification-Only							
University of Louisiana at	3.2 (n=362)	5%	14%	32%	50%		
Lafayette – Certification-							
Only							
University of Louisiana at	3.1 (n=235)	6%	12%	33%	49%		
Monroe – Master of Arts in							
Teaching							
University of New Orleans	3.1(n=162)	3%	13%	39%	46%		
 Masters of Arts in Teaching 							
University of New Orleans	2.9 (n=82)	7%	18%	38%	37%		
Certification-Only	, ,						
		TE UNIVERSI	TIES				
Centenary College – Master	3.2 (n=60)	3%	12%	37%	48%		
of Arts in Teaching							
Louisiana College –	3.3 (n=599)	2%	11%	31%	57%		
Practitioner Teacher Program							
Tulane University –	3.2 (n=46)	7%	17%	22%	54%		
Certification Only							
University of Holy Cross –	2.9 (n=56)	4%	23%	41%	32%		
Certification-Only							
Xavier University – Master	2.9 (n=61)	3%	20%	48%	30%		
of Arts in Teaching							
		ATE PROVID	_	<u> </u>			
iTeach Louisiana –	3.1 (n=105)	4%	11%	37%	48%		
Certification- Only							
Louisiana Resource Center	3.3 (n=555)	1%	11%	34%	54%		
for Educators – Practitioner							
Teacher Program							
The New Teacher Project –	3.0 (n=623)	6%	17%	42%	36%		
Practitioner Teacher							
Program							

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

COMPASS PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE (GRADES K-12 – ALL CERTIFICATION AREAS)

		COMPASS PR	COMPASS PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE							
Alternate Teacher		C	ompass Effectiv	veness Levels						
Preparation Program	Mean	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective					
	PUBI	LIC UNIVERSIT								
Louisiana State University at Alexandria – Certification-Only	3.12 (n=33)	0%	3%	70%	27%					
Louisiana State University and A&M College – Master of Arts in Teaching	3.1 (n=223)	1%	12%	66%	21%					
Louisiana State University and A&M College – Certification-Only	3.1 (n=70)	0%	10%	69%	21%					
Louisiana State University at Shreveport – Certification-Only	3.3 (n=180)	0%	4%	52%	44%					
Louisiana Tech University Master of Arts in Teaching	3.4 (n=290)	0%	4%	51%	45%					
Louisiana Tech University – Certification-Only	3.3 (n=83)	0%	6%	53%	41%					
McNeese State University – Master of Arts in Teaching	3.3 (n=179)	0%	7%	51%	42%					
McNeese State University – Certification-Only	3.3 (n=105)	0%	6%	50%	45%					
Nicholls State University – Master of Arts in Teaching	3.1 (n=27)	0%	15%	56%	30%					
Nicholls State University – Certification-Only	3.0 (n=240)	0%	12%	70%	18%					
Northwestern State University – Master of Arts in Teaching	3.3 (n=158)	0%	4%	59%	37%					
Northwestern State University – Practitioner Teacher Program	3.1 (n=70)	1%	9%	66%	24%					
Southeastern Louisiana University – Master of Arts in Teaching	3.1 (n=57)	0%	9%	70%	21%					
Southeastern Louisiana University – Certification- Only	3.1 (n=75)	1%	15%	69%	15%					

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

COMPASS PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE (GRADES K-12 – ALL CERTIFICATION AREAS) (CONT'D.)

