

Art [ART]

Cycles included in this report:

Jun 1, 2022 to May 31, 2023

This PDF document includes any files attached to fields in this report.

To view the attachments you should view this file in Adobe Acrobat XI or higher, or another PDF viewer that supports viewing file attachments.

The default PDF viewer for your device or web browser may not support viewing file attachments embedded in a PDF.

If the attachments are in formats other than PDF you will need any necessary file viewers installed.

Xitracs Program Report Page 2 of 66

Program Name: Art [ART]

Reporting Cycle: Jun 1, 2022 to May 31, 2023

1 Is this program offered via Distance Learning? 100% Traditional or less than 50% Distance/Traditional

2 Is this program offered at an off-site location?

2.1 If yes to previous, provide addresses for each location where 50% or more of program credits may be earned.

Xitracs Program Report Page 3 of 66

3 Example of Program Improvement

2018-2019

CRITICAL THINKING: ART 200 Analytical Writing Assignment

In 2016-17 students were not meeting expected goals of 85% achieving a score of 80% on the critical thinking analytical writing assignment, with 20% failing to meet expectations. Scores trended down in 2017-18 and the assessment committee worked with faculty to identify and reinforce CT content within a revised Art 217 curriculum structure. In 2018-19 expected achievement met for the first time since assignment initiated with 90% meeting or exceeding expectation and the average score increased by 6% from previous year and the highest score achieved since assessing this area.

6-Year Comparison Average scores:

13/14 = 60% 14/15=69% 15/16=82% 16/17=80% 17/18=80% 18/19=83%

2019-2020:

PRAXIS CONTENT PASS RATE/ ART CONTENT KNOWLEDGE - ART EDUCATION

In 2017-2018 the benchmark was not met, with a first time percentage pass rate of 0%. In 2018-2019 as the art professors redesigned the art education program, they revisited both the topics covered on the content Praxis exam to ensure the appropriate course content and the course sequencing when students would be most prepared to complete the Praxis exam successfully. A new course ART 335 was created to address the content that 5134 covers, with Praxis exam to be scheduled after taking ART 335 in Junior Fall semester. In 2019-2020 the benchmark was met, with 100% passing on the first try.

2020-2021:

ART 217 CONTENT ACHIEVEMENT: ART 217 students exceeded course content benchmark for the first time in a 5-year period. ART 218 was removed from the Art Core for the Fall 2017 term. The assessment team noted ART 217 content knowledge be monitored for five years and evaluated by curriculum committee. In 2017-2018 the Benchmark was not met, with 81% scoring 80%, and the average content knowledge score on ART 217 decreased by 14% during the same period. Assessment committee met with ART 217 faculty, and content (along with CT) was reinforced within the curriculum structure. The original plan to reassess the course after a five-year trial was changed to three years. In 2018-2019 the benchmark was met; the individual concept falling below 80% was value, so subsequent assignment content emphasized value. In 2019-2020 the expected achievement was not met, with only 72 % of students meeting the goal both terms. Perspective was falling short both in exam responses and in the portfolio. Exams were redesigned to address both understanding and application; new teaching demos were implemented to assist the understanding and application. In 2020-2021, ART 217 Drawing I was imbued with numerous video demos and other supportive online content. Students MET and exceeded benchmark and improved remarkably from previous semesters, with 100% of students meeting benchmark for the first time.

2021-2022:

ART 400 QEP PRESENTATIONS

100% of students met the benchmark of receiving a 51/60 or higher on the presentation rubric. This is a 40% increase from 19/20 and an 8% increase from 20/21. To improve low scores verbal practice opportunities significantly increased with additional preparatory assignments including informal discussions in class, a formal presentation in class, a one-on-one interview discussion of work, and a verbal video presentation. The verbal video, adopted in fall 2020 due to pandemic /hurricane situation, will be a continued assignment as most students will likely encounter presentations through a digital/video format post-graduation.

2022-2023:

ART 400--Senior Review Totals (10.3)

Expectations and requirements of exhibiting seniors were reinforced throughout the course and individual and group critiques for students developing and preparing their body of work increased in frequency in concentration classes. Average score of students exceeding expectations went from 62% in 2019-20, 66% in 2020-21, 79% in 2021-22 to 82% in 2022-23 resulting in a 20% increase of students exceeding expectations in 4 years.

Xitracs Program Report Page 4 of 66

4 Program Highlights from the Reporting Year

2018-2019:

• <u>Arrowmount-McNeese Program</u> -A scholarship program has been established in cooperation with the prestigious *Arrowmont School of Arts and Crafts*, with McNeese State University matching funds for two annual awards. 2018 marked the 1st year two McNeese art students were funded to attend these summer artist workshops. During the Fall term the two students gave lectures on the workshops and work completed. Two more students attended in 2019.

• <u>Louisiana Art Education Association State Conference</u> – McNeese Visual Arts hosted the 2018 Fall LAEA Conference, with art teachers from around the state in attendance. Faculty and student studio assistants presented workshops in photography, papermaking, bookmaking, photography, ceramics, and creative thinking. A partnership with the Calcasieu Parish School Board and LAEA brought a presentation by nationally known author Austin Kleon to the Tritico Theater.

2019-2020:

- <u>Teacher of the Year</u> The Post-Baccalaureate Certification Program in Art K-12 allows students who hold the BA to return and complete state certification. Katy Geymann received the BA in Art with a concentration in Printmaking in 2017. While completing her student-teaching internship with East Beauregard High School, Katy Geymann was named the school's Teacher of the Year for 2020.
- <u>Visiting Artist C.F. Payne</u> C.F. Payne, nationally celebrated artist-illustrator whose work has appeared on the covers of *Time Magazine*, *Readers Digest*, *Sports Illustrated*, *MAD Magazine*, *U. S. News and World Report*, and *The Atlantic Monthly* presented a lecture and two-day workshop for McNeese students.

2020-2021:

- BA ART Graphic Design Candidate Published Illustrator/ MFA Acceptance: Elizabeth George (S '21 BA ART Graphic Design) is the illustrator for the published children's book *A Different Kind of Brave*. Written by 11 year old, Mary Alice Eringman, it is an inspiring story about an ordinary girl who ends up being brave in a way you won't suspect. Some topics are tough to talk to kids about, including the dangers of online predators. Elizabeth George has been accepted into the MFA program in Illustration by Marywood University.
- <u>BA ART Printmaking Alumni & MFA Candidate Featured</u>: Taylor Hickey (F'17 BA ART Printmaking) is a Spring "21 MFA Candidate in Printmaking at U.Mass-Dartmouth and is featured by the Boston Globe article as one of the *5 art-school grads to watch for 2021*. https://www.bostonglobe.com/2021/05/05/arts/5-art-school-grads-watch-2021/

2021-2022:

EP Classroom Studio

Upgrade classroom to reflect a studio environment that students would encounter in the workforce. This included new paint, electrical drops, flooring, butcher block style modular workspaces, a communal creative zone, and additional technology funded through an endowed professorship. ASPIRE program --a Visual Arts mentorship program started in 2020 but stymied due to pandemic /hurricane was fully functional in-person with 5 mentors and 15 mentees working together weekly. ASPIRE's goals are retention and engagement.

2022-2023:

Bayou Greenbelt

McNeese Graphic Design students had the opportunity to work with Visit Lake Charles and the Community Foundation of Southwest Louisiana to produce branding materials for Bayou Greenbelt. Students worked in teams during the month-long project and presented their ideas to a 5-member panel. As part of the Master Plan for Lake Charles, Bayou Greenbelt will be an interconnected network of blue and green trails that will transform quality of life, resilience, drainage, and connections to the natural environment and recreation. Trailheads and boat launches will provide access to new multi-use trails and bayous and coulees that are currently not accessible.

Mock interviews in ART 450 Spring 2023

Xitracs Program Report Page 5 of 66

Mock interviews were conducted with three community members: Shawna Bachelor (Arts Council), Stefan Borssen (Imperial Calcasieu Museum), and Barbara VanGossen (Healthy Image). Interviewers provided feedback on student strengths and areas in need of improvement. 100% of students were given a 10/10 for professionalism in attire, their speech, and overall presence. Students prepared for interviews throughout the semester with surprise "elevator pitch" exercises performed in class requiring students to articulate answers quickly and spontaneously.

5 Program Mission

The mission of the Department of Visual Arts is to provide education that will enable graduates to develop their talent and potential as creative artists and future art educators within a liberal arts framework. The Department of Visual Arts offers the Bachelor of Arts in Art with studio and art education concentrations. Through a curriculum that provides a breadth of experience and understanding in studio art, graduates learn to analyze the history of art and its function within the evolution of contemporary culture and to develop competency in a select area of art studio concentration. Students cultivate skills in critical thinking and effective communication and analyze global community issues to become better citizens of the world and the community.

6 Institutional Mission Reference

This degree supports McNeese State University's primary mission as a teaching institution responsible for the successful education of the undergraduate students and services to the employees and communities in the southwest Louisiana region.

Xitracs Program Report Page 6 of 66

7 Assessment and Benchmark Sophomore Portfolio Evaluation

Assessment 1: Visual sensitivity and literacy.

Student competencies in visual sensitivity/literacy and visual communication/expression are assessed via the sophomore portfolio reviews conducted upon completion of the visual arts core (ART 101, 102, 105, 217). The review serves as a midpoint assessment of student learning and instructional strengths within the BA Art program. A team of faculty advisors conducts the review with and gives individual feedback/guidance to students regarding educational and professional direction.

Faculty review team evaluations portfolio of 30 projects from each student for fundamental ability to synthesize knowledge of concept and design into resolved visual solutions in all categories: basic design, color theory, creative and representational drawing, computer applications, and artist statement.

Assessment 2: Visual communication and expression.

Communication skills encompass the process of generating, interpreting, and exchanging information through verbal and nonverbal methods. Information is communicated formally and informally through oral discussions, written documentation, and the use of technology.

Visual Arts portfolio/project evaluations serve to assess communication skills by appraising a student's ability to develop visual, technological, verbal, and written responses to visual phenomena and organize perceptions and conceptualizations both rationally and intuitively (NASAD).

Benchmark 1: 85% of students are expected to achieve a score of 80% or above on the sophomore portfolio evaluation.

Prior to 2016-2017, the benchmark was that 75% of students would achieve a score of 70% or above.

Benchmark 2: 85% of students will meet/exceed score of 80% total score on Sophomore Portfolio Review in the three categories related to written and oral communication and technology application /communication.

Outcome Links

Visual Communication and Expression [Program]

Graduates possess the technical skills, perceptual development, and understanding of principles of visual organization sufficient to achieve basic visual communication and expression in one or more media. Graduates must possess an ability to make workable connections between concept and media. (NASAD)

Visual Sensitivity and Literacy [Program]

Students develop visual sensitivity and demonstrate competency in visual literacy.

Xitracs Program Report Page 7 of 66

7.1 Data

- E Exceeded expectations
- M Met expectations
- F Failed to meet expectations

Sophomore Review - Visual Sensitivity and Literacy

Performance		2018	-2019		2019-2020			
Area	F	М	Е	M/E	F	М	Е	M/E
Basic Design	0%	19%	81%	100%	0%	19%	81%	100%
Color Theory	0%	44%	56%	100%	0%	18%	82%	100%
Drawing	3%	54%	43%	97%	0%	54%	46%	100%
Computer Applications	0%	22%	78%	100%	0%	27%	73%	100%
Artist Statement	0%	39%	61%	100%	0%	33%	67%	100%
Verbal Skills	0%	43%	51%	100%	0%	11%	89%	100%
Averages	0.5%	37%	62%	99.5%	0%	27%	73%	100%

Performance		2020	-2021		2021-2022			
Area	F	М	Е	M/E	F	М	Е	M/E
Basic Design	0%	29%	71%	100%	0%	36%	64%	100%
Color Theory	0%	25%	75%	100%	4%	52%	43%	95%
Drawing	0%	69%	31%	100%	17%	35%	48%	83%
Computer Applications	0%	33%	67%	100%	0%	27%	73%	100%
Artist Statement	0%	25%	75%	100%	0%	39%	61%	100%
Verbal Skills	0%	50%	50%	100%	4%	39%	57%	96%
Averages	0%	39%	61%	100%	4%	38%	58%	97%

Performance		2022	-2023			2023	-2024	
Area	F	М	Е	M/E	F	М	Е	M/E
Basic Design		28%	72%	100%				
Color Theory	8%	38%	54%	92%				
Drawing	11%	62%	27%	89%				
Computer Applications	4%	58%	38%	96%				
Artist Statement	4%	58%	38%	96%				
Verbal Skills	11%	44%	44%	88%				
Averages	6%	48%	46%	94%				

Outcome Links

Visual Sensitivity and Literacy [Program]

Students develop visual sensitivity and demonstrate competency in visual literacy.

7.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2018-2019:

Xitracs Program Report Page 8 of 66

Expected achievement met: 99.5% of students achieved a score of 80% or above to meet or exceed expected performance on the Sophomore Review.

Analysis/Recommendation: Drawing continues to score low due to the removal of ART 218. As of Fall 2019, ART 217 will no longer be a GE course thus allowing a more skill specific focus. Based on the additional results of Vis Art Content in Drawing, faculty will reinforce concepts revolving around value and perspective.

Action: Course content revised to reinforce emphasis on value and perspective.

2019-2020:

Expected achievement met: 100% of students achieved a score of 80% or above to meet or exceed expected performance on the Sophomore Review

Analysis/Recommendation:

The overall average is a 1-2 percentage points difference from last year. Efforts to improve drawing are showing improvement. Students who enter ART 200 with completed portfolios tend to have stronger outcomes.

Action: Advising note issued to all visual art advisors to wait until students complete ALL core courses before enrolling in ART 200 if possible. Some students are taking in the second semester of first year, and have not had time to reflect on core courses in relation to other courses or degree as a whole.

2020-2021:

Expected achievement met: 100% of students achieved a score of 80% or above to meet or exceed expected performance on the Sophomore Review

Analysis/Recommendation:

Decreases of 10%+ overall in those achieving highest scores directly related to loss of lab space and absence face-to-face instruction. This particular cohort mostly began college Fall 2019 and therefore the first half of their college experience significant disrupted in 2020 due to the pandemic and hurricanes.

Action:

Foundations faculty noted any areas of deficiency on in-progress art major course portfolios. Follow-up in Art 200 for the 2021-22 terms will include individual early review of work, with notes on projects in need of revision of content and/or presentation.

2021-2022:

Expected achievement MET: 97% of students achieved a score of 80% or above to meet or exceed expected performance on the Sophomore Review

Analysis/Recommendation:

Decreases in verbal skills, drawing, and color theory attributed to courses taken online during the 2020-21 academic year. This cohort did the bulk of the core courses which comprise the portfolio for Soph. Review online.

Action: Suggest faculty add a self-reflection and assessment form at or around midterm within core courses to allow students to ascertain strengths and weaknesses. The form would mirror the Sophomore Review rubric and be scored by both students and faculty.

