QEP Steering Committee (November 18, 2014)

Agenda

BBC 119

I. Introduction

II. What is the QEP/SACSCOC ACCREDITATION?

- III. Steering Committee's Role
- IV. Where we are in the process
- V. What you can do
- VI. Additional Means of Contact/Discussion

Meeting Notes

The SC meeting was held twice to accommodate as many members as possible.

- 1. Dr. Dvorske opened the meeting opened with two questions:
 - a. What do you wish you would have learned in college.
 - b. What have you struggled with since graduating that you think could have been avoided?
- 2. The committee discussed the questions and the larger concept that in approaching the question of what our next QEP should be, we should instead ask more reflective questions about our own learning experience, including the learning experiences we have with our current students.
- 3. Dr. Dvorske addressed where we are on our timeline to reaffirmation, the role of the QEP in that timeline, and the QEP timeline specificially.
- 4. Dr. Dvorske brought up the IRE-QEP webpage to illustrate the background and information on our last QEP, the process for topic selection, the role of the Steering Committee, and data and idea collection.
- 5. Finally, Dr. Dvorske and the Committee discussed working with colleagues and in department meetings about generating conversation and topics. Each committee member should lead in his or her area the process of idea generation and look for assessment results—no matter how small—that might provide a glimpse into a possible larger project.

QEP Steering Committee Meeting BBC 119 November 18, 2014

Sign In

#	NAME
1	ChSTS
2	Tray Thompson
3	Poti Threath
4	JEROME MARCANTEL
5	JANATHAN, SULLIVAN
6	Bridget Martin
7	Alexis Albarado
8	Wester LeJeune
9	Dalton Hinton
10	Amanda Runkle
11	Ioni Artoine
12	Meshin Fleming
13	JEFF LEMKE
14	Susie Cax
15	Lonnie Turpin, Jr.
16	Julia Bernard
17	DMatzenlalle,
18	Collise M. Badenny
19	Jan Broussard
20	(David Archer
21	LONNIE BEENE
22	Beek Tiley
23	Ton Drorske
24	