		COMPASS PR	OFESSIONAL	PRACTICE							
Alternate Teacher		C	ompass Effecti	veness Levels							
Preparation Program	Mean	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective						
	PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES (CONT'D.)										
Southern University and A&M College – Certification-Only	3.0 (n=129)	0%	15%	70%	16%						
Southern University at New Orleans – Certification-Only	3.0 (n=54)	0%	13%	70%	17%						
University of Louisiana at Lafayette – Certification- Only	3.1 (n=362)	1%	11%	69%	19%						
University of Louisiana at Monroe – Master of Arts in Teaching	3.2 (n=235)	0%	5%	66%	29%						
University of New Orleans – Master of Arts in Teaching	3.1 (n=162)	1%	9%	69%	21%						
University of New Orleans – Certification-Only	3.0 (n=82)	1%	17%	56%	26%						
	PRIVA	ATE UNIVERSI	TIES								
Centenary College – Master of Arts in Teaching	3.2 (n=60)	0%	10%	52%	38%						
Louisiana College - Practitioner Teacher Program	3.2 (n=599)	≤1%	7%	61%	31%						
Tulane University – Certification-Only	3.0 (n=46)	0%	17%	67%	15%						
University of Holy Cross – Certification-Only	3.1 (n=56)	2%	7%	71%	20%						
Xavier University – Master of Arts in Teaching	2.8 (n=61)	0%	20%	72%	8%						
	PRIV	VATE PROVIDI	ERS								
iTeach Louisiana – Certification Only	3.2 (n=105)	0%	10%	60%	31%						
Louisiana Resource Center for Educators – Practitioner Teacher Program	3.1 (n=555)	0%	11%	65%	25%						
The New Teacher Project - Practitioner Teacher Program	3.0 (n=623)	0%	11%	70%	19%						

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

COMPASS FINAL EVALUATION

		= = :=:=	FINAL EVAL		= :						
Alternate Teacher		C	ompass Effecti	veness Levels							
Preparation Programs	Mean	* 00	Effective	Effective	Highly						
	DIJDI	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective						
		IC UNIVERSIT		500 /	2.40/						
Louisiana State University	3.0 (n=33)	6%	12%	58%	24%						
at Alexandria –											
Certification-Only Louisiana State University	2.2 (222)	3%	9%	56%	32%						
and A&M College – Master	3.2 (n=223)	3 / 0	9/0	3070	32/0						
of Arts in Teaching											
Louisiana State University	3.3 (n=70)	0%	4%	66%	30%						
and A&M College –	3.5 (n 70)	070									
Certification-Only											
Louisiana State University	3.3 (n=180)	4%	5%	46%	46%						
at Shreveport –											
Certification-Only											
Louisiana Tech University	3.5 (n=290)	3%	3%	40%	54%						
– Master of Arts											
in Teaching		40./	607	100/	4207						
Louisiana Tech University – Certification-Only	3.3 (n=83)	4%	6%	48%	42%						
McNeese State University –	2.4 (= 170)	3%	3%	49%	45%						
Master of Arts in Teaching	3.4 (n=179)	370	370	4970	43/0						
McNeese State University –	3.4 (n=105)	1%	5%	45%	50%						
Certification-Only	3.1 (II 103)		3,3	10,70	2070						
Nicholls State University –	3.0 (n=27)	0%	22%	52%	26%						
Masters of Arts in											
Teaching											
Nicholls State University –	3.1 (n=240)	2%	12%	63%	23%						
Certification-Only		20/	607	400/	4207						
Northwestern State	3.3 (n=158)	2%	6%	49%	42%						
University – Master of											
Arts in Teaching Northwestern State	2.1 (= 70)	6%	6%	64%	24%						
University – Practitioner	3.1 (n=70)	0/0	0 / 0	U+/0	24/0						
Teacher Program											
Southeastern Louisiana	3.3 (n=57)	0%	2%	70%	28%						
University – Master of Arts	3.5 (II-57)		, ,		2,72						
in Teaching											

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

COMPASS FINAL EVALUATION (GRADES K-12 – ALL CERTIFICATION AREAS) (CONT'D.)