2022-2023:

Analysis: The benchmark has been met, however it is noted that a decrease in some portfolio areas in the number of students "exceeding" expectations has occurred. Within the cohort of students participating in the Sophomore Review for fall 22 and spring 23, several still have portfolios lost or damaged due to hurricanes and/or portfolios from studio classes conducted online.

Xitracs Program Report Page 9 of 66

Action: While we anticipate fewer and fewer portfolios affected by storms and online courses, it is recommended that core course teaching faculty take a more active role in helping students select work to be reviewed. Students often choose work on based on favorites rather than demonstrating the skills in which they are being assessed.

7.2 Data

Sophomore Review - Visual Communication and Expression

Performance		2018	-2019		2019-2020				
Area	F	М	Е	M/E	F	М	Е	M/E	
Technology A/C	0%	22%	78%	100%	0%	27%	73%	100%	
Written Communication	0%	39%	61%	100%	0%	33%	67%	100%	
Oral Communication	0%	43%	57%	100%	0%	11%	89%	100%	
Communication Skills	0%	35%	65%	100%	0%	24%	76%	100%	

Performance		2020-2021				2021-2022			
Area	F	М	Е	M/E	F	М	Е	M/E	
Technology A/C	0%	33%	67%	100%	0%	27%	73%	100%	
Written Communication	0%	25%	75%	100%	0%	39%	61%	100%	
Oral Communication	0%	50%	50%	100%	4%	39%	57%	96%	
Communication Skills	0%	36%	64%	100%	1%	35%	64%	99%	

Performance		2022	-2023			2023	-2024	
Area	F	М	Е	M/E	F	М	Е	M/E
Technology A/C	4%	58%	38%	96%				
Written Communication	4%	58%	38%	96%				
Oral Communication	11%	44%	44%	88%				
Communication Skills	6%	53%	40%	94%				

Outcome Links

Visual Communication and Expression [Program]

Graduates possess the technical skills, perceptual development, and understanding of principles of visual organization sufficient to achieve basic visual communication and expression in one or more media. Graduates must possess an ability to make workable connections between concept and media. (NASAD)

Xitracs Program Report Page 10 of 66

7.2.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2018-2019

Expected achievement met: 100% of students achieved a score of 80% or above to meet or exceed expected performance on the Sophomore Review in the three categories related to written and oral communication and technology application/communication.

Analysis/Recommendation: While scores remain in the meet/exceed range, oral and written communication show significant drops. Examine foundation courses to identify opportunities to develop skills.

Action: Reinstate a preparatory artist statement assignment in Art 217 - no longer a GE course, focus will be on the art major and preparation for ART 200 reviews.

2019-2020:

Expected achievement met: 100% of students achieved a score of 80% or above to meet or exceed expected performance on the Sophomore Review in the three categories related to written and oral communication and technology application/communication.

Analysis/Recommendation: Improvement is shown in both oral and written communication with written statement in ART 217. Note- Verbal scores only from F19 as Covid-19 shift to online prevented spring assessment.

Action: To address and improve oral communication skills, the online Art 200 course structure will include a recording of the oral presentation as part of portfolio review.

2020-2021:

Expected achievement met: 100% of students achieved a score of 80% or above to meet or exceed expected performance on the Sophomore Review in the three categories related to written and oral communication and technology application/communication.

Analysis/Recommendation: While overall assessment goals met, the individual areas demonstrate inconsistent performance. Written statements showed an increase in achievement. Oral responses fell to 50%, the lowest in five years. Online components provided students with support in practicing oral skills, but it must be noted the Art 200 reviews were the first and only time most students were on campus. Face-to-face interactions with both faculty and classmates must be encouraged as part of oral skill development.

Action: Art 200 will continue to offer online support resources in communication, with priority given face-to-face practice of oral presentation in course structure.

2021-2022:

Expected achievement met: 99% of students achieved a score of 80% or above to meet or exceed expected performance on the Sophomore Review in the three categories related to written and oral communication and technology application/communication.

Analysis/Recommendation/Action: Percentages reflect the challenges of shifting back into face-to-face instruction and recovery of the building. Action: Explore an additional verbal skill practice in ART 200 where students can work in small groups and work with integrating effective and expressive language.

2022-2023:

Expected achievement met: 94% of students achieved a score of 80% or above to meet or exceed expected performance on the Sophomore Review in the three categories related to written and oral communication and technology application/communication.

Analysis/Recommendation/Action: Students were sent individually to the Write to Excellence Center to assist with the Statement of Interest. Action: Beginning in Fall 2023, students will meet as a class at the Write to Excellence Center as a group to facilitate the resources at the center. Additionally, faculty teaching core courses (101, 102, 105 and 217) are encouraged to reinforce writing and verbal skills prior to enrollment in ART 200.

Xitracs Program Report Page 11 of 66

8 Assessment and Benchmark Analytical Writing Assignment

Assessment: Critical Thinking Defined.

The process that involves the cognitive ability to identify, analyze, and synthesize knowledge through observation, experience, reflection, and reasoning.

Visual Arts: Portfolio/artifact evaluation serves to assess CT skills by appraising a student's fundamental ability to synthesize knowledge of concept and design into resolved visual solutions (NASAD)

ART 200 Analytical Writing Assignment - Critical Thinking prompt asks students to analyze and interpret their own work in the context of why the work is successful.

2011 - Pilot of critical thinking assignment in ART 200.

2012 - Revised assignment prompt.

2013 - Revised rubric.

2014 - Benchmark set .

Benchmark: 85% of students are expected to achieve a score of 80% on the ART 200 Analytical Writing assignment.

Outcome Links

Critical Thinking [Program]

Students demonstrate cognitive ability to identify, analyze, and synthesize knowledge through observation, experience, reflection, and reasoning.

Xitracs Program Report Page 12 of 66

8.1 Data

8.1.a Art 200 Analytical Writing

Student	2018-2019				2019-2020				
Student	F	М	Е	M/E	F	М	Е	M/E	
#	1	6	3	9	2	4	4	8	
%	10%	60%	30%	90	20%	40%	40%	80%	

Ctudont		2020-	-2021		2021-2022				
Student	F	М	Е	M/E	F	М	Е	M/E	
#	4	1	5	6	2	3	5	8	
%	40%	10%	50%	60%	20%	30%	50%	80%	

Ctudent	2022-2023				2023-2024			
Student	F	М	Е	M/E	F	М	Е	M/E
#	3	6	1	7				
%	30%	60%	10%	70%				

8.1.b ART 200 5-YR Average scores

	Academic Year Ending								
	2013	2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018							
Ave. Score	58%	60%	69%	82%	80%	80%			

	Academic Year Ending								
	2019	2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024							
Ave. Score	83%	93%	80%	85%	70%				

Outcome Links

Critical Thinking [Program]

Students demonstrate cognitive ability to identify, analyze, and synthesize knowledge through observation, experience, reflection, and reasoning.

Xitracs Program Report Page 13 of 66

8.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2018-2019:

Expected achievement MET, with 90% of students meeting or exceeding a score of 80% or above on the ART 200 analytical writing assignment.

Analysis: The average score increased by 6% from previous year, and is the highest score achieved since assessing this area. While there is an increase in the average score for ART 200 critical thinking, students are weaker in demonstrating how to use terminology of the principles of design.

Recommend/Action: Emphasize principles of deign concepts in the course and adjust the critical thinking assignment to promote demonstration of understanding and application of the design principles.

2019-2020:

Expected achievement is not met, with 80% of students meeting or exceeding a score of 80% or above on the ART 200 analytical writing assignment.

The average score decreased 4% from the previous year. Assessors noted that while students demonstrated an increase in the use of terminology, many students still struggle with the analysis portion of the critical thinking assignment.

Recommended Actions:

Work with faculty to implement or revise critical thinking assignments in the core courses *before* students reach ART 200

2020-2021:

The average score increased 1% from the previous year.

While the average score increased 1%, it should be noted that the percent of students failing to meet expectations increased (compared over the last 4 years.) This is most likely a direct result of this cohort of students which began college in Fall 2019, and subsequently had 3 of their semesters primarily online and disrupted due to the pandemic and hurricanes.

Recommended Actions:

Students taking ART 200 for the next 2 or 3 semesters will have been affected by the pandemic and hurricanes. Increased emphasis on critical thinking both in writing and speaking will be implemented in the course to address deficits with this skill.

2021-2022:

Analysis:

5% increase from previous year. Again, this cohort largely consists of students entering in Fall 2020, with several core courses(which ART 200 is a reflection of) conducted online. The percentage of failing students has decreased by 20%.

Action:

Emphasis when writing/speaking about work focuses on what is working/ what needs improvement within the framework the principles of design and art elements. Faculty will explore additional ways of discussing work to increase student engagement.

2022-2023:

Analysis

15% decrease from previous year. The decrease reflects responses that seemed rushed or haphazard.

Recommendation/Action: Targeted guidance on specific assignment prompt requirements and rubric expectations.

Xitracs Program Report Page 14 of 66

9 Assessment and Benchmark Core Content Knowledge

Assessment: Core courses in BA Art include:

- Art 101 Basic Design I
- Art 102 Basic Design II
- Art 217 Drawing I
- Art 105 Art and the Computer

Each course contains embedded questions and/or quizzes to determine achievement of student learning.

Benchmark: 85% of students are expected to achieve a score of 80% or above on content knowledge.

Files: See list of attachments to view. (Requires Adobe Reader or compatible viewer).

217-218 content_ terminology quiz

Art 101, 102 Course Content Assessment Questions

Outcome Links

Visual Sensitivity and Literacy [Program]

Students develop visual sensitivity and demonstrate competency in visual literacy.

9.1 Data

Core Content Knowledge

Course	2018	-2019	2019	-2020
Course	<80%	<u>></u> 80%	<80%	<u>></u> 80%
Basic Design I 101	7%	93%	0%	100%
Basic Design II 102	5%	95%	0%	100%
Drawing I 217	4%	96%	28%	72%
Computer 105	11%	89%	20%	80%
Average	7%	93%	12%	88%

Course	2020-	-2021	2021	-2022	
Course	<80%	<u>></u> 80%	<80%	<u>></u> 80%	
Basic Design I 101	1%	99%	0%	100%	
Basic Design II 102	5%	95%	0%	100%	
Drawing I 217	0%	100%	10%	90%	
Computer 105	21%	79%	0%	100%	
Average	7%	93%	4%	96%	

Course	2022	-2023	2023	-2024
Course	<80%	<u>></u> 80%	<80%	<u>></u> 80%
Basic Design I 101	2%	98%		
Basic Design II 102	5%	95%		
Drawing I 217	8%	92%		
Computer 105	0%	100%		
Average	4%	96%		

Outcome Links

Visual Sensitivity and Literacy [Program]

Students develop visual sensitivity and demonstrate competency in visual literacy.

9.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

Xitracs Program Report Page 15 of 66

2018-2019:

Expected achievement was met. The composite average was 93% of students achieved a score of 80% or above on course content knowledge. The benchmark was met in 101, 102, 105 and 217.

Analysis/Action:

Art 102 - The only concept falling below 80% concerned additive/subtractive color. Theory introduced in first lecture one but not tested after quiz one. Concept will be reinforced and tested subsequent quizzes/exam.

Art 105 - Students scored below 80% on technical information in the course. Alter exams for technical questions to see if students are struggling with testing format (T/F) or concepts. Art 217- Individual concept falling below 80% was value. Reinforce value in subsequent assignment content.

2019-2020:

Visual Arts Course Content Benchmark: 85% of students will score 80% or higher on embedded questions/quizzes

ART 101 Expected achievement was MET

Notes: All content knowledge areas met benchmark at 80% + The one content area meeting 80% was composition.

Analysis/ Action: Content question on composition was based on the formal definition of design. While concepts including design are reinforced throughout the term, the definition is only included in Quiz one and the final exam. This is a recurring issue in assessment, when term definitions appear early in the term and not again until the exam.

ACTION: Quizzes redesigned to include 20% of review material. [LR]

ART 102 Expected achievement was MET

Analysis/ Action: The one content area scoring at 85% concerns the application of additive color, a continuing issue is additive /subtractive color theory.

ACTION: The introduction to Color theory lecture and the concepts of additive vs subtractive color made an ART 102 course resource on the Moodle page. Assignments require students review this material as new concepts are introduced. [LR]

ART 105. Expected achievement was not met

Analysis: 80% of students met the goal both terms. The students who met the goals did so because they prepared for the final by each project that proceeds it steps up their needs at the end of this course

Action: Online resources posted on Moodle to support project content throughout term. [RJ]

ART 217 Expected achievement was not met

Analysis: 72 % of students met the goal both terms. Perspective is still falling short both in exam responses and in the portfolio.

Action: Exams will be redesigned to address both understanding and application. New teaching demos will be implemented to present perspective in a new way to assist the understanding and application.[MF]

2020-2021:

ART 101: Benchmark MET with 99% of students to score 80%+ on Content- Knowledge

Xitracs Program Report Page 16 of 66

Analysis: Previously course content knowledge measured as part of the final exam in which students were required to provide a description of selected art elements and art principles. This period, the course content measure was embedded in a Critical Review Writing Component, specifically, in the objective criticism unit of this assignment. The objective criticism unit of this 3-part writing component (Critical Review) required written responses to elicit a working knowledge of how the following concepts operate in a selected artwork: the formal aspects of composition, the art elements and the art principles. The unit included a controlled selection of images/artworks, and specific instruction to discuss the formal aspects of composition, 3 art elements, and 3 art principles.

<u>Action</u>: The objective criticism unit provided a coherent measure, designed to capture specific course content learning data and will be adopted as an assessment going forward.

ART 102: Benchmark MET with 95% of students to score 80%+ on Content- Knowledge Analysis: Journal reflections introduced with the online course content appear to help in the retention and application of concepts. Guided reflections will become part of future course delivery regardless of course format. Concept of visible spectrum is the one area where scores did not meet benchmark. Most improved this period was the concept of pigment function. Approximately half of the students missing concept question on visible spectrum also missed color wheel. As these are symbiotic concepts, if confusion exists about one it will impact both.

<u>Action</u>: The relationship and differences between concepts will be emphasized in the revised lecture and journal one questions.

ART 217: Students MET and exceeded benchmark and improved remarkably from previous semesters.

<u>Analysis</u>: Fall and Spring had online delivery with voiced-over lectures and demo videos (as opposed to in-class lectures and demos—to be seen only once). It is possible, with the addition of videos/demos which were available for multiple views, that the change in delivery of instruction resulted in improved understanding and application. Of course, cheating is a possible side effect of online test-taking. However, the format requires short-answer responses and application of terminology to the content, so cheating is not suspected (or at least not obvious).

<u>Action</u>: Implement video demos and other online content to supplement face to face instruction for next terms.

