

Middle School Education Grades 4-8 [IM**]

Cycles included in this report:

Jun 1, 2017 to May 31, 2018

This PDF document includes any files attached to fields in this report.

To view the attachments you should view this file in Adobe Acrobat XI or higher, or another PDF viewer that supports viewing file attachments.

The default PDF viewer for your device or web browser may not support viewing file attachments embedded in a PDF.

If the attachments are in formats other than PDF you will need any necessary file viewers installed.

Program Name: Middle School Education Grades 4-8 [IM]**

Reporting Cycle: Jun 1, 2017 to May 31, 2018

1 Is this program offered via Distance Learning?

100% Traditional or less than 50% Distance/Traditional

2 Is this program offered at an off-site location?

No

2.1 If yes to previous, provide addresses for each location where 50% or more of program credits may be earned.

3 Example of Program Improvement

2015-2016:

To help strengthen our candidate's lesson planning, data analysis of student achievement, and content knowledge, we have revamped the instructions and rubrics for these assessments including more rigorous expectations within the directions and/or more thorough, clear, and descriptive components with the rubric elements.

2016-2017:

Candidates have continuously scored low on InTASC standard 6/ACEI standard 4 throughout most of the major assessments of the program: FEE, Assessment Plan of the Teacher Candidate Work Sample, Case Study, as well as Lesson Planning (see data charts embedded within student learning outcomes). Because of this the EDUC 351, Problems in Measurement and Evaluation, has been rewritten to include candidate assignment to a P-12 classroom teacher, activities that include alignment of standards to assessments, assignments that incorporate various forms of informal and formal assessments, practice of creating assessments, as well as analysis of P-12 student data.

2017-2018:

In order to increase enrollment in the PBC and practitioner programs, McNeese State University has formed a partnership know as Teach for Calcasieu. There were five people enrolled in these middle school programs last year, but we are anticipating at least a slight increase for the upcoming year because of these efforts and other efforts for recruitment.

4 Program Highlights from the Reporting Year

2015-2016:

One advisor now attends to all PBC Middle School Candidates which allows for knowledge of the program requirements and relationships to be built between the University personnel and the candidate.

2016-2017:

Various technologies have been identified and implemented with the scope and sequence of the program. Six of the eight courses now have embedded technology use by candidates.

2017-2018:

The Middle School Math program is going through the redesign process to include the yearlong residency. Program coursework is being evaluated and revamped to make improvements.

5 Program Mission

The post-baccalaureate certificate in Middle School Math and Science is designed to prepare teacher education candidates for entry into teaching as a Middle School Science or Math teachers in graded 4-8. Additionally, the purpose is to prepare professional educators and life-long learners who will contribute to the cultural and intellectual advancement of the citizens of Louisiana and other areas and instill professionalism, collaboration, reflection, and a respect for diversity.

6 Institutional Mission Reference

Plan Links

Core Values

Academic Excellence 1

Academic Excellence 1

Academic Excellence 2

Academic Excellence 2

Academic Excellence 2

Academic Excellence 2

Academic Excellence 3

Academic Excellence 3

Academic Excellence 3

Academic Excellence 3

University-Community 2

University-Community 2

University-Community 2

University-Community 2

7 Assessment and Benchmark Enrollment, Completion, Retention, and Recruitment

Assessment: Enrollment, Completion, Retention, and Recruitment.

CAEP Standard 3

7.1 Benchmark: MSUs strategic plans for enrollment/recruitment goal is to increase enrollment by 7% each year from fall 2017 to fall 2021, the EPP has likewise set a 7% goal for overall enrollment increase across programs

7.2 Benchmark: Create and monitor candidate progress throughout the program. A minimum of 90% of candidates should complete the PBC program in Middle School Math or Science Education within two years of being accepted into the program (499 packet).

Outcome Links

2013 CAEP Standards [External]

3. Quality, Recruitment, and Selectivity

The provider demonstrates that the quality of candidates is a continuing and purposeful part of its responsibility from recruitment, at admission, through the progression of courses and clinical experiences, and to decisions that completers are prepared to teach effectively and are recommended for certification.