		COMPASS FINAL EVALUATION							
Alternate Teacher		C	ompass Effecti	veness Levels					
Preparation Programs	Mean	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
	PUBI	IC UNIVERSIT							
Southeastern Louisiana University – Certification- Only	3.2 (n=75)	3%	11%	55%	32%				
Southern University and A&M College – Certification-Only	3.1 (n=129)	4%	7%	65%	24%				
Southern University at New Orleans – Certification-Only	3.0 (n=54)	6%	13%	54%	28%				
University of Louisiana at Lafayette – Certification- Only	3.1 (n=362)	5%	9%	58%	29%				
University of Louisiana at Monroe – Master of Arts in Teaching	3.2 (n=235)	6%	6%	55%	33%				
University of New Orleans – Master of Arts in Teaching	3.1 (n=162)	3%	9%	61%	27%				
University of New Orleans – Certification-Only	3.0 (n=82)	9%	11%	56%	24%				
	PRIVA	ATE UNIVERSI	TIES		•				
Centenary College – Masters of Arts in Teaching	3.3 (n=60)	3%	7%	50%	40%				
Louisiana College - Practitioner Teacher Program	3.3 (n=599)	2%	7%	52%	40%				
Tulane University – Certification Only	3.1 (n=46)	7%	11%	52%	30%				
University of Holy Cross – Certification Only	3.0 (n=56)	4%	9%	73%	14%				
Xavier University – Master of Arts in Teaching	2.9 (n=61)	3%	20%	64%	13%				
	PRIV	ATE PROVID	ERS						
iTeach Louisiana – Certification-Only	3.1 (n=105)	4%	5%	65%	27%				
Louisiana Resource Center for Educators - Practitioner Teacher Program	3.2 (n=555)	1%	7%	61%	30%				
The New Teacher Project – Practitioner Teacher Program	3.0 (n=623)	6%	11%	61%	21%				

VALUE-ADDED EVALUATION OF LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAMS FOR ACADEMIC YEARS 2010-11, 2011-12, 2013-14, AND 2014-15

Value-added scores have been calculated by the Louisiana Department of Education for all teachers whose students are assessed for mathematics, science, social studies, and English language arts. The value-added scores examine the growth of learning of grades 4-8 students and link the students' growth in achievement to their teachers and the teacher preparation programs that prepared the new teachers.

Value-added scores have been listed in the following tables for first and second year teachers who have completed Elementary (Grades 1-5), Middle School (Grades 4-8), or Secondary (Grades 6-12) teacher preparation programs and are teaching grades 4-8 students in mathematics, science, social studies, and English/language arts. Data have only been provided if there are 25 or more value-added scores for first and second year teachers for each content area. In some cases, the same teacher may have value-added scores for different content areas. As an example, an elementary teacher is responsible for teaching math, science, social studies, and language arts and the same teacher may have a value-added score included in the means for each of those areas. Thus, the same 25 teachers could have scores that were used to calculate the means for mathematics, science, social studies, and English language arts. In the case of a middle school teacher responsible for teaching j u s t science, their value-added score would also be included to calculate the mean for science.

On the following pages, all means describe the performance of the teacher preparation programs listed in the left column. The means, Ns, and standard errors of the mean appear in the same row for each group. The value added score means were calculated using the Louisiana department of Education hierarchical linear model. The value-added scores are rounded to the nearest tenth of a point. The means for new teachers are calculated for *all* teachers in a given content area who are teaching in their first or second year. If a program had 25 or more new teachers with 3 years of data, the 3-year means are reported. If four- or five-years of data are needed to generate a minimum of 25 scores for a content area, the means represent the four- or five-years of data. Teachers from Louisiana teacher preparation programs are only counted as new from a teacher preparation program if they entered as a first year teacher within five years or less from their program completion date. Data are not reported if institutions have less than 25 new teachers in a content area.

Three, four, and five year value-added means are only being reported for Science and Social Studies this year. This is due to new mathematics and English language arts assessments being administered to grades 4-8 students during 2014-15. The distribution of value-added scores identified by the Louisiana Department of Education for teachers to be performing in each of the four effectiveness levels differed from previous years for mathematics and English language arts due to the new assessments. Thus, it was not possible this year to calculate means that contained value-added scores from previous years. Conversions will be used in the future to calculate value-added means for mathematics and English language arts.