ART 105:

Analysis: Why do you think your students met or did not meet the benchmark 100% of art majors met the benchmark in the Fall and only 57% met the benchmark in the Spring. Of the 7 art majors enrolled in the course for the Spring semester, 2 did not turn in a final portfolio, and 1 did not fully complete the portfolio. The 4 students who completed the portfolio did illustrate their understanding of the course content. More independence was allowed this year in their responses by providing very open-ended questions for the students to submit with their final portfolios for the class. However, in reviewing written answers, this approach was too broad. In reviewing final portfolios, it is clear that 100% of the art majors did understand the course content- the proof is in the artwork they've created.

<u>Action</u>: To more accurately evaluate their understanding of the course content in the future, more specific questions will be related to using the Creative Cloud software, as well as questions related to basic design.

2021-2022:

ART 101 LR:

Students scored 100% on embedded questions, and they also scored 100% on the application of the same concepts. Credit should be given to the review process, as an expanded period of time given to explore all concepts (visually and verbally) until no questions remained.

Xitracs Program Report Page 17 of 66

ART 101 CB:

Students demonstrated a working knowledge of the course content by writing about a selected artwork. They identified the formal art aspects, art elements, and art principles used by the artist to create the artwork and discussed the application of these concepts. The students gained this working knowledge throughout the semester as they applied the concepts to tangible projects they produced. Expanding the opportunity for students to elaborate on specific concepts and to apply formal analysis more specifically is a valid consideration.

ART 102:

The one question 20% of students missed concerned subtractive color. A companion question not assessed scored at 90%. Related concept question shave been added to both the exam review and quizzes 5 and 6, in an effort to keep the terminology fresh for the second half of the term. Two questions scored at 20% of students missed – 1) tertiary definition and 2) additive /subtractive color. Companion questions not assessed scored at 100 %. Concept question were added to the exam review. Recommend adding review and discussion about the relationship and differences between additive and subtractive color, as this remains an issue on which clarification is needed.

ART 217:

Quizzes were administered online, however several art majors neglected to take the quiz in the 5 day time period and scores reflect missing questions. Consider moving quizzes back to inperson format, and explore new ways to demonstrate perspective concept.

ART 105 RJ:

I had 7 majors between my two sections of 105 in the fall and 1 major in my spring section. All students exceeded the benchmark. While these students were in fact all above average in their performance, in the future, I will make the embedded questions a bit more rigorous. Furthermore, I will incorporate a bit more writing throughout the semester to give students the opportunity to grow their writing skills.

2022-2023:

ART 101

Analysis.

CB 100% of students received an 80% or higher. The benchmark was met. Students demonstrated a working knowledge of the course content by writing about a selected artwork. They identified the formal art aspects, art elements, and art principles used by the artist to create the artwork and discussed the application of these concepts. The students gained this working knowledge throughout the semester as they applied these concepts to the tangible projects they produced.

CB 100% of students received an 80% or higher. The benchmark was met. By increasing the word count, the exploration of concepts was more extensive. Students were able to communicate the working application of the art elements and art principles within the selected artwork. They were also able to provide support convincingly in their discussion of the formal aspects, art elements and art principles.

LP 93% of students received an 80% or higher. The benchmark was met. The students analyzed works of art from the Works on Paper exhibition using research methods and the lectures from class.

Action:

CB Although all students met the criteria of the assignment and discussed the required concepts, the overall quality of responses was elevated in those that expanded their word count. Expanding the opportunity for students to elaborate on specific concepts and to apply formal analysis more specifically is a valid consideration. In a couple of cases, the students described the concepts without specifically naming the concept. Altering the instructions to state specific naming of concepts may lead to more direct identification.

CB Further consideration will be given to modifications within the assignment to address the repetitiveness of content in student responses.

LP The benchmark was met but I will be adjusting this assignment in the fall to scaffold the writing process more and to avoid missuse of AI generated academic writing.

Xitracs Program Report Page 18 of 66

ART 102:

Analysis. 90% of students received an 80% or higher on embedded questions from the final exam. The benchmark was met. Course content is assessed in quizzes throughout the semester, and in a cumulative final exam (where the data for this assessment is taken). Since course content is consistently reinforced, students are performing well on the exam. (Fall 22 /MF)

Action: Review course content and assessment methods to evaluate possible new directions for the course. (Fall 22/MF)

Analysis. 100% of students received 80% or higher on embedded questions from the final exam. The benchmark was met. The exam is cumulative, building on quizzes given throughout the semester. This format reinforces knowledge of course content. A review was given at the end of the semester and students did very well on the exam. (Spring 23/RJ)

Action: I will continue to include quizzes leading up to the final exam. Next semester, however, I am going to use magenta and cyan instead of red and blue. This will affect some quiz/exam questions. (Spring 23/RJ)

ART 217:

Analysis. Benchmark was met. Course content is reviewed during demonstrations and use of terms are used during class discussions and critiques. Consistent repetition and use in the exercises we perform during class tends to lead in high performance. Students are much more engaged in conversations and terms use in a post-online environment.

Action: An expansion of course terms will be reviewed along with workshopping new avenues to test and assess students on this content.

10 Assessment and Benchmark Senior Portfolio Review

Assessment: Faculty review team evaluates each degree candidate's written statement and senior portfolio.

Benchmark 1: 85% of degree candidates are expected to achieve scores to meet (14-17) or exceed (18+) expectations on senior portfolio evaluation measuring student mastery of and ability to synthesize concept and design into resolved visual solutions. [10.1 CD, AS]

Benchmark 2: 85% of degree candidates are expected to achieve a score of 80% or above on the senior portfolio evaluation in the categories related to technological application/communication, written communication, and oral communication. [10.2 AS, VS 10.3 C/T]

Benchmark 3: 85% of degree candidates are expected to achieve a score of 80% or above on the total score of the Senior Portfolio Review.

Outcome Links

Critical Thinking [Program]

Students demonstrate cognitive ability to identify, analyze, and synthesize knowledge through observation, experience, reflection, and reasoning.

Visual Communication and Expression [Program]

Graduates possess the technical skills, perceptual development, and understanding of principles of visual organization sufficient to achieve basic visual communication and expression in one or more media. Graduates must possess an ability to make workable connections between concept and media. (NASAD)

10.1 Data

Art 400 Senior Portfolio - Critical Thinking

		2018-2019										
	Total	F		М		E		M/E				
	Students	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#			
Concept	24	0%		33%	8	67%	16	100%	24			
Statement	24	0%		46%	11	54%	13	100%	24			

Xitracs Program Report Page 19 of 66

		2019-2020									
	Total F		N	Λ	E		M/E				
	Students	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
Concept	20	0%		35%	7	65%	13	100%	20		
Statement	20	0%		50%	10	50%	10	100%	20		

		2020-2021									
	Total	F		М		E		M/E			
	Students	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
Concept	21	5%	1	28%	7	67%	14	95%	21		
Statement	21	5%	1	38%	8	57%	12	95%	21		

		2021-2022										
	Total	Total F		М		E		M/E				
	Students	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#			
Concept	16	0%		19%	3	81%	13	100%	16			
Statement	16	0%		19%	3	81%	13	100%	16			

		2022-2023									
	Total	F	=	١	Λ	E	•	M/	E		
	Students	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
Concept											
Statement											

Five-year comparison - M/E Art 400 Critical Thinking

		Ad	cademic \	ear Endi	Academic Year Ending									
	2016	6 2017 2018 2019 2020 Ave												
Concept	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	99%								
Statement	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%								
Critical Thinking	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	99.5%								

		Academic Year Ending									
	2021	21 2022 2023 2024 2025 Av									
Concept	95%	100%	100%								
Statement	95%	100%	100%								
Critical Thinking	95%	100%	100%								

Outcome Links

Critical Thinking [Program]

Students demonstrate cognitive ability to identify, analyze, and synthesize knowledge through observation, experience, reflection, and reasoning.

Xitracs Program Report Page 20 of 66

10.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

Benchmark 1: 85% of degree candidates are expected to achieve scores to meet (14-17) or exceed (18+) expectations on senior portfolio evaluation measuring student mastery of and ability to synthesize concept and design into resolved visual solutions.

2018-2019:

Expected achievement is met. 98% of degree candidates met or exceeded goals in the area of critical thinking.

Analysis/Action: While students are meeting expectations for the artist statement, it has the lowest amount of students exceeding expectations. Faculty noted a lack of discussion about concept in the statements. A renewed emphasis on concept will be integrated into the course and new assignments generated to facilitate the writing process. Discussions with Writing Center are underway, with plans to utilize its resource throughout the semester.

2019-2020:

Expected achievement is met. 100% of degree candidates met or exceeded goals in the area of critical thinking.

Analysis/Action: Statement scores decreased from the previous year. In Spring 2020, the statements were lower than Fall 2019. This drop is attributed to COVID-19 and online learning. Students did not revise drafts as rigorously as they had in previous semesters. ART 400 online course structure revised to increase support.

2020-2021:

Expected achievement is met. 95% of degree candidates met or exceeded goals in the area of critical thinking. [C/D, AS]

Analysis/Action: Benchmark was met, and performance increased in 20-21 from prior year in students scoring at the highest level. The 5% failing to meet expectations represents 1 of 21 students between the combined terms. It is significant to note these seniors spent the last three terms (likely 1/2 of their concentration working at an advanced level) largely online and without studio access. The contrast between the 200 and 400 level reviews in the same assessments is significant, and this speaks to the importance of the face-to-face at the freshman/sophomore level. Review committee recommends continued development of online supports for the written and oral skills.

2021-2022:

Expected achievement is met. 100% of degree candidates met or exceeded goals in the area of critical thinking.

Analysis/Action:

While the benchmark is met, the students who did not meet exceed struggle more with the connecting to the overall concept of their work.

Faculty overseeing concentrations are encouraged to establish assignments or activities to foster connectivity to the overall concept of work.

2022-2023:

Expected achievement is met. 100% of degree candidates met or exceeded goals in the area of critical thinking.

Action: Encourage continued success by showing students to examples of real-world artists talking about their work.

Xitracs Program Report Page 21 of 66

10.2 Data

Visual Communication/Expression ART 400 Senior Portfolio-TC/AS/VS

		2018	-2019		2019-2020				
	F	М	Е	M/E	F	М	Е	M/E	
Technology	0%	29%	71%	100%	0%	25%	75%	100%	
Written Communication	0%	46%	54%	100%	0%	50%	50%	100%	
Oral Communication	0%	29%	71%	100%	0%	45%	55%	100%	
Communication Skills	0%	35%	65%	100%	0%	40%	60%	100%	

		2020-	-2021		2021-2022				
	F	М	Е	M/E	F	М	Е	M/E	
Technology	_	29%	71%	100%	_	19%	81%	100%	
Written Communication	5%	38%	57%	95%	_	19%	81%	100%	
Oral Communication		19%	81%	100%	_	19%	81%	100%	
Communication Skills	1%	29%	70%	98%	6%	13%	81%	94%	

		2022-	-2023		2023-2024			
	F	М	Е	M/E	F	М	Е	M/E
Technology		22%	78%	100%				
Written Communication		22%	78%	100%				
Oral Communication		11%	89%	100%				
Communication Skills		18%	82%	100%				

Outcome Links

Visual Communication and Expression [Program]

Graduates possess the technical skills, perceptual development, and understanding of principles of visual organization sufficient to achieve basic visual communication and expression in one or more media. Graduates must possess an ability to make workable connections between concept and media. (NASAD)

10.2.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

Benchmark 2: 85% of degree candidates are expected to achieve a score of 80% or above on the senior portfolio evaluation in the categories related to technological application /communication, written communication, and oral communication.

2018-2019:

Expected achievements were met in all categories with 100% meeting or exceeding a score of 80% or above in categories related to technological applications/communications, written communication, and oral communication.

Analysis: The lowest percentage of students exceeding expectations is in the category of written communication, with the artist statement dropping over the past three years. Faculty noted a lack of discussion about concept in the capstone statements.

Xitracs Program Report Page 22 of 66

Recommendation/Action: New assignments designed and integrated into the course to facilitate the writing process, with a renewed emphasis placed on concept.

2019-2020:

Expected achievements were met in all categories with 100% meeting or exceeding a score of 80% or above in categories related to technological applications/communications, written communication, and oral communication.

Analysis/Recommendation: Statements and verbal scores decreased from the previous year. In Spring 2020, the statements were lower than Fall 2019. This drop is attributed to COVID-19, as students did not revise drafts as rigorously as they had in previous semesters. The drop in verbal scores is less clear.

Action: Since presentation skills are also assessed through the University's QEP, the action will be the same: to incorporate verbal skill activities and assignments in each class meeting before the assessment, and to encourage clear understanding of what each student's exhibition intention is at the beginning (or even prior) to the ART 400 semester. Working with concentration faculty on this will facilitate the process

2020-2021:

Expected achievements were met in all categories with 98% meeting or exceeding a score of 80% or above in categories related to technological applications/communications, written communication, and oral communication.

<u>Analysis:</u> A slight decrease in technical skills directly attributed to loss of lab use and face to face instruction, as well as displacement. Achieving the level of technical skills in those areas most severely impacted by studio losses due to hurricanes, especially printmaking, is laudable. *No working press was available to students until the last week of instruction when 1 press was returned from machinist repair.*

Verbal skills notably increased. The ART 400 class emphasized verbal skills through practice with online forums (Big Blue Button), verbal videos, and required (and well attended) online class meeting sessions to practice verbal skills in small and large groups weekly.

<u>Action</u>: Online verbal skill activities initiated will be adopted as part of course format. Priority will be given to the acquisition of portable printmaking presses to support both independent study by majors and seamless adaption, as online course movement necessitates.

2021-2022:

Expected achievements were met in all categories with 98% meeting or exceeding a score of 80% or above in categories related to technological applications/communications, written communication, and oral communication.

Analysis:

This was an exceptional group of students who were ready and eager to be fully engaged in their work after the disruptions of 20-21, this engagement is reflected in the increase, especially in the EXCEED category which increased 11% points from previous year.

Action: Add additional small-group critiques for senior-level students within concentration classes.

2022-2023:

100% of students met or exceeded in categories related to technological applications /communications, written communication, and oral communication.

Action: To continue success, increase communication with faculty mentors about exhibition expectations--not just the work but also the followthrough on installation.

Xitracs Program Report Page 23 of 66

10.3 Data

Senior Review/CAP:

		2018	-2019		2019-2020			
	F	М	Е	M/E	F	М	E	M/E
Application Craft/ Technology	0%	29%	71%	100%	0%	25%	75%	100%
Design Development	0%	33%	67%	100%	0%	35%	65%	100%
Concept Development	0%	33%	67%	100%	0%	35%	65%	100%
Written Communication	0%	48%	54%	100%	0%	50%	50%	100%
Verbal Communication	0%	29%	71%	100%	0%	45%	55%	100%
Average	0%	34%	76%	100%	0%	38%	62%	100%

		2020	-2021		2021-2022			
	F	М	Е	M/E	F	М	Е	M/E
Application Craft/ Technology	0%	29%	71%	100%	I	19%	81%	100%
Design Development	5%	43%	52%	95%	I	31%	69%	100%
Concept Development	5%	28%	67%	95%		19%	81%	100%
Written Communication	5%	38%	57%	95%	_	19%	81%	100%
Verbal Communication	0%	19%	81%	100%	6%	13%	81%	94%
Average	3%	31%	66%	97%	1%	20%	79%	99%

		2022-2023				2023-2024		
	F	М	Е	M/E	F	М	Е	M/E
Application Craft/ Technology		22%	78%	100%				
Design Development		22%	78%	100%				
Concept Development		11%	89%	100%				
Written Communication		22%	78%	100%				
Verbal Communication		11%	89%	100%				
Average		18%	82%	100%				

Outcome Links

Visual Communication and Expression [Program]

Graduates possess the technical skills, perceptual development, and understanding of principles of visual organization sufficient to achieve basic visual communication and expression in one or more media. Graduates must possess an ability to make workable connections between concept and media. (NASAD)

Xitracs Program Report Page 24 of 66

10.3.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2018-2019:

Expected achievement is met. 100% of students met or exceed an expected level of achievement of >80% total score on the Senior Portfolio Review.