The provider demonstrates that development of candidate quality is the goal of educator preparation in all phases of the program. This process is ultimately determined by a program's meeting of Standard 4.

7.1 Data Enrollment and Completers

Enrollment and Completer Data:

All PBC/Practitioner Middle School Math/Science Programs:

Academic Year	Program	# of students officially enrolled in program with an EDUC 499 packet	# of completers fall semester	# of completers spring semester	Total # of completers
2015-2016		2	0	0	0
2016-2017		6	1	1	2
2017-2018	PBC	3	0	1	1
	Practitioner	2	0	1	1

Middle School Math Education, Grades 4-8, PBC/Practitioner:

		# of students officially			

2011	16		N=5 32%	N=2 12%	N=1 6%		N=8 50%
2012	20	N=10 50%	N=2 10%	N=1 5%		N=4 20%	N=3 15%
2013	24	N=4 16%	N=5 21%	N=1 5%		N=7 29%	N=7 29%

Completer Matriculation Rates:

Program Type	Cohort Academic Year	Accepted into program with 499 Packet	1-2 Years to Grad	3 Years to Grad	4 Years to Grad	5 Years to Grad	Dropped from university	State Completer	Earned Different Degree	Still Enrolled
ALL PBC MMA/MSC	2013-2014	2	N=1 50%							N=1 50%
Middle School Math	PBC	2								
	Practitioner	0								
Middle School Science	PBC	0								
	Practitioner	0								

7.2.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2017-2018:

Analysis of Data: The benchmark was not met. Of the two candidates admitted into the Middle School Math program, one has completed the program within two years. The other candidate is currently enrolled in the program after sitting out for a few semesters. Currently, there is a 50% rate for completing the program within 1-2 years.

Plan for Continuous Improvement: The goal for 2017-2018 will be to ensure that all candidates are aware of the course sequence and Praxis milestones for the program.

Recommendations to Successful Implementation of Plan for Improvement: Degree Works will have the course sequence for the program. The Department of Education Professions/GEP web page will have current and correct information posted. Emails sent to candidates documenting advising meetings and testing requirements will be sent out upon acceptance to begin taking courses. Advisors will contact each candidate to ensure that all requirements are being met.

8 Assessment and Benchmark Curriculum Development

Assessment: Curriculum Development.

Provide a comprehensive curriculum that reflects disciplinary foundations and remains responsive to contemporary developments, student and workforce demand, and university needs and aspirations.

Curriculum alignment includes:

- InTASC standards
- Program standards
- Year-long residency
- Louisiana Components of Effective Teaching
- Louisiana Teacher Preparation Competencies
- Louisiana Student Standards

CAEP Standard 2

Benchmark: All program faculty will meet at least twice an academic year to discuss curriculum changes/implementations, assessment data, and progress monitoring of action plans.

[Outcome Links](#)

2013 CAEP Standards [External]

2. Clinical Partnerships and Practice

The provider ensures that effective partnerships and high-quality clinical practice are central to preparation so that candidates develop the knowledge, skills, and professional dispositions necessary to demonstrate positive impact on all P-12 students'™ learning and development.

8.1 Data

2013-2014:

December 16, 2013

January 14, 2014

May 16, 2014

2014-2015:

August 20-26

December 11

May 11-15

2016-2017:

Meeting #1: December 7, 2016

Topic: Alignment of course major assessments across program

Instructors present: Duhon, Garner, Williams

Discussion: creation of scope and sequence of major assessments including but not limited to FEE, Lesson planning, TCWS, Case Study, and Praxis data.

Meeting #2: May 16, 2017

Topic: Alignment of Louisiana Teacher Preparation Competencies across program

Instructors present: Duhon, Garner, Williams

Discussion: discussion of Louisiana Teacher Preparation Competencies across program within each course

2017-2018:

Data table is attached.