MEANS, NUMBERS, AND STANDARD ERRORS FOR VALUE-ADDED SCORES BY CONTENT AREAS FOR TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

UNDERGRADUATE

SCIENCE (GRADES 4-8)

Undergraduate Teacher Preparation	2012-	3 years 2012-13 to 2014-15			4 years 2011-12 to 2014-15			5 years 2010-11 to 2014-15		
Programs	Mean	N	SEM	Mean	N	SEM	Mean	N	SEM	
	F	PUBLIC	UNIVE	RSITIE	S					
Louisiana State University and										
A&M College	-0.1	52	0.9							
Louisiana State University –										
Shreveport				0.6	27	1.6				
Louisiana Tech University	-2.5	35	1.6							
McNeese State University				-3.7	27	1.1				
Nicholls State University				-1.1	39	1.2				
Northwestern State University							-0.3	29	1.5	
Southeastern Louisiana University	-0.5	59	1.0							
Southern University and A&M College							-1.6	26	2.0	
University of Louisiana Lafayette	-0.9	80	0.7							
University of Louisiana Monroe	-2.3	32	1.1							
University of New Orleans				2.0	27	1.5				

MEANS, NUMBERS, AND STANDARD ERRORS FOR VALUE-ADDED SCORES BY CONTENT AREAS FOR TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

UNDERGRADUATE (CONT'D.)

SOCIAL STUDIES (GRADES 4-8)

Undergraduate Teacher Preparation	3 years 2012-13 to 2014-15		4 years 2011-12 to 2014-15			5 years 2010-11 to 2014-15			
Programs	Mean	N	SEM	Mean	N	SEM	Mean	N	SEM
	F	PUBLIC	UNIVE	RSITIE	S				
Louisiana State University and A&M College	-0.8	53	0.9						
Louisiana State University – Shreveport				-1.5	26	1.9			
Louisiana Tech University	-2.1	31	1.6						
McNeese State University				-2.8	35	1.2			
Nicholls State University	-1.4	38	1.4						
Northwestern State University	0.2	27	1.9						
Southeastern Louisiana University	-1.8	87	1.0						
Southern University and A&M College							0.3	31	2.0
University of Louisiana Lafayette	-2.0	84	0.9						
University of Louisiana Monroe				-1.0	27	1.4		_	
University of New Orleans	-0.2	25	1.6						

MEANS, NUMBERS, AND STANDARD ERRORS FOR VALUE-ADDED SCORES BY CONTENT AREAS FOR TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS

SCIENCE (GRADES 4-8)

Alternate Teacher Preparation	2012-	3 years 4 years 2012-13 to 2014-15 2011-12 to 2014-15		14-15		5 years 1 to 20	14-15		
Programs	Mean	N	SEM	Mean	N	SEM	Mean	N	SEM
	I	PUBLIC	UNIVE	RSITIE	S				
Louisiana State University – Master of Arts in Teaching	2.7	25	1.5						
Louisiana State University – Shreveport – Certification- Only				-0.4	34	1.6			
Louisiana Tech University - Master of Arts in Teaching				-2.7	28	1.5			
Louisiana Tech University – Certification-Only				-1.5	27	0.9			
McNeese State University – Master of Arts in Teaching							-2.8	29	1.5
Nicholls State University – Certification-Only				-2.4	37	1.0			
University of Louisiana – Lafayette – Certification-Only	-1.3	37	1.2						
University of Louisiana - Monroe – Master of Arts in Teaching	-0.8	39	0.8						
	P	RIVATE	UNIVI	ERSITIE	ES	•			•
Louisiana College – Practitioner Teacher Program	-0.7	54	0.8						
		PRIVAT	E PRO	VIDERS	8				
Louisiana Resource Center for Educators – Practitioner Teacher Program	0.8	45	1.2						
The New Teacher Project – Practitioner Teacher Program	1.7	45	1.5						

The following tables identify the percentage of first and second year teachers who completed undergraduate teacher preparation programs and obtained value-added scores in each of the four levels of effectiveness for each of the four content areas when teaching students in grades 4-8. A minimum of 25 scores were required for each content area for percentages to be listed. The 25 scores were generated over a three year time period as new teachers taught during 2012-13 to 2014-15, four year time period as new teachers taught during 2011-12 to 2014-15, or five year time period as new teachers taught during 2010-11 to 2014-15 depending upon the sizes of the programs.