Analysis: Scores in the areas of Craft/Technology, Design,and Conceptual development were the highest of the last 3 year period. The diagnostic assessment was implemented with the Fall 2018 term. With the Spring 2019 term, students were asked to submit a detailed installation plan for their work. While initial results indicate both of these changes may have contributed to the higher outcomes, the student profiles/portfolios were very differtent at their core.

Recommendation/Action: Integrate installation plan as a required part of the diagnostic assessment, as the planning process supports self-awareness and self-editing of the portfolio.

2019-2020:

Expected achievement is met. 100% of students met or exceed an expected level of achievement of >80% total score on the Senior Portfolio Review.

Analysis/Recommendation: Statements and verbal scores decreased from the previous year. Recommendation is to to incorporate verbal skill activities and assignments in each class meeting before the assessment, and to encourage clear understanding of what each student's exhibition intention is at the beginning (or even prior) to the ART 400 semester.

Action: Introduce "studio visits" in the second week of the semester. The ART 400 instructor will visit each student's studio space and view the progress of the portfolio. The student will be asked a series of questions to not only give students a chance to practice verbal skills but also guide the student toward an understanding of their body of work.

Require double-concentration students to choose one concentration/advisor for the exhibition. Emphasize the requirements for exhibiting a body of work within the context of a group show (no solo shows in a group setting).

2020-2021:

Expected achievement is met. 97 % of students met or exceeded an expected level of achievement of >80% total score on the Senior Portfolio Review.

<u>Analysis:</u> Verbal scores increased significantly from the previous year, with verbal skill activities incorporated in BBN and other formats in all class meetings. Pre-exhibit studio visits moved to an online format, allowing faculty to give input to the developing portfolio. Most students exhibited significant growth within the term, with some contending with lack of studio equipment due to storm losses. Installation planning appears to be showing positive results, with increasing diversity of formats especially in the graphic design area.

<u>Action</u>: A readiness checklist will be added to the studio visits, allowing faculty feedback and specific recommendations/guidance for both work and presentation development.

2021-2022:

Expected achievement is met. 100% of students met or exceed an expected level of achievement of >80% total score on the Senior Portfolio Review.

Analysis:

This was an exceptional group of students who were ready and eager to be fully engaged in their work after the disruptions of 20-21, this engagement is reflected in the increases, especially in the EXCEED category.

Action: Suggest to concentration faculty to increase one-on-one meeting frequency to emphasize critical thinking and meeting deadlines.

Xitracs Program Report Page 25 of 66

2022-2023:

Expected achievement is met. 100% of students met or exceed an expected level of achievement on the Senior Portfolio Review.

While results of the Senior Exhibition Review continue to be successful, it's recommended faculty mentors begin working with exhibiting seniors in the semester or breaks prior to their exhibition semester. Earlier intervention may streamline the process of producing exhibit-ready work.

11 Assessment and Benchmark 300/400 Level Studio

Assessment:

- Goal 1 (a) Grasp of assignment/project/individual approach/interpretation to the work; and, (b) Addresses approach to media/applications and use of terminology.
- Goal 2 Thoughtful evaluation of work through multiple criteria including structure, meaning, and context/supports assertions.
- Goal 3 Examines work within a larger context.

Benchmark 1: 85% of students are expected to meet (14-15) or exceed (16+) expectations measuring ability to communicate their understanding of the project assignment and their individual approach in terms of concept and media. (KNOWLEDGE Goal 1)

Benchmark 2: 85% of students expected to achieve scores to meet (14-15) or exceed (16+) expectations measuring ability to engage in higher order thinking skills through analysis, synthesis, and/or evaluation of work created (EVALUATION Goal 2) and examine work within some larger context (CONTEXT Goal 3). Students should be able to demonstrate ability to think critically and contextualize their work within their concept/media through writing. Students should ultimately be able to make a connection between making, discussing, and writing about their work.

Outcome Links

Critical Thinking [Program]

Students demonstrate cognitive ability to identify, analyze, and synthesize knowledge through observation, experience, reflection, and reasoning.

Visual Communication and Expression [Program]

Graduates possess the technical skills, perceptual development, and understanding of principles of visual organization sufficient to achieve basic visual communication and expression in one or more media. Graduates must possess an ability to make workable connections between concept and media. (NASAD)

Xitracs Program Report Page 26 of 66

11.1 Data

Critical Thinking 300/400

	20	018-201	19	2019-2020			
	F	М	Е	F	М	Е	
Composite Score	12%	24%	64%	11%	21%	68%	
Goal 1	17%	83%		12%	88%		
Goal 2	11%	89	1%	18%	82%		
Goal 3	14%	86%		14%	86%		
300/400 Writing Assessment	12%	88	88%		97%		

	20	020-202	21	2021-2022			
	F	М	Е	F	М	Е	
Composite Score	10%	51%	39%	24%	43%	33%	
Goal 1	15%	85%		12%	88%		
Goal 2	22%	78	3%	12%	88%		
Goal 3	26%	74%		34%	76%		
300/400 Writing Assessment	21%	79%		5%	95%		

	2022-2023			2023-2024			
	F	М	Е	F	М	Е	
Composite Score	10%	21%	69%				
Goal 1	16%	84%					
Goal 2	16%	84	! %				
Goal 3	12%	88%					
300/400 Writing Assessment	14%	86%					

Outcome Links

Critical Thinking [Program]

Students demonstrate cognitive ability to identify, analyze, and synthesize knowledge through observation, experience, reflection, and reasoning.

11.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2018-2019:

Overall goal met with combined score of 88% meeting/exceeding expectations.

Analysis: While combined score met objectives, individual goal results as follows:

Goal 1 Knowledge: met (-2) Goal 2 Evaluation: not met (+4) Goal 3 Context: not met (+3)

Recommendation/Action: Assessment committee met with studio faculty end of spring term to review results by area. Performance on individual goals varied within each discipline; discussion shifted to assignment content.

Xitracs Program Report Page 27 of 66

GDES will alter critical thinking assignment to address graphic design concerns. Other areas will adjust assignments to align with course projects. Art history will expand scope of essay in effort to forge deeper connections.

2019-2020:

Overall goal met with 97% meeting/exceeding expectations. Goal 1 and 3 were met. Goal 2 fell short of 85% with 83% meeting/exceeding.

Expected achievements MET/ Not met

Goal 1 Knowledge: MET (+3) Goal 2 Evaluation: not met (-3) Goal 3 Context: MET (+1)

Analysis/Actions

Graphic Design Due to Covid19 and distance learning, the responses were not fully realized. Students in ART 326/327 used appropriate terminology. It's a matter of gaining an understanding of the intention of the product they are creating and making good visual decisions. I observe disconnects in both directions: Sometimes there is strong writing, but the final product doesn't convey their understanding, whereas other times the project is very well done, but the writing is disconnected. There is a direct correlation, though, that writing a strong creative brief greatly assists in a successful project visually.

Assignment revisions are ongoing, including having students post all documentation for projects to Moodle.

Ceramics

Most likely due to the online format, there was poor participation with assessment, the class was small to begin with, and only 7 students competed the assignment Assignment revisions are necessitated due to online class format.

Printmaking

Assignment asks them to address issues about the work selected for critical thinking writing (the what, how and why). The "why" is usually the category that falls short. Instructor needs to show examples of writing and how to embellish the process description and less time emphasizing the technical focus.

The class needs to create a critical thinking assignment instead of selecting a work of their choice. This assignment will be part of their performance evaluation. Emphasis will be on the connection between the writing and the work and will have more relevance for the instructor and the students.

Revised assignment will be implemented in future critical thinking assignments the "CT Prompt Document".

2020-2021:

Overall goal (85%+) not met with a combined [79%] meeting/exceeding expectations.

Expected achievements;

Goal 1 Knowledge: MET (85%) Goal 2 Evaluation: not met (-5) Goal 3 Context: not met (-9)

<u>Analysis/Action:</u> The one critical thinking goal met is KNOWLEDGE, the lowest level of Bloom's taxonomy. EVALUATION (application) was met in two disciples but fell below in others. CONTEXT (synthesis) fell farther below, also with two disciples meeting the goal. An assumption might be the areas exceeding would be tied to campus access, but one area returned to the studio and the other kept wholly online.

Xitracs Program Report Page 28 of 66

Drawing [MF ART 337-338,437-438] Goal not met with 77% of student meeting /exceeding expectations. Several students missed the goal of the assignment and omitted examples. Reviewing the assignment prompt more thoroughly and guiding students through the process of how to cite examples in the writing process is suggested.

Ceramics [KB. ART 345-346,445-46] Goal not met with 80% of student meeting /exceeding expectations. The assignment was not the issue. Student scores expected to significantly improve once we are back to face-to-face classes. Point value for the assessment portion of the assignment will be increased in an effort to help motivate the students for an appropriate investment of time.

Graphic Design [TG 326-327,426-427] Goal MET with 100% of student meeting /exceeding expectations. Overall, students have a good understanding of their projects. However, a couple of revisions should be implemented from a Graphic Design point of view:

- Have students look at their work from a global point of view. How does it work? Is there a history?
- Questions and prompts of the GD students will be revised and made applicable to the discipline

Students in 300+ classes do well because they complete Creative Briefs for projects. This gives them a good basis for answering these questions.

Painting [HK ART 311-312, 411-412]

Goal MET with 100% of student meeting /exceeding expectations.

Photography [RJ ART 328-329,428-429]

Goal MET with 100% of student meeting /exceeding expectations.

Over the course of this bizarre year, written assignments were not as frequent for upper-level students. For this year, the 300-400 photography assessment is supplemented with verbal critique responses. 70% of the students in ART 328, 329, 428, and 429 scored 3 or higher on all three goals. Written assignments next year (to include (but not limited to) artist statements, written responses to articles/essays, and written responses to each other's work.

2021-2022:

Overall goal (85%+) not met with a combined [75%] meeting/exceeding expectations.

Expected achievements;

Goal 1 Knowledge: MET (88%) Goal 2 Evaluation: MET (88%) Goal 3 Context: not met (76%)

ART 311, 312, 411 Analysis/Action

Goal MET with 100% of student meeting /exceeding expectations.

Part of the success this semester is attributed to having students do two writing assignments which were both assessed. In the first writing assignment none of the students met the benchmark and feedback was provided for improvement. Therefore students preformed remarkedly better in the second writing assignment. In the future, students will complete formative assignments involving research into the history of art/painting to facilitate a broader context from which to interpret their own work.

ART 345, 346, 445, 446 Analysis/Action

Goal MET with 100% of student meeting /exceeding expectations.

Student feedback on the project was very positive. They enjoyed researching their chosen artwork and learning about art that has a very clear social message. This project was so successful I plan on incorporating a 3-dimensional companion project to accompany the written component in the fall.

Art 326, 327,426,427 Analysis/Action

Goal NOT MET with only 33% of student meeting /exceeding expectations.

Xitracs Program Report Page 29 of 66

In general, the students have an overview and general understanding of the project(s) assigned. They exhibited a surface-level comprehension. The area for improvement is how they communicate and articulate their solutions for the project(s). Students need to exhibit detail-level writing knowledge in their breaking down specific decisions they make. This can come in the form of using proper vocabulary while also making sure they have a reasoned, research-oriented approach to decisions they are making in relation to the objective.

ART 328, 329, 428, 429 Analysis/Action

Goal MET with 100% of student meeting /exceeding expectations.

Students were asked to verbally discuss their own work and write about another student's work. This did provide interesting insight, but in the future, I will have the students write about their own work as well as their peers' work. Furthermore, more writing assignments will be incorporated throughout the semester to give the students the opportunity to practice their writing and critical thinking. I intend to revise my rubric-scored assignment and next semester (and beyond), I will strive to include more examples of contemporary artists because I can see that the students' abilities to discuss their work in a greater context is lacking.

ART 317, 318, 417 Analysis/Action:

Goal NOT MET with 60% of student meeting /exceeding expectations.

I would attribute the high scores of this course to the small class size and the ability to discuss topics more in a small groups and individually at a greater ease. As enrollment increases, discussions with smaller groups of the class will be conducted. If this poses quality results, it will be implemented into other courses in the future.

ART 322, 323, 324 Analysis/Action

Goal NOT MET with 59% of student meeting /exceeding expectations.

Many students scored the minimum 3 points per goal. I attribute a portion of this downtrend to students adjusting to be back in the classroom, many students expressed a somewhat difficult time getting into a normal academic headspace. The somewhat hybrid format of the class also must have played a part. The class was split due to covid concerns in a large, lab centered class. The class had to move at a faster pace as in-person instruction was cut due to the split of the class. More in-person instruction and discussion should naturally increase the scores in the future. Next academic year will be fully back to normal instruction and I look forward to viewing the results and making necessary changes afterward when needed.

2022-2023:

ART 311,etc.

Analysis 100% of students received a 70% or higher on the assignment with 55% of students receiving a 90% or higher.

Action The prompt was revised from last year which clarified expectations. However, improvements still need to be made regarding connecting student work to the larger context of the art world. Integration of contemporary artists and artists from the history of art during critiques will be implemented to not only discuss painting assignments in terms of form and content but also in making connections with art world.

ART 317, etc

Analysis Students are becoming more and more comfortable being critical once again, similar to pre-covid assessment. It is my belief students are engaging more with the writing assignments due to the fact we are able to discuss their findings, ideas, and judgements during class while we work. Planned discussions are made of course, however, more natural discussions are crawling their way back to the class in a post-online environment. This semseter I also focused the critical thinking writing assignments on a series of writings based around a show they want to create. Giving students freedom while placing them in a real-world situation and problem really sparked an interest with them.

Action Continued encouragement to discuss these articles and questions amongst the students will be a focus along with small group discussions and interactions.

ART 322, etc.

Xitracs Program Report Page 30 of 66

Analysis Students seemed to rush this assignment, writing was not proofread thoroughly, however, the content was as critical and engaging as requested. I do feel the students of this class did not bring the conversations deeper as in semesters past and to the more extensive understanding as what is needed long term. It is my observation that this course was heavily process based this semester and general art theory and criticism was not voiced as often as usual and that reflected in the scores.