Files: See list of attachments to view. (Requires Adobe Reader or compatible viewer).

PBC_MMS_Curriculum Development_17-18

8.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2015-2016:

Data not available for 2015-2016. Will continue to hold three program meetings per year to ensure the quality of the program.

2016-2017:

Action/Outcome of meeting #1:

Scope and Sequence was created for PBC middle school program that aligned all major assessments throughout program for implementation, collection, and data analysis.

Action/Outcome of meeting #2:

Working draft of Louisiana Competencies implementation throughout program coursework.

2017-2018:

Analysis of Data: The benchmark was met. All program faculty met a minimum of two times during the academic year to discuss curriculum changes/implementations, assessment, data, and progress monitoring of action plans. These meetings occurred on January 8, 2018, January 9, 2018, February 28, 2018, March 21, 2018, April 18, 2018, and May 2, 2018. These meeting included the topics of assessment data, advising, curriculum redesign, course alignment, and cultural diversity.

Plan for Continuous Improvement: The goal for 2018-2019 will be for all program faculty will meet at four times an academic year to discuss curriculum changes/implementations, assessment data, and progress monitoring of action plans.

Recommendations to Successful Implementation of Plan for Improvement: Agendas, sign-ins, and meeting notes will be kept and turned into the assessment office for documentation. Document any changes made to programs as a result of the meetings.

9 Assessment and Benchmark Praxis Content Exam

Assessment: Praxis Content Exam.

Louisiana Teacher General Competency B: The teacher candidate demonstrates mastery of the content knowledge and skills and content pedagogy needed to teach the current academic standards as defined in BESE policy.

InTASC standards included: 4

Knowledge:

Content Knowledge: InTASC Standard 4 - The candidate applies the central concepts, tools of inquiry, and structures of the discipline(s) he or she teaches.

Candidate will pass their Praxis content area exam before entering their student teaching/intern semester.

CAEP Standard 1

9.1 Benchmark: A minimum of 80% of graduates will pass the Praxis content exam on the first attempt.

9.2 Benchmark: A mean score of 70% for percentage of questions answered correctly in each sub-category will be achieved on the Praxis Content Exam.

Outcome Links

LTGC B [Program]

The teacher candidate demonstrates mastery of the content knowledge and skills and content pedagogy needed to teach the current academic standards as defined in BESE policy.

LTGC B [Program]

The teacher candidate demonstrates mastery of the content knowledge and skills and content pedagogy needed to teach the current academic standards as defined in BESE policy.

2013 CAEP Standards [External]

1. Content and Pedagogical Knowledge

The provider ensures that candidates develop a deep understanding of the critical concepts and principles of their discipline and, by completion, are able to use discipline-specific practices flexibly to advance the learning of all students toward attainment of college- and career-readiness standards.

1. Content and Pedagogical Knowledge

The provider ensures that candidates develop a deep understanding of the critical concepts and principles of their discipline and, by completion, are able to use discipline-specific practices flexibly to advance the learning of all students toward attainment of college- and career-readiness standards.

2013 InTASC Standards [External]

4. Content Knowledge

The teacher understands the central concepts, tools of inquiry, and structures of the discipline(s) he or she teaches and creates learning experiences that make the discipline accessible and meaningful for learners to assure mastery of the content.

4. Content Knowledge

The teacher understands the central concepts, tools of inquiry, and structures of the discipline(s) he or she teaches and creates learning experiences that make the discipline accessible and meaningful for learners to assure mastery of the content.