The Louisiana Department of Education has prepared tables that identify the distribution of value-added scores for all individual teachers for each academic year across the four teacher effectiveness levels for the Compass teacher evaluation system. The distribution tables for 2013-14 and 2014-15 have been provided below.

As an example, if a new teacher obtained a value-added score of 1.2 in English/Language Arts during 2014-15, their value-added score would fall within the Effective Proficient range. If another new teacher obtained a value-added score of -0.7 in Mathematics in 2013-14, their value-added score would fall within the Effective Emerging range. The percentages in the following tables were based upon the number of new grades 4-8 teachers whose value-added scores fell within each of the effectiveness levels for the respective years.

Since value-added scores of individual teachers were used each year to determine percentages, it was possible to report numbers and percentages for all four content areas (i.e., English Language Arts, Mathematics, Science, and Social Studies).

Transitional Stu	Transitional Student Growth Data for All Individual Teachers (Compass) in Public Schools in Louisiana								
Individual Teacher Labels For State Teacher Evaluation System	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
(Compass)	English/	Mathematics	Social Studies	Data Scores for all Individual Teachers					
	Language Arts								
Highly Effective	3.5 and higher	5.5 & higher	5.5 & higher	6.5 & higher	80 th percentile and above				
Effective Proficient	0.5 to 3.4	-0.4 to 5.4	0.4 to 5.4	-0.4 to 6.4	50 th to 79 th percentile				
Effective Emerging	-5.4 to 0.4	-7.4 to -0.5	-8.4 to -0.5	-10.4 to -0.5	11 th to 49 th percentile				
Ineffective	-5.5 & Lower	-7.5 & Lower	-8.5 & lower	-10.5 & Lower	At or below 10 th percentile				

Transitional Stu	Transitional Student Growth Data for All Individual Teachers (Compass) in Public Schools in Louisiana								
Individual Teacher Labels For State Teacher Evaluation System	Distribution of Tro	ansitional Student (Teachers	es for all Individual	Percentile Ranges of Transitional Student Growth					
(Compass)	English/	Mathematics	Social Studies	Data Scores for all Individual Teachers					
	Language Arts				reachers				
Highly Effective	7.5 and higher	12.5 & higher	6.5 & higher	6.5 & higher	80 th percentile and above				
Effective Proficient	0.5 to 7.4	-0.4 to 12.4	0.4 to 6.4	-0.4 to 6.4	50 th to 79 th percentile				
Effective Emerging	-11.4 to 0.4	-19.4 to -0.5	-9.4 to -0.5	-10.4 to -0.5	11 th to 49 th percentile				
Ineffective	-11.5 & Lower	-19.5 & Lower	-9.5 & lower	-10.5 & Lower	At or below 10 th percentile				

UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS

MATHEMATICS (GRADES 4-8)

Undergraduate	Levels	of Effectivene	ss for Value-add	ed Scores
Teacher Preparation Program	Ineffective	Effective: Emerging	Effective: Proficient	Highly Effective
-	PUBLIC UNIV	ERSITIES	•	
Louisiana State University and A&M College (n=70; 3 years)	14%	30%	36%	20%
Louisiana State University at Shreveport (n=26; 3 years)	8%	54%	23%	15%
Louisiana Tech University (n=47; 3 years)	23%	38%	32%	6%
McNeese State University (n=37; 3 years)	41%	35%	19%	5%
Nicholls State University (n=39; 3 years)	3%	44%	33%	21%
Northwestern State University (n=27; 4 years)	11%	44%	22%	22%
Southeastern Louisiana University (n=85; 3 years)	18%	40%	35%	7%
Southern University and A&M College (n=29; 5 years)	7%	38%	24%	31%
University of Louisiana at Lafayette (n=103; 3 years)	14%	42%	29%	16%
University of Louisiana at Monroe (n=39; 3 years)	26%	39%	36%	0%
University of New Orleans (n=27; 5 years)	11%	41%	26%	22%

UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

SCIENCE (GRADES 4-8)

Undergraduate	Levels of Effectiveness for Value-added Scores						
Teacher Preparation Program	Ineffective	Effective: Emerging	Effective: Proficient	Highly Effective			
	PUBLIC UNIV	ERSITIES					
Louisiana State University and A&M College (n=52; 3 years)	8%	42%	29%	21%			
Louisiana State University at Shreveport (n=27; 4 years)	7%	26%	44%	22%			
Louisiana Tech University (n=35; 3 years)	23%	40%	17%	20%			
McNeese State University (n=27; 4 years)	15%	48%	33%	4%			
Nicholls State University (n=39; 4 years)	8%	46%	33%	13%			
Northwestern State University (n-29; 5 years)	10%	45%	17%	28%			
Southeastern Louisiana University (n=59; 3 years)	12%	29%	42%	17%			
Southern University and A&M College (n=26; 5 years)	12%	46%	23%	19%			
University of Louisiana at Lafayette (n=80; 3 years)	5%	46%	36%	13%			
University of Louisiana at Monroe (n=32; 3 years)	13%	41%	41%	6%			
University of New Orleans (n=27); 4 years)	4%	44%	26%	26%			

UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

SOCIAL STUDIES (GRADES 4-8)

Undergraduate	Levels	of Effectivenes	s for Value-adde	d Scores
Teacher Preparation Program	Ineffective	Effective: Emerging	Effective: Proficient	Highly Effective
	PUBLIC UNIV	0 0		
Louisiana State University and A&M				
College (n=53; 3 years)	2%	57%	32%	9%
Louisiana State University at				
Shreveport (n=26; 4 years)	4%	54%	31%	12%
Louisiana Tech University (n=31; 3				
years)	10%	55%	13%	23%
McNeese State University (n=35; 4				
years)	9%	51%	29%	11%
Nicholls State University (n=38; 3				
years)	11%	42%	26%	21%
Northwestern State University (n=27;				
3 years)	11%	37%	33%	19%
Southeastern Louisiana University				
(n=87; 3 years)	13%	45%	29%	14%
Southern University and A&M				
College (n=31; 5 years)	10%	39%	29%	23%
University of Louisiana at Lafayette				
(n=84; 3 years)	10%	48%	29%	14%
University of Louisiana at Monroe				
(n=27; 4 years)	7%	56%	15%	22%
University of New Orleans (n=25; 3				
years)	8%	40%	36%	16%

UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS (GRADES 4-8)

Undergraduate	Levels of Effectiveness for Value-added Scores					
Teacher Preparation		Effective:	Effective:	Highly		
Program	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective		
	PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES					
Louisiana State University and A&M						
College (n=86; 3 years)	12%	55%	23%	11%		
Louisiana State University at						
Shreveport (n=29; 3 years)	10%	72%	10%	7%		
Louisiana Tech University (n=54; 3						
years)	11%	63%	13%	13%		
McNeese State University (n=45; 3						
years)	27%	42%	27%	4%		
Nicholls State University (n=50; 3						
years)	8%	36%	36%	20%		
Northwestern State University (n=35;						
3 years)	14%	34%	26%	26%		
Southeastern Louisiana University						
(n=117; 3 years)	9%	51%	29%	10%		
Southern University and A&M						
College (n=25; 4 years)	0%	48%	16%	36%		
University of Louisiana at						
Lafayette(n=117; 3 years)	12%	46%	26%	16%		
University of Louisiana at Monroe						
(n=48; 3 years)	15%	50%	27%	8%		
University of New Orleans (n=31; 3						
years)	3%	42%	39%	16%		

