



National Survey of Student Engagement

Executive Snapshot 2007

McNeese State University

Dear Colleague:

This is a summary of some key findings from your institution's performance on the 2007 National Survey of Student Engagement. We hope you can use this information to stimulate discussion on your campus about how to improve the quality of the undergraduate experience at McNeese State University.

Sincerely,

George D. Kuh


Chancellor's Professor and Director

Percent of Students Who Participated in High-Impact Educational Practices at McNeese State University and Selected Peer Institutions

A common question from NSSE users is, "What is the one thing we should do to increase student engagement and success on our campus?" Our answer: Make it possible for every student to participate in at least two high impact activities, one in the first year, and one later related to their major field.

High-impact activities place students in circumstances that essentially demand they interact with faculty and peers about substantive matters. Left to their own devices, many students and faculty members may not do these things. Educationally effective institutions recognize this and create incentives to induce purposeful behavior towards these ends.

Research suggests that promising options for new students are first-year seminars, learning communities, and service learning. In the later years of college, research with faculty, study abroad, internships, and a culminating senior experience serve this purpose. The adjacent figure shows the percent of your students who had some of these experiences compared to students at institutions in your selected peer groups.



Note: The institutions in each of your selected peer groups, as well as the criteria used to define these groups is provided in your NSSE 2007 Selected Peer Groups report.

NSSE 2007 Question Comparisons


By examining individual questions, you can better understand what contributes to your institution's overall performance. The following two sections feature the five questions where your first-year and senior students scored the highest and the five questions where they scored the lowest, *in relation to students at your first selected peer/consortium group*.

While these questions were chosen to represent the largest percentage differences (in actual percentage points), they may not be the most important to your institutional mission or current program or policy goals. We encourage you to review your *NSSE 2007 Institutional Report* for additional results of specific interest to your campus.

Highest Performing Areas

Quest.	Bench. ¹	Percent of students who...	Comparison Groups			
			McNeese	Selected Peers	Carnegie Peers	NSSE 2007
First-Year Students						
1r.	LAC	Worked harder than you expected to meet an instructor's expectations ²	57%	54%	53%	52%
3c.	LAC	Wrote at least one paper or report of 20 pages or more	18%	15%	18%	17%
1j.	ACL	Tutored or taught other students (paid or voluntary) ²	20%	16%	14%	15%
1t.	ACL	Discussed ideas from readings or classes with others outside of class ²	57%	53%	53%	54%
7a.	EEE	Did a practicum, internship, field exp., clinical assgmt	8%	7%	7%	7%
Seniors						
1r.	LAC	Worked harder than you expected to meet an instructor's expectations ²	66%	59%	59%	57%
1g.	ACL	Worked with other students on projects during class ²	57%	47%	50%	47%
1k.	ACL	Did a community-based project as part of a regular course ²	24%	17%	18%	17%
1n.	SFI	Discussed grades or assignments with an instructor ²	71%	63%	59%	58%
8c.	SCE	Positively rated their relationships with admin. personnel and offices ³	65%	53%	53%	53%

The adjacent figure based on the table above displays the questions with the greatest positive percent difference between your students and those in your first selected peer group/consortium. These are areas where your students excel relative to your peers.






Lowest Performing Areas

			Comparison Groups			
Quest.	Bench. ¹	Percent of students who...	McNeese	Selected Peers	Carnegie Peers	NSSE 2007
First-Year Students						
2b.	LAC	Said courses emphasized analyzing ideas, experiences, or theories ⁴	64%	76%	76%	77%
3e.	LAC	Wrote more than 10 papers or reports of fewer than 5 pages	14%	26%	30%	31%
1l.	EEE	Used an electronic medium to discuss or complete an assignment ²	42%	54%	51%	52%
1v.	EEE	Had serious conversations w/ students of other relig./politics/values ²	41%	55%	53%	54%
9d.	EEE	Spent more than 5 hours/week participating in co-curricular activities	16%	30%	25%	29%
Seniors						
3c.	LAC	Wrote at least one paper or report of 20 pages or more	36%	46%	50%	50%
3d.	LAC	Wrote more than 4 papers or reports between 5 and 19 pages	29%	41%	46%	46%
1b.	ACL	Made a class presentation ²	48%	57%	64%	59%
7e.	EEE	Completed foreign language coursework	15%	42%	33%	41%
7h.	EEE	Completed a culminating senior experience (capstone, thesis, comp. exam)	19%	29%	30%	32%

The adjacent figure based on the table above displays the questions with the greatest shortfall between your students and those in your first selected peer group or consortium. These are areas where your students trail your peers.



Notes

¹ LAC=Level of Academic Challenge; ACL=Active and Collaborative Learning; SFI=Student Faculty Interaction; EEE=Enriching Educational Experiences;

SCE=Supportive Campus Environment

² Combination of students responding 'very often' or 'often'

³ Rated at least 5 on a 7-point scale

⁴ Combination of students responding 'very much' or 'quite a bit'

Respondent Characteristics

The adjacent table displays your number of respondents, response rates, and sampling errors. Sampling error (ideally below 5%) is an estimate of the margin by which the true percentage of your students on a given item differs from the reported percentage because not all of your students were surveyed.

	N	Resp. Rate	Sampling Error
First-Year Students	384	22%	+/-4.5%
Seniors	379	30%	+/-4.2%

Benchmarks of Effective Educational Practice

To represent the multi-dimensional nature of student engagement, NSSE developed five indicators of effective educational practice. These "benchmarks" are created from clusters of NSSE questions that best represent these practices.

The table below summarizes key benchmark results for your institution and institutions in your selected peer groups. The '+' symbol indicates that your institution's score is statistically higher than the respective comparison group ($p < .05$), the '-' symbol indicates a score statistically lower than the comparison group, and a blank space indicates no significant difference. For detailed results, review your NSSE07 Benchmark Comparisons report.

	Class	McNeese	Comparison Groups		
			Selected Peers	Carnegie Peers	NSSE 2007
Level of Academic Challenge (LAC)					
<i>How challenging is your institution's intellectual and creative work?</i>	First-Year	47	-	-	-
	Senior	55			
Active and Collaborative Learning (ACL)					
<i>Are your students intensely involved in their education?</i>	First-Year	37	-	-	-
	Senior	52	+		+
Student-Faculty Interaction (SFI)					
<i>Do your students work with faculty members inside and outside the classroom?</i>	First-Year	30	-	-	-
	Senior	44		+	+
Enriching Educational Experiences (EEE)					
<i>Do your students take advantage of complementary learning opportunities?</i>	First-Year	22	-	-	-
	Senior	37	-		-
Supportive Campus Environment (SCE)					
<i>Do your students feel the college is committed to their success?</i>	First-Year	56	-	-	-
	Senior	62	+	+	+

IPEDS:159717

For More Information

A comprehensive summary of all of your results is contained in the NSSE 2007 Institutional Report we sent in August to Kay Dougay, Institutional Research. Reports used in this Executive Snapshot: NSSE 2007 Mean Comparisons, Frequency Distributions, Benchmark Comparisons, and Respondent Characteristics.



National Survey of Student Engagement

Center for Postsecondary Research
School of Education
Indiana University Bloomington
1900 East 10th Street
Eigenmann Hall, Suite 419
Bloomington, IN 47406-7512

Phone: 812-856-5824
E-mail: nsse@indiana.edu
Web: www.nsse.iub.edu