9.1 Data

PBC MMS - Praxis Content Exam:

All Middle School Math/Science Content		Spring 2014	Spring 2015	Fall 2015	Spring 2016	Fall 2016	Spring 2017	Fall 2017	Spring 2018
	Number	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0

Combined	Mean	148	156				175		
	Range	148	148-164				175		
	% Pass 1st attempt	100%	50%				100%		
	% Pass prior to ST/Intern	100%	100%						
Middle School Mathematics #5169	Number	1	2			1	1	0	2
	Mean	160	162						
	Range	160	158-164						
	% Pass 1st attempt	100%	100%			0%	100%		100%
Middle School Science	Number	1	1						
	Mean		153						
	Range		153						
	% Pass 1st attempt	0%	100%						

Math		Fall 2015	Spring 2016	Fall 2016	Spring 2017	Fall 2017	Spring 2018	
							PBC	Practitioner
#5169 overall	Number			1	1		1	1
	Mean			158	175		182	176
	Range			158	175		182	176
	% correct							76%
	% Pass 1st attempt			0%	100%		100%	100%
#5169 breakdown:	Number							1
Arithmetic and Algebra	Mean							21
	Range							21
	% correct (28)							75%
Geometry and Data	Mean							13
	Range							13
	% correct (17)							76%

9.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2015-2016:

As stated in the first column all candidates must pass the content exam in order to be accepted into the PBC Middle School Program.

The data table shows that all three candidates did pass the exam and 67% passed on the first attempt.

Subscores were not available for these candidates as they are only accessible for two years prior to the candidate taking the exam.

2016-2017:

The fall 2016 completer did not pass the Middle School Math Praxis content exam on the first attempt but did pass the exam before enrolling in the student teaching/interning semester.

The spring 2017 completer did pass the Middle School Math Praxis content exam on the first attempt with a mean score of 175.

After passing the exam, the spring 2017 completer scored 17 points higher than the fall 2016 completer.

2017-2018:

Analysis of Data: The benchmark was met. The one PBC graduate and the one PBC practitioner took the Praxis Content exam. Each passed the exam on their first attempt.

Plan for Continuous Improvement: A minimum of 85% of graduates will pass the Praxis content exam on the first attempt.

Recommendations to Successful Implementation of Plan for Improvement: Praxis content exam scores will be turned into the assessment office. The data will be disaggregated and charted to determine the pass rate on the first attempt. Data analysis will be used to make course content, course sequence, etc. changes for improvement.

9.2 Data

PBC MMS - Praxis Content Exam:

All Middle School Math/Science Content		Spring 2014	Spring 2015	Fall 2015	Spring 2016	Fall 2016	Spring 2017	Fall 2017	Spring 2018
Combined	Number	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Mean	148	156				175		
	Range	148	148-164				175		
	% Pass 1st attempt	100%	50%				100%		
	% Pass prior to ST/Intern	100%	100%						
Middle School Mathematics #5169	Number	1	2			1	1	0	2
	Mean	160	162						
	Range	160	158-164						
	% Pass 1st attempt	100%	100%			0%	100%		100%
Middle School Science	Number	1	1						
	Mean		153						
	Range		153						
	% Pass 1st attempt	0%	100%						

Math		Fall 2015	Spring 2016	Fall 2016	Spring 2017	Fall 2017	Spring 2018	
							PBC	Practitioner
#5169 overall	Number			1	1		1	1
	Mean			158	175		182	176
	Range			158	175		182	176
	% correct							76%
	% Pass 1st attempt			0%	100%		100%	100%

#5169 breakdown:	Number							1
Arithmetic and Algebra	Mean							21
	Range							21
	% correct (28)							75%
Geometry and Data	Mean							13
	Range							13
	% correct (17)							76%

Files: See list of attachments to view. (Requires Adobe Reader or compatible viewer).

PBC_MMS_Praxis Content_17-18

9.2.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2017-2018:

Analysis of Data: The benchmark was met. The graduate received a mean score of 75% for percentage of questions answered correctly in the sub-category of Arithmetic and Algebra and a mean score of 76% for percentage of questions answered correctly in the sub-category of Geometry and Data.

Plan for Continuous Improvement: A mean score of 75% for percentage of questions answered correctly in each sub-category will be achieved on the Praxis Content Exam

Recommendations to Successful Implementation of Plan for Improvement: Praxis content exam scores will be turned into the assessment office. The data will be disaggregated and charted to determine the mean score for percentage of questions answered correctly in each sub-category will be achieved on the Praxis Content Exam. Data analysis will be used to make course content, course sequence, etc. changes for improvement.