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS

MATHEMATICS (GRADES 4-8)

Alternate	Levels of Effectiveness for Value-added Scores			
Teacher Preparation		Effective:	Effective:	Highly
Program	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective
	PUBLIC UNIV		2007	100/
Louisiana State University and A&M	10%	20%	30%	40%
College – Master of Arts in Teaching				
(n=30; 3 years)	200/	2007	220/	200/
Louisiana State University at	20%	28%	32%	20%
Shreveport – Certification- Only				
(n=25; 4 years)	9%	520/	2.40/	20/
Louisiana Tech University – Master of Arts in Teaching (n=32; 3 years)		53%	34%	3%
Louisiana Tech University –	22%	41%	30%	7%
Certification-Only (n=27; 4 years)				
McNeese State University – Master of	12%	53%	21%	15%
Arts in Teaching (n=34; 5 years)				
Nicholls State University –	19%	36%	29%	16%
Certification-Only (n=31; 3 years)	100/	4007	200/	200/
Southern University and A&M	10%	40%	20%	30%
College – Certification-Only (n=30;				
4years)				
University of Louisiana at Lafayette –	15%	44%	27%	14%
Certification-Only (n=52; 3 years)				
University of Louisiana at Monroe –	22%	37%	33%	7%
Master of Arts in Teaching (n=27; 4				
years) University of New Orleans – Master of	0%	35%	46%	19%
Arts in Teaching (n=26; 5years)	0%	33%	40%	19%
	DIVATE UNIV	VEDCITIES		
Louisiana College - Practitioner	PRIVATE UNI' 8%	48%	15%	29%
Teacher Program (n=65; 3years)	070	4070	1370	2970
reaction rogram (ii 65, 5 years)	PRIVATE PR	OVIDERS		
Louisiana Resource Center for	9%	34%	35%	22%
Educators – Practitioner Teacher	7/0	J4/0	JJ/0	<i>LL</i> /0
Program (n=77; 3 years)				
The New Teacher Project –	9%	21%	32%	39%
Practitioner Teacher Program (n=57; 3	7/0	21/0	<i>32</i> / 0	37/0
years)				
J - · · - /				

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

SCIENCE (GRADES 4-8)

Alternate	Levels of Effectiveness for Value-added Scores						
Teacher Preparation		Effective:	Effective:	Highly			
Program	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective			
	PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES						
Louisiana State University and A&M	0%	44%	24%	32%			
College – Master of Arts in Teaching							
(n=25; 3 years)							
Louisiana State University at	12%	32%	44%	12%			
Shreveport – Certification-							
Only (n=34; 4 years)							
Louisiana Tech University - Master of	11%	46%	36%	7%			
Arts in Teaching (n=28; 4 years)							
Louisiana Tech University –	11%	41%	48%	0%			
Certification-Only (n=27; 4 years)							
McNeese State University – Master	14%	59%	14%	14%			
of Arts in Teaching (n=29; 5 years)							
Nicholls State University –	8%	54%	30%	8%			
Certification-Only (n=37; 4 years)							
University of Louisiana at Lafayette –	11%	46%	24%	19%			
Certification-Only (n=37; 3 years)							
University of Louisiana at Monroe –	5%	51%	33%	10%			
Master of Arts in Teaching (n=39; 3							
years)							
I	PRIVATE UNI	VERSITIES					
Louisiana College – Practitioner	13%	39%	35%	13%			
Teacher Program (n=54; 3							
years)							
	PRIVATE PR	OVIDERS					
Louisiana Resource Center for	13%	24%	38%	24%			
Educators – Practitioner Teacher							
Program (n=45; 3 years)							
The New Teacher Project –	13%	22%	36%	29%			
Practitioner Teacher Program (n=45; 3							
years)							
,							

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

SOCIAL STUDIES (GRADES 4-8)