Action Students will engage in class discussions about art theories and criticisms using art-based articles and problems that student will read and discuss in person as a group before they write their findings based off of a prompt. This has worked in the past. I believe a more efficient outcome will be had from executing this once before midterm and have another post midterm.

ART 326, etc.

Analysis After assessing, the numbers feel skewed to me. I feel the students had a grasp of the project, and most received lower scores simply because they didn't provide enough detail. This can be from a variety of reasons. In general, I don't feel there was a lack of understanding of the project(s). However, what can be improved is their detailing of how they approached a project and their visual theme and direction.

This was the first semester where the questions/prompts were changed for the students. I did this to better reflect the nature of Graphic Design projects and they mimicked the Creative Brief, which student completed for each project. The answers they gave for this were very similar, if not the same as their Brief.

Because of the nature of Graphic Design project, Goal 3 was N/A. The outcome of Goal 3 needs to be updated to better reflect the outcomes and objectives for Design projects. Action In the assessment questions, ask more specific questions regarding the students' visual direction, theme and how it was discovered and incorporated.

I've found most students have a grasp and understanding of the project(s), however their detailing through writing was incomplete and did not express all of the background and discovery.

I believe the Creative Brief, assigned for each project, helps students think about their approach in a different way by having to put it into words. I will continue to do incorporate the CB for each project.

ART 328, etc.

Analysis Overall, students did a good job on this assignment, but I would like to see improvement in Goal Two. In general, I think more practice in writing is needed. Throughout the semester, students were required to keep an "Artist Reseach Journal" where they kept notes on all artists discussed in class (a prescribed list). Researching some 40 artists over the course of the semester allowed students to see a wide variety of visual approaches and to read different kinds of writing about art, from artist statements to reviews. The more they are exposed to good writing, the better their own writing becomes, and this was evident this semester. However, some students did not take the Journal seriously and this was also evident when it came to this assignment.

Action I will continue to include the Artist Research Journal project, introducing new artists every week. I will expand this project to include a reflection on any writing they come across in their research and will do more check-ins on their journals (I only checked these at midterm and finals this semester). Furthermore, based on my positive experiences in other classes, I will require students to turn in a rough draft before submitting a final draft, so that I can give feedback in a timely fashion that they can reference as they complete the final draft. Finally, I will adjust my approach to critiques to include more student participation, allowing the students more practice in discussing their own work and the work of their peers.

ART 345, etc.

Analysis

Students had a good grasp of the assignment; they have an understanding of the terminology appropriate vocabulary but there is room for improvement Action

Xitracs Program Report Page 31 of 66

Classroom discussions pertaining to ceramic appropriate terminology, and the how important the artist point of view about their work is. Students will be required to write a brief artist statement for each formal critique, detailing their intentions/concept about their work. This will help to spur conversations about concept and artis intent.

11.2 Data

11.2 Benchmark: 85% of students are expected to meet (14-15) or exceed (16+) expectations measuring ability to communicate their understanding of the project assignment and their individual approach in terms of concept and media.

Goal 1 - Ability to communicate their understanding of the project assignment and their individual approach in terms of concept and media.

Academic Year	% of students met or exceeded expected level of achievement
2013-2014	42%
2014-2015	96%
2015-2016	92%
2016-2017	87%
2017-2018	88%
2018-2019	83%
2019-2020	88%
2020-2021	85%
2021-2022	88%
2022-2023	84%

Outcome Links

Visual Communication and Expression [Program]

Graduates possess the technical skills, perceptual development, and understanding of principles of visual organization sufficient to achieve basic visual communication and expression in one or more media. Graduates must possess an ability to make workable connections between concept and media. (NASAD)

Xitracs Program Report Page 32 of 66

11.2.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2018-2019:

Expected achievement is not met, with 83% of students meeting or exceeded Goal 1 KNOWLEDGE [Ability to communicate their understanding of the project assignment and their individual approach in terms of concept and media].

Analysis: Goal 1 met in all studio areas other than GDES (50% M/E).

Recommendation/Action: GDES faculty member met with assessment committee and will revise assignment prompt to better meet content of graphic design area.

2019-2020:

Expected achievements MET with combined 88 % of students meeting or exceeded Goal 1 KNOWLEDGE [Ability to communicate their understanding of the project assignment and their individual approach in terms of concept and media].

Goal 1 Knowledge: MET (+3)

Analysis/Action:

GDES Not met with 70% score on Goal 1. Students did not fail to use appropriate terminology, rather responses were not sufficiently developed. Responses likely tied to COVID19 interruption.

Assignment revised and online support addressed.

2020-2021:

Goal 1 Knowledge: MET (85%)

<u>Analysis/Action:</u> The one critical thinking goal met is KNOWLEDGE, the lowest level of Bloom's taxonomy. Assessments reflect an understanding of the assignment and key course terminology used in writing. Restoration of formative assessments into courses in which these were reduced due to online formats will be implemented; revisions to writing prompt to be made.

2021-2022:

Goal 1 Knowledge: MET (85%)

Analysis/Action:

While Goal 1 continues to be met, the faculty agree that the assignment prompts require revision. Students are not sufficiently connecting their work to a broader context which is reflected in Goal 3. The introduction of formative assignments prior to the summative writing assignment will be introduced.

2022-2023: Goal 1 Knowledge: NOT MET (84% -1)

Analysis/Action

While the results fell short only 1% of the benchmark, faculty will meet to review prompts and the rubric to address shortfalls and need for improvements/amendments.

12 Assessment and Benchmark Art History Course Exit Exams

Assessment: Graduates will analyze the history of art from the Paleolithic period to the present day emphasizing the roles of art within the evolution of contemporary culture.

Benchmark: 85% of art majors are expected to achieve a score of 85% or above on art content questions embedded in the course exit surveys.

Outcome Links

Art History and Contemporary Art [Program]

Graduates must possess some familiarity with the works and intentions of major artists/designers and movements of the past and the present, both in the Western and non-Western worlds. Understand and evaluate contemporary thinking about art/design and professional and ethical issues related to art making and art professions. (NASAD)

Xitracs Program Report Page 33 of 66

12.1 Data

Art History Content:

		2018-20	19	2019-2020			
Course	# Enrolled	% M/E	Benchmark met?	# Enrolled	% M/E	Benchmark met?	
ART 261	157	88%	Yes	173	87%	Yes	
ART 262	156	98%	Yes	174	93%	Yes	
ART 363	171	87%	Yes	147	90%	Yes	
ART 367	100	97%	Yes	77	93%	Yes	
ART 461	52	90%	Yes	64	100%	Yes	
Average	107	92%	MET	127	93%	MET	

		2020-20	21	2021-2022			
Course	# Enrolled	% M/E	Benchmark met?	# Enrolled	% M/E	Benchmark met?	
ART 261	156	94%	Yes	118	91%	Yes	
ART 262	154	97%	Yes	123	95%	Yes	
ART 363	78	93%	Yes	77	91%	Yes	
ART 367	80	92%	Yes	80	93%	Yes	
ART 461	59	100%	Yes	73	95%	Yes	
Average	106	95%	MET	94	93%	MET	

		2022-20	23	2023-2024			
Course	# Enrolled	% M/E	Benchmark met?	# Enrolled	% M/E	Benchmark met?	
ART 261	139	96%	met				
ART 262	174	93%	met				
ART 363	80	93%	met				
ART 367	79	96%	met				
ART 461	77	97%	met				
Average	102	95%	met				

Outcome Links

Art History and Contemporary Art [Program]

Graduates must possess some familiarity with the works and intentions of major artists/designers and movements of the past and the present, both in the Western and non-Western worlds. Understand and evaluate contemporary thinking about art/design and professional and ethical issues related to art making and art professions. (NASAD)

12.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2018-2019:

Composite expected achievement is MET 92% of students met or exceeded score of 85% on embedded exam questions.

Analysis: Benchmark met in all courses for the first time in three years, with average score improving 4% overall and 60% of courses scoring equal to or above 90%.

Recommendation/Action: ART 261/262 - Expand content to forge deeper connections, supporting program efforts to improve critical thinking skills and Praxis content performance.

Xitracs Program Report Page 34 of 66

2019-2020:

Composite expected achievement is MET.

93% of students met or exceeded score of 85% on embedded exam questions.

Analysis: Benchmark met in all courses, with scores in "exceeding" range of 90+ in 90% of all art history courses.

Recommendation/Action: Review course content in course offerings with art education faculty to assure alignment with Praxis and increased focus on non-western content.

2020-2021:

Composite expected achievement is MET.

96% of students met or exceeded score of 85% on embedded exam questions.

Analysis: Benchmark met in all courses, with scores in "exceeding" range of 90+ in 90% of all art history courses,

ART 261 Action: Course revised to include short, informative, fun videos (from Khan Academy, for example) and virtual tours (inside Roman catacombs, for example) to bolster their understanding of concepts and aid in recognition of art and architecture. [BM]

ART 262 Action: Course redesigned for the Fall 2020 semester, using the iDesign course design and development process. Test prep was enhanced.[BM]

ART 363 Action: Revised study guide and essay questions given over the semester allow them to successfully discern answers. Students are required to compete half of the course by midterm, which supports learning and successful course completion. [BM]

ART 367 Analysis/ Action: Decline seen from Sp 20 to Fall 20; Through a series of essays, this course requires attention to detail. The two hurricanes pushed students to the limit and I believe they were simply less able to focus; Student scores rebounded during Sp 21. [BM]

ART 461 Analysis/ Action: Why did all students meet the benchmark? I think it's because the courses are self-paced classes with deadlines to keep them on track. The students have time to digest and understand the course content when it suits their schedule. They don't miss any classes because they are able to "attend" the course when it is convenient. [AB]

2021-2022:

ART 261 Analysis/Action: Students met benchmark because of supplemental materials provided which bolster a more comprehensive understanding of course material. Action Plan: Make course content (ancient art history) more relatable: Moodle discussion board and/or short answer assignment based on contemporary / topical events (multiple articles/videos) that relate to course content. Example topic: the ancient bust of a Roman, missing from Germany for decades, purchased at an Austin Goodwill for \$35.

ART 262 Analysis/Action: Course redesign in Fall 2020 is enhancing student understanding of what amounts to a whole lot of content covered in Art 262. The essays, discussions, and short answers are preparing students for the questions more successfully.

Action Plan: Engage students creatively: Have students create a Renaissance style altarpiece based on their favorite movie, series, podcast, or novel as subject matter. Using household items (folded paper, markers, paperclips), students design the panels to tell the story sequentially and encapsulate the narrative in a few important scenes, just as Renaissance artists did.

ART 363 Analysis/Action: Essay questions and study guides continue to help students focus on course content, making connections between stylistic periods. Lectures reorganized lectures for better flow. Action: Review lectures for efficacy.

Xitracs Program Report Page 35 of 66

ART 367 Analysis/Action: Assignments were revamped in accordance with the alteration of audio lectures – audio lectures were shortened so that each is now limited to one artist. This should help students to focus and learn content in small bites. Action: Review writing assignments.

ART 461 Analysis/Action: I think that my percentage dropped in my Spring 2022 class because only 75% of the students were successful on one of the embedded questions. It's odd because the question came from my lecture, which has not changed since last year so I'm not sure why more students missed this question. I do notice that my class size in the 2022 PreColumbian class has doubled this semester and overall, the students appear to be weaker. They are more prone to procrastination, which causes them to rush their answers to meet the final assignment deadline. It's possible that the recent Covid crisis is forcing more students into online classes - students who might do better in a face to face situation?

2022-2023:

ART 262

Fall 22 Analysis: The new essay is especially poignant because it creates a real-world and contemporary connection for the students. Their understanding and application of the course content are greatly enhanced.

Action: Design a discussion based on a topical event

Spring 22 Analysis: The writing assignment instituted in the Fall (Curating a Hospital) is especially poignant because it creates a real-world and contemporary connection for the students. Student understanding and application of the course content are greatly enhanced because they are required to consider the intent/meaning of the original artwork and apply it to a modern space.

Added a discussion based on topical event (Artistic Censorship/Caravaggio as Criminal and #MeToo Movement) to support engagement and enhance relevance of course content in contemporary times.

Action: Assignments seem to be successful. Maintain the status quo for a period of time to measure success.

ART 363

Fall 22 Analysis: Current essay needs replacement

Action: Consider an assignment to improve engagement and interest

Spring 22 Analysis: Essay and Discussion need to be replaced/revised to help students understand relevance of art in contemporary times

Action: Replace current Public Sculpture essay with a Reflection Essay (similar to Art 261 & 262). Revise current discussion to address the return of artwork stolen by Nazi's

ART 367

Fall 22 Analysis: Course needs updating

Action: - Redesign course content as well as layout/design to mirror Art 261 and Art 262 **Spring 22 Analysis:** Course redesign in progress. Course shell enhances overall "look" of the course and layout is more student user friendly

Action: - continue course redesign

ART 461 FA 2022

Analysis. The students this semester performed well on most of the embedded questions. The only exception is a question from the Unit 2 lecture exam. I analyzed the overall results and found that most students who missed this embedded question did poorly on the exam. My assumption is that they did not watch the lecture videos or, if they did, they did not use the lecture guides that I provide for each lecture.

Action: I need to stress that the students take the time to watch each lecture and use the lecture guides as they watch the videos.

ART 461 SP 2023

Analysis. The students this semester performed better on the embedded questions. My assumption is that my reminder to watch the lecture videos with the lecture guides in hand made them more aware of the importance of using those course materials.

Xitracs Program Report Page 36 of 66

Action: I need to continue stressing that the students need to use the lecture guides as they watch the videos.

13 Assessment and Benchmark ART 450 Embedded Questions - Professional Practices/Ethics] Assessment: These embedded questions in the capstone course address contemporary professional and ethical issues in art.

Benchmark: 85% of ART 450 students are expected to achieve a score of 80% on nine embedded quiz questions that assess student understanding of the ethical considerations of copyrights, contracts for commissioned work, and commercial gallery relations.