Alternate	ss for Value-adde	d Scores				
Teacher Preparation		Effective:	Effective:	Highly		
Program	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective		
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES						
Louisiana State University and A&M	6%	28%	34%	31%		
College – Master of Arts in Teaching						
(n=32; 3 years)						
Louisiana State University at	10%	28%	38%	25%		
Shreveport – Certification Only						
(n=40; 4 years)						
Louisiana Tech University – Master	22%	41%	33%	4%		
of Arts in Teaching (n=27; 5 years)						
Louisiana Tech University –	33%	37%	22%	7%		
Certification-Only (n=27; 5 years)						
Nicholls State University –	14%	49%	23%	14%		
Certification-Only (n=35; 4 years)						
Southern University and A&M	4%	26%	30%	41%		
College – Certification-Only (n=27; 4						
years)						
University of Louisiana at Lafayette –	16%	46%	23%	16%		
Certification-Only (n=44; 3 years)						
University of Louisiana at Monroe –	14%	52%	21%	14%		
Master of Arts in Teaching (n=29; 4						
years)						
	PRIVATE UNI	VERSITIES				
Louisiana College – Practitioner	6%	40%	32%	23%		
Teacher Program (n=53; 3						
years)						
	PRIVATE PR	ROVIDERS				
Louisiana Resource Center for	10%	34%	34%	22%		
Educators – Practitioner Teacher						
Program (n=41; 3 years)						
The New Teacher Project –	3%	24%	24%	49%		
Practitioner Teacher Program (n=33; 3						
years)						

ALTERNATE PROGRAMS (CONT'D.)

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS (GRADES 4-8)

Alternate	Levels of Effectiveness for Value-added Scores				
Teacher Preparation		Effective:	Effective:	Highly	
Program	Ineffective	Emerging	Proficient	Effective	
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES					
Louisiana State University and A&M	0%	55%	21%	24%	
College – Master of Arts in Teaching					
(n=29; 3 years)					
Louisiana State University at	6%	55%	24%	15%	
Shreveport – Certification-Only					
(n=33; 3 years)					
Louisiana Tech University – Master of	19%	41%	15%	26%	
Arts in Teaching (n=27; 3 years)	210/	420/	2.50/	1.10/	
McNeese State University – Master of	21%	43%	25%	11%	
Arts in Teaching (n=28; 3 years)					
McNeese State University –	28%	36%	24%	12%	
Certification-Only (n=25; 5 years)	110/	470/	220/	110/	
Nicholls State University –	11%	47%	32%	11%	
Certification-Only (n=47; 3 years)	18%	39%	29%	14%	
Northwestern State University –	18%	39%	29%	14%	
Master of Arts in Teaching (n=28; 3 years)					
Northwestern State University –	28%	20%	32%	20%	
Practitioner Teacher Program (n=25;	2070	2070	3270	2070	
5 years)					
• /	40/	4.40/	220/	200/	
Southern University and A&M	4%	44%	32%	20%	
College – Certification-Only (n=25; 5					
years)	1.60/	4.607	2.50/	120/	
University of Louisiana at Lafayette –	16%	46%	25%	12%	
Certification-Only (n=67; 3 years) University of Louisiana at Monroe –	00/	500/	100/	100/	
Master of Arts in Teaching (n=32; 3	9%	59%	19%	13%	
years)					
	PRIVATE UNI	VERSITIES			
Louisiana College – Practitioner	11%	44%	24%	21%	
Teacher Program (n=82; 3 years)	11/0	77/0	∠¬/0	21/0	
		1		l	

Alternate	Levels of Effectiveness for Value-added Scores				
Teacher Preparation Program	Ineffective	Effective: Emerging	Effective: Proficient	Highly Effective	
PRIVATE PROVIDERS					
Louisiana Resource Center for	17%	35%	33%	15%	
Educators – Practitioner Teacher					
Program (n=75; 3 years)					
The New Teacher Project –	6%	28%	31%	34%	
Practitioner Teacher Program (n=64;					
3 years)					