Outcome Links

Art History and Contemporary Art [Program]

Graduates must possess some familiarity with the works and intentions of major artists/designers and movements of the past and the present, both in the Western and non-Western worlds. Understand and evaluate contemporary thinking about art/design and professional and ethical issues related to art making and art professions. (NASAD)

Xitracs Program Report Page 37 of 66

13.1 Data

ART 450 Professional Practices/Ethics

	2018	-2019	2019-2020		
Topic	% correct	Benchmark met?	% correct	Benchmark met?	
Professional Practices - Commissioned Work	94%	Yes	80%	No	
Professional Practices - Gallery representation and direct sales	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	
Legal/Professional Practices/ Ethics/Contracts	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	
Legal/Copyright Issues	100%	Yes	80%	No	
Legal/Public domain/Fair use	95%	Yes	100%	Yes	
Composite Average	98%	MET	92%	MET	

	2020	-2021	2021-2022		
Topic	% correct	Benchmark met?	% correct	Benchmark met?	
Professional Practices - Commissioned Work	82%	No	100%	Yes	
Professional Practices - Gallery representation and direct sales	91%	Yes	100%	Yes	
Legal/Professional Practices/ Ethics/Contracts	91%	Yes	88%	Yes	
Legal/Copyright Issues	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	
Legal/Public domain/Fair use	95%	Yes	88%	Yes	
Composite Average	92%	MET	95%	MET	

	2022	-2023	2023-2024		
Topic	% correct	Benchmark met?	% correct	Benchmark met?	
Professional Practices - Commissioned Work	100%	Yes			
Professional Practices - Gallery representation and direct sales	88%	Yes			
Legal/Professional Practices/ Ethics/Contracts	100%	Yes			
Legal/Copyright Issues	78%	No			
Legal/Public domain/Fair use	100%	Yes			
Composite Average	93%	MET			

Outcome Links

Art History and Contemporary Art [Program]

Graduates must possess some familiarity with the works and intentions of major artists/designers and movements of the past and the present, both in the Western and non-Western worlds. Understand and evaluate contemporary thinking about art/design and professional and ethical issues related to art making and art professions. (NASAD)

13.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2018-2019:

Xitracs Program Report Page 38 of 66

Expected achievement is MET with an average of 98 % of students met or exceeded score of 85% on embedded guiz guestions on professional practices/ethics.

Analysis: Students were more actively engaged in content area and discussions relative to copyright issues. Copyright case studies included those involving Richard Prince, Jeff Koons, and the USPS. Koons has been famously sued multiple times and class talked about how litigation avoided by obtaining license from the estate of Ukrainian sculptor Oksana Zhnikrup. USPS was successfully sued by artist Robert Davidson - In July 2018 the USPS paid the artist 3.5 million dollars for their mistaken use of his Las Vegas sculpture, not the real Statue of Liberty, on a stamp.

Recommendation/Action: Revise ART 450 professional practices/legal content to reflect current events and trends in business, conservation, galleries, and copyright rulings.

2019-2020:

Expected achievement is MET with a composite average of 92 % of students met or exceeded score of 85% on embedded guiz questions on professional practices/ethics.

Analysis: Close examination of results revealed [1] student scored at 80% on 2 questions related to [Commissions] and [Copyright -notation], with a total score of 85% and meeting requisite benchmark.

Action - A review of ethics/legal issues will be added to each related content area.

2020-2021:

Expected achievement is MET with a composite average of 92 % of students met or exceeded score of 85% on embedded guiz questions on professional practices/ethics.

Analysis: [2] students scored at 80% on a question related to [Commissions] The biggest question asked while teaching this semester was: What will the art world look like post-pandemic? In the course was addressed the tenuous nature of the gallery system: speaking of how mid-size to small galleries have struggled to remain open and contrast of mega-galleries to those at the lower tier of the market.

Action: Going forward, further expansion upon discussion of open studios, social media presence, and personal websites will be important. Assessment topic on commissioned work will require students touch on two basic rules concerning price quotes and the requirement of a signed contract to begin work.

2021-2022:

Expected achievement is MET with a composite average of 95% of students met or exceeded score of 85% on embedded guiz questions on professional practices/ethics.

Analysis: Course material was implemented and then reviewed several times to foster absorption of the material.

Action: Course instructor changed due to the retirement of a faculty member, which has caused some content to be changed/tweaked. More emphasis on real world situations will be incorporated into class content, along with revised exam structure.

2022-2023:

Analysis: Structure of the course is working, as students have had positive exam scores especially with the embedded questions. However, continued changed needed, students appeared more comfortable with the information in discussions than on the test where some surprising misses were seen.

Xitracs Program Report Page 39 of 66

Action: Modify the exam structure and question structure to simulate more a conversation to mirror the lecture/discussion sections of the course where the student thrives. Along with this, we will implement a test at midterm, and at finals, instead of the current one exam. This will allow students to be challenged more often while the information is more present in their minds.

14 Assessment and Benchmark PRAXIS Art Content Knowledge Exam

Assessment: Students with a secondary concentration in art education must pass the PRAXIS Art Content exam.

Benchmark: 85% of Art Education majors will pass the Praxis Art Content Knowledge Exam on the first attempt.

Outcome Links

Art Education Competency [Program]

Art Education concentration degree candidates shall demonstrate competency in the teaching of art in the K-12 school setting. (NASAD)

Art History and Contemporary Art [Program]

Graduates must possess some familiarity with the works and intentions of major artists/designers and movements of the past and the present, both in the Western and non-Western worlds. Understand and evaluate contemporary thinking about art/design and professional and ethical issues related to art making and art professions. (NASAD)

14.1 Data PRAXIS Art Content

Xitracs Program Report Page 40 of 66

ART Education - Praxis Content #5134:

ART	ART		Spring 2019	Fall 2019	Spring 2020	Fall 2020	Spring 2021
	Number	1	3	1	1	0	1
	Mean	160	167	176	164		164
	Range	160	161-177	176	164		164
5134 overall	% Passed on 1st Attempt	0%	33%	100%	100%		100%
5134 breakdown	Number	1	3	1	1		_
	Mean	46	52	53	48		
	Range	46	47-60	53	48		
Art Making	% correct (68)	69%	76%	79%	71%		
	Mean	21	25	26	25		
Historical	Range	21	21-27	26	25		
and Theoretical Foundations of Art	% correct (38)	55%	65%	68%	66%		

2021-2022: There were no completers in 2021-2022 and, therefore, no new data to report.

ART		Fall 2022	Spring 2023	Fall 2023	Spring 2024	Fall 2024	Spring 2025
	Number	0	1				
	Mean		159				
	Range		159				
5134 overall	% Passed on 1st Attempt		0%				
5134 breakdown	Number		1				
	Mean		48				
	Range		48				
Art Making	% correct (64)		75%				
	Mean		16				
Historical	Range		16				
and Theoretical Foundations of Art	% correct (36)		44%				

Xitracs Program Report Page 41 of 66

Outcome Links

Art Education Competency [Program]

Art Education concentration degree candidates shall demonstrate competency in the teaching of art in the K-12 school setting. (NASAD)

Art History and Contemporary Art [Program]

Graduates must possess some familiarity with the works and intentions of major artists/designers and movements of the past and the present, both in the Western and non-Western worlds. Understand and evaluate contemporary thinking about art/design and professional and ethical issues related to art making and art professions. (NASAD)

2013 InTASC Standards [External]

5. Application of Content

The teacher understands how to connect concepts and use differing perspectives to engage learners in critical thinking, creativity, and collaborative problem solving related to authentic local and global issues.

14.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2018-2019:

The benchmark was not met. There is a new course in the curriculum (ART 335) that will address the content that 5134 covers. Continue to monitor results for the five-year average.

The goal for 2019-2020 will be to achieve an 85% first attempt pass rate on the Praxis Art Content Knowledge Exam after taking ART 335 in Junior Fall semster.

2019-2020:

The benchmark was met. In addition to continuing the new course, ART 335, I will also be purchasing multiple copies of test prep material for students to check out when they are preparing to take the exam.

2020-2021:

The benchmark was met. Will continue to monitor results over the next year.

2021-2022:

There were no completers in the 2021-2022 academic year for the Art Education Concentrations.

As part of the improvement plan and in preparation for the 2024 CAEP Accreditation visit, all K-12 and secondary programs are reviewing and realigning course content to the Praxis content exam to ensure candidate preparation.

2022-2023:

Xitracs Program Report Page 42 of 66

15 Assessment and Benchmark ARED 445 Field Experience Evaluation

Assessment: The Field Experience Evaluation (FEE) measures the following elements:

Domain 1: Planning and Preparation Domain 2: Classroom Environment

Domain 2.1: Managing Student Behavior

Domain 3: Instruction

Domain 3.2: Engaging Students in Learning Domain 3.3: Using Assessment in Instruction

Domain 4: Professionalism

Domain 5.4: Knowledge of Artists, Art History, and World Cultures Domain 5.5: Thorough Analysis, Interpretation, and Judgment

Benchmark 1:

Candidates will score a 3.00 or higher on each element in the Field Experience Evaluation Rubric (FEE) for Domains 1-4.

Scoring scale used:

1 - Ineffective

2 - Effective: Emerging

3 - Effective: Proficient

4 - Highly Effective

Benchmark 2: Candidates will score 3.00 or higher on each element of Domain 5 (Content Specific Components) on the Field Experience Evaluation (FEE) Rubric.

Scoring Scale for the FEE:

- 1 Ineffective
- 2 Emerging
- 3 Effective Proficient
- 4 Highly Effective

Prior to 2018-2019, the benchmarks were 2.80 or higher on each element.

Outcome Links

Art Education Competency [Program]

Art Education concentration degree candidates shall demonstrate competency in the teaching of art in the K-12 school setting. (NASAD)

Art History and Contemporary Art [Program]

Graduates must possess some familiarity with the works and intentions of major artists/designers and movements of the past and the present, both in the Western and non-Western worlds. Understand and evaluate contemporary thinking about art/design and professional and ethical issues related to art making and art professions. (NASAD)

Xitracs Program Report Page 43 of 66

15.1 Data

2017-2018:

Data table is attached.

2018-2019:

Data table is attached.

2019-2020:

Data table is attached.

2020-2021:

Data table is attached.

2021-2022:

There were no completers in 2021-2022 and, therefore, no new data to report.

Files: See list of attachments to view. (Requires Adobe Reader or compatible viewer).

2017-2018 BA_ART_Field Experience Evaluation

2018-2019 BA_ART_Field Experience Evaluation

2019-2020 BA ART Field Experience Evaluation (1)

2020-2021 BA ART Field Experience Evaluation (1)

Outcome Links

Art History and Contemporary Art [Program]

Graduates must possess some familiarity with the works and intentions of major artists/designers and movements of the past and the present, both in the Western and non-Western worlds. Understand and evaluate contemporary thinking about art/design and professional and ethical issues related to art making and art professions. (NASAD)

15.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2018-2019:

The goal was met [4/4]. Continue to monitor results for five-year period trends. Monitor Sub Categories that are below 3.

The goal for 2019-2020 will be for candidates to score a 3.00 or higher on each element of the Field Experience Rubric for domains 1-4. Will monitor Domain 2 to see if this area needs to be addressed in the curriculum in the future.

2019-2020:

The benchmark was met.

In order to continue to understand the strengths and areas for improvement of the Art Education candidates and to ensure continued improvement, the FEE scores will be analyzed through scripted notes of university supervisors. Areas that need improvement will be identified and addressed in curriculum content.

2020-2021:

The benchmark was met. In order to ensure continued improvement, students will learn how to take scripted notes to ensure that they are familiar with each domain.

2021-2022:

There were no completers in the 2021-2022 academic year with a concentration in Art Education therefore no new data was reported.

All major assessments, including the field experience evaluation, are being realigned to the 2022 Danielson Framework for Teaching Model in preparation for the Fall 2024 CAEP accreditation visit. Therefore, a new assessment will be implemented in Fall 2022

2022-2023:

Xitracs Program Report Page 44 of 66

15.2 Data Art Education

2018-2019:

Data not reported.

Domain 5: Content specific components on FEE III

ART		Fall 2	019	-	Spring 2020 Fall 2020			Spring 2021				
Component	Ν	Mean	Range	Ν	Mean	Range	Ν	Mean	Range	Ν	Mean	Range
5.1	1	4.00	4.00	1	4.00	4.00				1	3.75	3.75
5.2	1	4.00	4.00	1	4.00	4.00				1	3.75	3.75
5.3	1	4.00	4.00	1	4.00	4.00				1	3.75	3.75
5.4	1	4.00	4.00	1	4.00	4.00				1	3.50	3.50
5.5	1	4.00	4.00	1	4.00	4.00				1	3.75	3.75
5.6	1	4.00	4.00	1	4.00	4.00				1	3.75	3.75
5.7												
TECH 1										1	3.75	3.75
TECH 2										1	3.50	3.50
TECH 3										1	3.25	3.25

2021-2022:

There were no completers in 2021-2022 and, therefore, no new data to report.

ART	Fall 2022		Spring 2023		Fall 2023		023	Spring 2024		2024		
Component	Z	Mean	Range	Ν	Mean	Range	Ν	Mean	Range	Z	Mean	Range
5.1												
5.2												
5.3												
5.4												
5.5												
5.6												
5.7												

Outcome Links

Art Education Competency [Program]

Art Education concentration degree candidates shall demonstrate competency in the teaching of art in the K-12 school setting. (NASAD)

Xitracs Program Report Page 45 of 66

15.2.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2018-2019:

The benchmark was not assessed. The goal for 2019-2020 will be for candidates to score a three or higher on each element of domain five (content-specific components) on the Field Experience Evaluation Rubric. The domain will also be reviewed to ensure it is aligned with the state standards.

2019-2020:

The benchmark was assessed and met. We will continue to evaluate this data for strengths and areas for improvement.

2020-2021:

The benchmark was assessed and met. We will watch for a five year trend now that this benchmark is being assessed regularly.

2021-2022:

There were no completers in the 2021-2022 academic year with a concentration in Art Education therefore no new data was reported.

All major assessments, including the field experience evaluation content portion are being aligned to current standards and curriculum expectations. Content experts will assist site supervisors in assessing candidate knowledge when teaching in the field. All major assessments, including the field experience evaluation, are being realigned to the 2022 Danielson Framework for Teaching Model in preparation for the Fall 2024 CAEP accreditation visit. Therefore, a new assessment will be implemented in Fall 2022.

2022-2023:

16 Assessment and Benchmark ARED Senior Exit Survey

Assessment: Program completers in Art Education complete an exit survey with the following indicators:

- 1. Use technology to enhance learning.
- 2. Understand and convey knowledge of subject matter.
- 3. Manage student behavior for effective learning.
- 4. Stimulate high-order thinking.
- 5. Provide opportunities for student involvement in learning.
- 6. Use materials, resources, and activities that are developmentally appropriate.

This is a new survey that was created to align with InTASC Standards implemented in fall 2017.

Benchmark: Candidates will score all of the components on the Candidate Exit Survey at a score of 3.00 or higher.

Scoring Scale:

- 1 Not at all prepared
- 2 Not sufficiently prepared
- 3 Sufficiently prepared
- 4 Well prepared

Prior to 2018-2019, the benchmark was a mean score of 3.50 on all indicators.

Outcome Links

Art Education Competency [Program]

Art Education concentration degree candidates shall demonstrate competency in the teaching of art in the K-12 school setting. (NASAD)

16.1 Data ARED Senior Exit Survey

ART Education - Candidate Exit Survey:

Xitracs Program Report Page 46 of 66

Element	InTASC		2018 =1	Spring 2019 N=3	
	Standard	Mean	Range	Mean	Range
Section 1: Curriculum Design and Implementation		3.00	3.00	3.11	1.00- 4.00
1.1 Implement a variety of instructional strategies during lesson planning, which addresses students' common misunderstandings as well as typical ways students learn the content (i.e. instructional strategies).	1b	3.00	3.00	2.67	2.00- 3.00
1.2 Incorporate students' diverse cultures as well as real-world interests and experiences into instructional content to make learning relevant.	4m	3.00	3.00	3.67	3.00- 4.00
1.3 Implement strategies to help students understand the interconnectedness of different content areas.	5e	3.00	3.00	3.00	1.00- 4.00
Section II: Classroom Environment and Management		3.00	3.00	2.67	1.00- 4.00
2.1 Implement routines and rules for the classroom that enables all students to focus on learning.	3d	3.00	3.00	2.33	1.00- 4.00
2.2 Demonstrate appropriate use of communication and interventions to manage behavior in the classroom taking into account student diversity.	3f	3.00	3.00	2.67	1.00- 4.00
2.3 Implement efficient transitions between classroom activities.	3k	3.00	3.00	2.67	1.00- 4.00
2.4 Implement and support diverse inclusiveness with structured student-led discussion, curricula, and instructional experiences.	2a	3.00	3.00	3.00	1.00- 4.00
Section III: Quality of Instructional Practices		3.00	3.00	2.56	1.00- 4.00
3.1 Demonstrate instructional strategies that emphasize critical thinking and deepen students' understanding by including Bloom's Taxonomy of analysis, synthesis, and/or evaluation or Webb's Depth of Knowledge of strategic thinking (DOK 3) and/or extended thinking (DOK 4).	8f	3.00	3.00	2.67	1.00- 4.00
3.2 Demonstrate the ability to provide academic support (e.g. scaffolding, models and approaches, instructional strategies, theories of learning) to meet particular learning differences or needs.	2f	3.00	3.00	2.67	1.00- 4.00
3.3 Implement interactive and engaging technology within instructional lessons.	51	3.00	3.00	2.33	1.00- 4.00
Section IV: Student Assessment and Monitoring		3.00	3.00	2.83	1.00- 4.00
4.1 Demonstrate various ways to give feedback on student work that is descriptive, specific, relevant, timely, and constructive.	6n	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00- 4.00

Xitracs Program Report Page 47 of 66

4.2 Analyze formal and informal assessment results against student goals and utilize the data to guide instruction.	7d	3.00	3.00	2.67	1.00- 4.00
4.3 Demonstrate how to help students assess their own ability and set goals which leads to successful outcomes (formative self-assessments such as checklist and rubrics, student goal setting, etc.)	6m	3.00	3.00	2.67	1.00- 4.00
4.4 Analyze formative and summative assessment data to identify students' areas of weakness and create an instructional plan for academic improvement, especially with regards to Response to Intervention (RTI).	9h	3.00	3.00	3.00	1.00- 4.00
Section V: Professional Dispositions		3.00	3.00	3.11	1.00- 4.00
5.1 Demonstrate thoughtful and critical reflection on his/her own effectiveness as a teacher in order to improve planning and practice.	91	3.00	3.00	3.33	2.00- 4.00
5.2 Collaborate with learners and their families, through technology and other forms of communication, to establish mutual expectations and ongoing communication to support learner development and achievement.	10d	3.00	3.00	3.00	1.00- 4.00
5.3 Collaborate with educational colleagues both within Professional Learning Committees (PLCs) and individually to meet the needs of all students (e.g. special education teachers, school counselors, school librarians, curriculum, etc.)	7e	3.00	3.00	3.00	1.00- 4.00
Section VI: University Information		3.00	3.00	3.44	2.00- 4.00
6.1 Rate the degree of your satisfaction with the academic advising you received from your academic department. (Aligns with MSU QEP)		3.00	3.00	2.67	2.00- 3.00
6.2 Rate the extent to which your field experiences contributed to your teacher education training.(Required information for Master Plan)		3.00	3.00	3.67	3.00- 4.00
6.3 Rate the extent to which your student teaching/internship contributed to your teacher education training.(Required information for Master Plan)		3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00

2019-2020: Data not available.

Element	InTASC		2020 =0	Spring 2021 N=1	
	Standard	Mean	Range	Mean	Range
Section 1: Curriculum Design and Implementation				4.00	4.00

Xitracs Program Report Page 48 of 66

1.1 Implement a variety of instructional strategies during lesson planning, which addresses students' common misunderstandings as well as typical ways students learn the content (i.e. instructional strategies).	4.00	4.00
1.2 Incorporate students' diverse cultures as well as real-world interests and experiences into instructional content to make learning relevant.	4.00	4.00
1.3 Implement strategies to help students understand the interconnectedness of different content areas.	4.00	4.00
Section II: Classroom Environment and Management	3.50	3.00- 4.00
2.1 Implement routines and rules for the classroom that enables all students to focus on learning.	4.00	4.00
2.2 Demonstrate appropriate use of communication and interventions to manage behavior in the classroom taking into account student diversity.	4.00	4.00
2.3 Implement efficient transitions between classroom activities.	3.00	3.00
2.4 Implement and support diverse inclusiveness with structured student-led discussion, curricula, and instructional experiences.	3.00	3.00
Section III: Quality of Instructional Practices	4.00	4.00
3.1 Demonstrate instructional strategies that emphasize critical thinking and deepen students' understanding by including Bloom's Taxonomy of analysis, synthesis, and/or evaluation or Webb's Depth of Knowledge of strategic thinking (DOK 3) and/or extended thinking (DOK 4).	4.00	4.00
3.2 Demonstrate the ability to provide academic support (e.g. scaffolding, models and approaches, instructional strategies, theories of learning) to meet particular learning differences or needs.	4.00	4.00
3.3 Implement interactive and engaging technology within instructional lessons.	4.00	4.00
Section IV: Student Assessment and Monitoring	3.25	3.00- 4.00
4.1 Demonstrate various ways to give feedback on student work that is descriptive, specific, relevant, timely, and constructive.	3.00	3.00
4.2 Analyze formal and informal assessment results against student goals and utilize the data to guide instruction.	4.00	4.00
4.3 Demonstrate how to help students assess their own ability and set goals which leads to successful outcomes (formative self-assessments such as checklist and rubrics, student goal setting, etc.)	3.00	3.00

Xitracs Program Report Page 49 of 66

4.4 Analyze formative and summative assessment data to identify students' areas of weakness and create an instructional plan for academic improvement, especially with regards to Response to Intervention (RTI).	3.00	3.00
Section V: Professional Dispositions	4.00	4.00
5.1 Demonstrate thoughtful and critical reflection on his/her own effectiveness as a teacher in order to improve planning and practice.	4.00	4.00
5.2 Collaborate with learners and their families, through technology and other forms of communication, to establish mutual expectations and ongoing communication to support learner development and achievement.	4.00	4.00
5.3 Collaborate with educational colleagues both within Professional Learning Committees (PLCs) and individually to meet the needs of all students (e.g. special education teachers, school counselors, school librarians, curriculum, etc.)	4.00	4.00
Section VI: University Information	4.00	4.00
6.1 Rate the degree of your satisfaction with the academic advising you received from your academic department. (Aligns with MSU QEP)	4.00	4.00
6.2 Rate the extent to which your field experiences contributed to your teacher education training.(Required information for Master Plan)	4.00	4.00
6.3 Rate the extent to which your student teaching/internship contributed to your teacher education training.(Required information for Master Plan)	4.00	4.00

2021-2022: There were no completers in 2021-2022 and, therefore, no new data to report.

Element	InTASC		2022 =	Spring 2023 N=	
	Standard	Mean	Range	Mean	Range
Section 1: Curriculum Design and Implementation					
1.1 Implement a variety of instructional strategies during lesson planning, which addresses students' common misunderstandings as well as typical ways students learn the content (i.e. instructional strategies).					
1.2 Incorporate students' diverse cultures as well as real-world interests and experiences into instructional content to make learning relevant.					
1.3 Implement strategies to help students understand the interconnectedness of different content areas.					

Xitracs Program Report Page 50 of 66

Section II: Classroom Environment and Management		
2.1 Implement routines and rules for the classroom that enables all students to focus on learning.		
2.2 Demonstrate appropriate use of communication and interventions to manage behavior in the classroom taking into account student diversity.		
2.3 Implement efficient transitions between classroom activities.		
2.4 Implement and support diverse inclusiveness with structured student-led discussion, curricula, and instructional experiences.		
Section III: Quality of Instructional Practices		
3.1 Demonstrate instructional strategies that emphasize critical thinking and deepen students' understanding by including Bloom's Taxonomy of analysis, synthesis, and/or evaluation or Webb's Depth of Knowledge of strategic thinking (DOK 3) and/or extended thinking (DOK 4).		
3.2 Demonstrate the ability to provide academic support (e.g. scaffolding, models and approaches, instructional strategies, theories of learning) to meet particular learning differences or needs.		
3.3 Implement interactive and engaging technology within instructional lessons.		
Section IV: Student Assessment and Monitoring		
4.1 Demonstrate various ways to give feedback on student work that is descriptive, specific, relevant, timely, and constructive.		
4.2 Analyze formal and informal assessment results against student goals and utilize the data to guide instruction.		
4.3 Demonstrate how to help students assess their own ability and set goals which leads to successful outcomes (formative self-assessments such as checklist and rubrics, student goal setting, etc.)		
4.4 Analyze formative and summative assessment data to identify students' areas of weakness and create an instructional plan for academic improvement, especially with regards to Response to Intervention (RTI).		
Section V: Professional Dispositions		
5.1 Demonstrate thoughtful and critical reflection on his/her own effectiveness as a teacher in order to improve planning and practice.		

Xitracs Program Report Page 51 of 66

5.2 Collaborate with learners and their families, through technology and other forms of communication, to establish mutual expectations and ongoing communication to support learner development and achievement.			
5.3 Collaborate with educational colleagues both within Professional Learning Committees (PLCs) and individually to meet the needs of all students (e.g. special education teachers, school counselors, school librarians, curriculum, etc.)			
Section VI: University Information			
6.1 Rate the degree of your satisfaction with the academic advising you received from your academic department. (Aligns with MSU QEP)			
6.2 Rate the extent to which your field experiences contributed to your teacher education training.(Required information for Master Plan)			
6.3 Rate the extent to which your student teaching/internship contributed to your teacher education training.(Required information for Master Plan)			

Outcome Links

Art Education Competency [Program]

Art Education concentration degree candidates shall demonstrate competency in the teaching of art in the K-12 school setting. (NASAD)

16.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2018-2019:

The goal was not met in the Spring of 2019. The benchmark will not change. Continue to monitor results for the five-year average.

The goal for 2019-2020 will be for candidates to score all of the components on the Candidate Exit Survey at a score of three or higher. The curriculum in ART 334 will focus on the sections that scored below three.

2019-2020:

The benchmark was not assessed. The goal for 2020-2021 will be for candidates to score all of the components on the Candidate Exit Survey at a score of three or higher. The curriculum in ART 334 will focus on the sections that scored below three.

2020-2021:

The benchmark was met. We will continue to watch for trends over the next five years.

2021-2022:

There were no completers in the 2021-2022 academic year with a concentration in Art Education therefore no new data was reported.

2022-2023:

Xitracs Program Report Page 52 of 66

17 Assessment and Benchmark PRAXIS Principles of Learning and Teaching

Assessment: Students must pass this exam to complete program.

Benchmark: 80% of the candidates will pass the Principles of Learning and Teaching Praxis exam on the first attempt and a minimum 65% passing score in each section assessed.

Prior to 2018-2019, the benchmark was a 100% passing rate on the PLT for candidates completing this program with 75% passing the PLT on the first try.

Outcome Links

Art Education Competency [Program]

Art Education concentration degree candidates shall demonstrate competency in the teaching of art in the K-12 school setting. (NASAD)

17.1 Data PRAXIS PLT

ART Education - Praxis PLT 5622, 5623, or 5624*

ART		Fall 2018	Spring 2019	Fall 2019	Spring 2020	Fall 2020	Spring 2021
Test Number		5622	5622/ 5624	5622	5622		5622
	Number	1	3	1	1		1
	Mean	170	174	171	166		164
Overall	Range	170	169-179	171	166		164
2.0	% Passed on 1st Attempt	100%	100%	100%	100%		0%
5622/5623/5624 breakdown	Number	1	3	1	1		1
	Mean	16	16	16	13		14
Students as Learners	Range	16	16	16	13		14
Olddenis as Learners	% correct (21-23)	70%	76%	76%	57%		67%
	Mean	14	15	16	14		15
Instructional Process	Range	14	15	16	14		15
mondonal i rocció	% correct (20-21)	70%	71%	76%	70%		71%
	Mean	8	11.33	8	10		9
Assessment	Range	8	8-14	8	10		9
ASSESSMENT	% correct (13-14)	62%	81%	62%	77%		64%
Professional	Mean	9	11	12	12		10
Development	Range	9	10-12	12	12		10
Leadership and Community	% correct (14)	64%	85%	86%	86%		71%
	Mean	11	9.67	10	10		8
Analysis of Instructional	Range	11	8-12	10	10		8
Scenarios	% correct (16)	69%	60%	63%	63%		50%

2021-2022:

There were no completers in 2021-2022 and, therefore, no new data to report.

Xitracs Program Report Page 53 of 66

ART	ART		Spring 2023	Fall 2023	Spring 2024	Fall 2024	Spring 2025
Test Number		5622	5622/ 5624	5622	5622		5622
	Number						
	Mean						
Overall	Range						
	% Passed on 1st Attempt						
5622/5623/5624 breakdown	Number						
	Mean						
Students as Learners	Range						
Stadomo do Esamero	% correct (21-23)						
	Mean						
Instructional Process	Range						
	% correct (20-21)						
	Mean						
Assessment	Range						
	% correct (13-14)						
Professional	Mean						
Development	Range						
Leadership and Community	% correct (14)						
Analysis of Instructional Scenarios	Mean						
	Range						
	% correct (16)						

^{*}K-12 candidates can choose to take any of the following PLT exams: #5622, #5623, or #5624.

Outcome Links

Art Education Competency [Program]Art Education concentration degree candidates shall demonstrate competency in the teaching of art in the K-12 school setting. (NASAD)

Xitracs Program Report Page 54 of 66

17.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2018-2019:

Passage goal met with 100% or [4/4].

The goal for 2019-2020 will be for 85% of the candidates to pass the Praxis Principles of Learning and Teaching exam on the first attempt and a minimum 65% passing score in each section assessed. Trends indicate increases in scores for the Analysis of Instructional Scenarios over the past six semesters with data.

2019-2020:

The benchmark was met. The goal for 2020-2021 will be for students to take the exam in the correct semester after completing EDUC 203 and PSYC 260/261.

2020-2021:

The goal was not met. I had one student who attempted this test this cycle. I believe that it is because of everything that happened this year, COVID, hurricanes, ETC. I will monitor the results to make sure we hit our goal next year.

2021-2022:

There were no completers in the 2021-2022 academic year with a concentration in Art Education therefore no new data was reported.

EDPR faculty is continuously reviewing coursework and information pertinent to the PLT exam for K-12 majors to prepare them for the exam and to be successful in the classroom.

2022-2023:

18 Assessment and Benchmark Louisiana Teacher Certification

Assessment: Art Education degree and certification candidates are expected to achieve Louisiana Teacher Certification.

Benchmark: 100% of Art Education candidates will receive their Louisiana Teacher Certification within six months of graduation.

Prior to 2018-2019, the benchmark was 85% of Art Education candidates will receive their Louisiana Teacher Certification within six months of graduation.

Prior to 2017-2018, the benchmark was 90% will receive their Louisiana Teacher Certification.

Outcome Links

Art Education Competency [Program]

Art Education concentration degree candidates shall demonstrate competency in the teaching of art in the K-12 school setting. (NASAD)

Xitracs Program Report Page 55 of 66

18.1 Data Teacher Certification

BA Art Education - Louisiana Teacher Certification:

Term	# of completers	Completers with Louisiana Teaching Certificate	% achieved certification
Fall 2016	2	2	100%
Spring 2017	ng 2017 — — —		_
Fall 2017	_	-	_
Spring 2018	1	1	100%
Fall 2018	1	1	100%
Spring 2019	3	3	100%
Fall 2019	1	1	100%
Spring 2020	1	1	100%
Fall 2020	_	-	_
Spring 2021	1	1	100%
Fall 2021	0	-	_
Spring 2022	0	<u> </u>	_
Fall 2022			
Spring 2023			

Outcome Links

Art Education Competency [Program]

Art Education concentration degree candidates shall demonstrate competency in the teaching of art in the K-12 school setting. (NASAD)

18.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2018-2019:

The goal was met, but one student waited four months to submit for her certificate due to financial matters.

The goal for 2019-2020 will be to monitor graduating students and check for Certificate completion in a timely manner.

2019-2020:

The benchmark was met. The goal for 2020-2021 will be for 100% of candidates to receive their Louisiana Teacher Certification within three months of graduation.

2020-2021:

The goal was met. The goal for 2021-2022 will be for 100% of candidates to receive their Louisiana Teacher Certification within three months of graduation. In addition to this, the visual arts department will contact the MSU certification officer to ensure success in this goal.

2021-2022:

There were no completers in the 2021-2022 academic year with a concentration in Art Education therefore no new data was reported.

2022-2023:

19 Assessment and Benchmark Enrollment and Completers

Assessment: BA ART Secondary Concentration Art Education K-12 enrollment and completers.

Benchmark: The EPP has set a goal to increase enrollment by 7% across programs each year from fall 2017 to fall 2021 to coincide with the MSU Strategic Plan goal concerning enrollment and recruitment.

Xitracs Program Report Page 56 of 66

19.1 Data

BA Art Education - Grades K-12 Assessment: Enrollment and Completers:

Academic Year	# officially enrolled in the program with an EDUC 200 packet	# of completers in the fall semester	# of completers in the spring semester	Total # of completers
2013-2014	4	_	_	5
2014-2015	2	_	_	2
2015-2016	0		_	4
2016-2017	2	1	_	2
2017-2018	7	1	0	1
2018-2019	8	1	3	4
2019-2020	3	1	1	2
2020-2021	1	0	1	1
2021-2022	3	0	0	0
2022-2023				

Outcome Links

2013 CAEP Standards [External]

3.1

The provider presents plans and goals to recruit and support completion of high-quality candidates from a broad range of backgrounds and diverse populations to accomplish their mission. The admitted pool of candidates reflects the diversity of America's P-12 students. The provider demonstrates efforts to know and address community, state, national, regional, or local needs for hard-to-staff schools and shortage fields, currently, STEM, English-language learning, and students with disabilities.

Xitracs Program Report Page 57 of 66

19.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2017-2018:

The benchmark was met. The enrollment for candidates officially admitted into the art education program with an EDUC 200 packet nearly doubled since 2013-2014.

The goal for 2018-2019 will be to increase enrollment by 7% across programs each year from fall 2017 to fall 2021 to coincide with the MSU Strategic Plan goal concerning enrollment and recruitment. Secondary education faculty along with art education faculty, through participation in the Noel Levitz recruiting Initiative, will contact students who have inquired or applied to McNeese to enroll in education or who are undecided about a major. Seeing an increase in first-time students majoring in art education will assess the goal. The number of contacts with potential students will be tracked along with successful recruitment numbers.

2018-2019:

From Fall 2016 through Spring 2019 (three years data) there was an increase of 300%. Looking at the difference from Fall 2017 through Spring 2019 there was a 14 percent increase of students that have completed the Education 200 packet. The enrollment of the first-time freshman from Fall 2017 was three, and no first-time freshman in the fall of 2018. In 2019-2020, we will begin to use an exit survey for students who request to change major and drop the art education certification from their degree program to track students who do not complete the program.

2019-2020:

2/3 students in the program completed this year. We will continue to monitor this data for trends.

2020-2021:

We had 1/1 students complete this year. We did not have the 7% increase in recruitment, but we have a larger group of students in the program for 2021-2022.

2021-2022:

There were no completers in the 2021-2022 academic year. However, there are 3 candidates enrolled in the program with their EDUC 200 packet. This is an increase in enrollment from the previous year, but still down 50% from 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.

The Burton College of Education and particularly the Department of Education Professions has made intentional efforts to recruit candidates into teacher-education programs and has focused particular attention on those from diverse backgrounds and within high needs areas. In addition to traditional attendance at parish career fairs and expos, the following are part of the MSU Department of Education Professions (EDPR) Recruitment and Retention Plan: Unlock Education, Call Me MISTER, Educators Rising, and minors.

Although the efforts are strong and we are committed to recruiting candidates from diverse backgrounds, results of these efforts are not immediate as these students are juniors or seniors in high school and the data reported in the Performance Profile for education provider programs is on completers. We will track the data for program admission to monitor new students and make adjustments as needed to attract a diverse group of candidates interested in the field of education.

20 Assessment and Benchmark in TASC Standards - Lesson Planning

Assessment:

Benchmark: Candidates will score a 3.00 or higher on each element of the Lesson Planning Rubric. Scoring Scale:

- 1 Ineffective
- 2 Effective: Emerging3 Effective: Proficient
- 4 Highly Effective

Xitracs Program Report Page 58 of 66

20.1 Data
Lesson Plan Data from EDUC 333, ART 334, ART 335, ART 413, and ART 414:

Criteria	InTASC Standard		Fall 2018 N=1*	Spring 2019 N=3*	Fall 2019 N=4	Spring 2020 N=1	Fall 2020 N=0	Spring 2021 N=1*
		Mean	_	_	3	3		
Essential		Range	_	_	3	3		_
Questions		% Proficient or Higher	_	_	100%	100%		_
		Mean		_	3	3		
Content		Range		_	3	3		
Standards		% Proficient or Higher	_	_	100%	100%		_
		Mean	_	_	3	3		_
Student		Range	_	_	3	3		_
Outcomes	4n	% Proficient or Higher	ı	_	100	100%		_
	51	Mean	_	_	3	3		_
		Range	_	_	3	3		_
Technology		% Proficient or Higher	_	_	100%	100%		_
		Mean	_	_	3	3		_
Educational		Range	_	_	3	3		_
Materials		% Proficient or Higher		_	100%	100%		_
		Mean	_	_	3	3		_
		Range	_	_	3	3		_
Procedures	3k	% Proficient or Higher		_	100%	100%		_
		Mean		_	3	4		
		Range		_	3	4		
Lesson "Hook"	8j	% Proficient or Higher		_	100%	100%		
		Mean			3	3		
Pre-Planned		Range	_	_	3	3		
(SEED) Questions	8i	% Proficient or Higher	_	_	100%	100%		_
Modeled,		Mean	_	_	3	3		_
Guided,		Range		_	3	3		
Collaborative, and Independent Practice	7k	% Proficient or Higher	_	_	100%	100%		_

Xitracs Program Report Page 59 of 66

		Mean	_	_	3	3	_
		Range	_	_	3	3	_
Closure		% Proficient or Higher	_		100%	100%	_
		Mean	_		3	3	_
Formative		Range	_		3	3	_
/Summative Assessment	6 <u>j</u>	% Proficient or Higher		l	100%	100%	
	2 <u>j</u>	Mean	_		3	3	_
Relevance and		Range	_		3	3	_
Rationale		% Proficient or Higher	_		100%	100%	_
		Mean	_	_	3	3	_
Exploration,		Range	_	_	3	3	_
Extension, Supplemental	1e	% Proficient or Higher	_		100%	100%	_
		Mean	_		3	3	_
Differentiation	7 j	Range	_		3	3	
		% Proficient or Higher	_	_	100%	100%	_

^{*}Data not available for 2018-2019 candidates or Spring 2021 candidate.

2021-2022:

There were no completers in 2021-2022 and, therefore, no new data to report.

Criteria	InTASC Standard		Fall 2022 N=	Spring 2023 N=	Fall 2023 N=	Spring 2024 N=	Fall 2024 N=	Spring 2025 N=
		Mean						
Essential Questions		Range						
		% Proficient or Higher						
		Mean						
Content		Range						
Standards		% Proficient or Higher						
		Mean						
Student		Range						
Outcomes	4n	% Proficient or Higher						
		Mean						
Technology	51	Range						

Xitracs Program Report Page 60 of 66

1	Ī				
		% Proficient or Higher			
		Mean			
Educational		Range			
Materials		%			
		Proficient or Higher			
		Mean			
		Range			
Procedures	3k	% Proficient or Higher			
		Mean			
		Range			
Lesson "Hook"	8j	% Proficient or Higher			
		Mean			
Pre-Planned	0.	Range			
(SEED) Questions	8i	% Proficient or Higher			
Modeled,		Mean			
Guided,		Range			
Collaborative, and Independent Practice	7k	% Proficient or Higher			
		Mean			
		Range			
Closure		% Proficient or Higher			
		Mean			
Formative	C:	Range			
/Summative Assessment	6j	% Proficient or Higher			
		Mean			
Relevance and		Range			
Rationale	2j	% Proficient or Higher			
		Mean			
Exploration,		Range			
Extension, Supplemental	1e	% Proficient or Higher			
		Mean			
Differentiation	7j	Range			

Xitracs Program Report Page 61 of 66

	% Proficient			
	or Higher			

20.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2018-2019:

There is no data to report for the 2018-2019 academic year. Data was not reported previous to the current academic year.

The goal for 2019-2020 will be for candidates to score a three or higher on each element of the Lesson Planning Rubric.

There is a new faculty member who is currently teaching the ART Education courses and will collect data on the lesson plan in the 2019-2020 academic year. Over the past few semesters, changes have been made to the lesson plan template, so it will take several semesters of data collection to establish trends, strengths, and areas of weakness. The ART faculty member will continue to work with education faculty to norm the lesson plan rubric for use in the ART classes.

2019-2020:

This is the first time data has been tracked in two years. We will keep monitoring the data and look for trends.

2020-2021:

The completer in the spring 2021 semester did not have lesson plan data collected. Moving forward, faculty will review the major assessments needed for each course and will ensure that all assessments are collected and analyzed.

2021-2022

There were no completers in the 2021-2022 academic year with a concentration in Art Education therefore no new data was reported.

All major assessments, including the lesson plan, are being realigned to the 2022 Danielson Framework for Teaching Model in preparation for the Fall 2024 CAEP accreditation visit therefore a new assessment will be implemented in Fall 2022.

2022-2023:

21 Assessment and Benchmark Outcomes - TCWS

Assessment: Teacher Candidate Work Sample pulled from ART 334.

Pior to 2020-2021, the TCWS data were pulled from ART 414

Benchmark: Candidates will score a 3.00 or above on each of the criteria elements of the Teacher Candidate Work Sample Rubric. This data is pulled from ART 414.

Scoring Scale:

1 - Ineffective

2 - Effective: Emerging

3 - Effective: Proficient

4 - Highly Effective

21.1 Data

Xitracs Program Report Page 62 of 66

Teacher Candidate Work Sample (data from ART 334 effective 2020-2021):

Criteria		Fall 2018 N=0*	Spring 2019 N=0*	Fall 2019 N=0	Spring 2020 N=0	Fall 2020 N=0	Spring 2021 N=1
Choice of Assessment	Mean	_	_				2.00
	Range	_	_				2.00
	% Proficient or Higher	_	_				0%
Pre-Assessment	Mean		_				2.00
	Range	_	_				2.00
	% Proficient or Higher	_	_				0%
Post-Assessment	Mean	_	_				2.00
	Range	_	_				2.00
	% Proficient or Higher	_	_				0%
Alignment of Lesson Evidence	Mean	_	_				3.00
	Range	_	_				3.00
	% Proficient or Higher	_	_				100%
Student Level of Mastery and Evaluation of Factors	Mean	_	_				3.00
	Range	_	_				3.00
	% Proficient or Higher	_	_				100%
Data to Determine Patterns and Gaps	Mean	_	—				3.00
	Range	_	_				3.00
	% Proficient or Higher	_	_				100%
Response to Interventions	Mean	_	_				3.00
	Range	_	_				3.00
	% Proficient or Higher	_	_				100%

^{*}Data not available for 2018-2019 completers.

2021-2022:

There were no completers in 2021-2022 and, therefore, no new data to report.

Xitracs Program Report Page 63 of 66

Criteria		Fall 2022 N=	Spring 2023 N=	Fall 2023 N=	Spring 2024 N=	Fall 2024 N=	Spring 2025 N=
Choice of Assessment	Mean						
	Range						
	% Proficient or Higher						
Pre-Assessment	Mean						
	Range						
	% Proficient or Higher						
Post-Assessment	Mean						
	Range						
	% Proficient or Higher						
Alignment of Lesson Evidence	Mean						
	Range						
	% Proficient or Higher						
Student Level of Mastery and Evaluation of Factors	Mean						
	Range						
	% Proficient or Higher						
Data to Determine Patterns and Gaps	Mean						
	Range						
	% Proficient or Higher						
Response to Interventions	Mean						
	Range						
	% Proficient or Higher						

Xitracs Program Report Page 64 of 66

21.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2018-2019:

Data was not available to report for the 2018-2019 AY completers.

The goal for the 2019-2020 AY will be for candidates to score a three or above on each of the criteria elements of the Teacher Candidate Work Sample Rubric assessed in ART 414.

There is a new faculty member who is currently teaching the ART Education courses and will collect data for the Teaching Cycle in the 2019-2020 academic year. Over the past few semesters, changes have been made from the TCWS to the TC, so it will take several semesters of data collection to establish trends, strengths, and areas of weakness. The ART faculty member will continue to work with education faculty to norm the rubric for use in the ART classes.

2019-2020:

The TCWS will be assessed in ART 334 in the future because ART 414 is not being taught anymore.

2020-2021:

This is the first time this has been assessed in ART 334. The goal will be for students to score no lower than 3.

2021-2022:

There were no completers in the 2021-2022 academic year with a concentration in Art Education therefore no new data was reported.

All major assessments, including the teaching cycle, are being realigned to the 2022 Danielson Framework for Teaching Model in preparation for the Fall 2024 CAEP accreditation visit therefore a new assessment will be implemented in Fall 2022.

2022-2023:

Xitracs Program Report Page 65 of 66

Xitracs Program Report Page 66 of 66

End of report