10 Assessment and Benchmark Lesson Planning

Assessment: Lesson Plan.

Louisiana Teacher General Competency F: The teacher candidate differentiates instruction, behavior management techniques, and the learning environment in response to individual student differences in cognitive, socio-emotional, language, and physical development.

Louisiana Teacher General Competency G: The teacher candidate develops and applies instructional supports and plans for an Individual Education Plan (IEP) or Individualized Accommodation Plan (IAP) to allow a student with exceptionalities developmentally appropriate access to age- or grade-level instruction, individually and in collaboration with colleagues.

InTASC standards included: 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8.

Knowledge:

Learner Development: InTASC Standard 1 - The candidate determines how learners grow and develop, recognizing that patterns of learning and development vary individually within and across the cognitive, linguistic, social, emotional, and physical areas.

Learning Differences: InTASC Standard 2 - The candidate identifies individual differences and diverse cultures and communities to ensure inclusive learning environments that enable each learner to meet high standards.

Content Knowledge: InTASC Standard 4 - The candidate applies the central concepts, tools of inquiry, and structures of the discipline(s) he or she teaches.

Application of Content: InTASC Standard - The candidate decides how to connect concepts and use differing perspectives to engage learners in critical thinking, creativity, and collaborative problem solving related to authentic local and global issues

Planning for Instruction: InTASC Standard 7 - The candidate draws upon knowledge of content areas, curriculum, cross-disciplinary skills, and pedagogy, as well as knowledge of learners and the community context to plan instruction that supports every student in meeting rigorous learning goals.

Skills:

Instructional Strategies: InTASC Standard 8 - The candidate implements a variety of instructional

strategies to encourage learners to develop deep understanding of content areas and their connections, and to build skills to apply knowledge in meaningful ways.

CAEP Standard 1

Benchmark: A minimum of 80% of the candidates will score at the Proficiency level (3.00) or higher in each category assessed on the lesson plan.

Outcome Links

LTGC F [Program]

The teacher candidate differentiates instruction, behavior management techniques, and the learning environment in response to individual student differences in cognitive, socio-emotional, language, and physical development.

LTGC G [Program]

The teacher candidate develops and applies instructional supports and plans for an Individualized Education Plan (IEP) or Individualized Accommodation Plan (IAP) to allow a student with exceptionalities developmentally appropriate access to age- or grade-level instruction, individually and in collaboration with colleagues.

2013 CAEP Standards [External]

1. Content and Pedagogical Knowledge

The provider ensures that candidates develop a deep understanding of the critical concepts and principles of their discipline and, by completion, are able to use discipline-specific practices flexibly to advance the learning of all students toward attainment of college- and career-readiness standards.

1. Content and Pedagogical Knowledge

The provider ensures that candidates develop a deep understanding of the critical concepts and principles of their discipline and, by completion, are able to use discipline-specific practices flexibly to advance the learning of all students toward attainment of college- and career-readiness standards.

2013 InTASC Standards [External]

1. Learner Development

The teacher understands how learners grow and develop, recognizing that patterns of learning and development vary individually within and across the cognitive, linguistic, social, emotional, and physical areas, and designs and implements developmentally appropriate and challenging learning experiences.

2. Learning Differences

The teacher uses understanding of individual differences and diverse cultures and communities to ensure inclusive learning environments that enable each learner to meet high standards.

2. Learning Differences

The teacher uses understanding of individual differences and diverse cultures and communities to ensure inclusive learning environments that enable each learner to meet high standards.

4. Content Knowledge

The teacher understands the central concepts, tools of inquiry, and structures of the discipline(s) he or she teaches and creates learning experiences that make the discipline accessible and meaningful for learners to assure mastery of the content.

4. Content Knowledge

The teacher understands the central concepts, tools of inquiry, and structures of the discipline(s) he or she teaches and creates learning experiences that make the discipline accessible and meaningful for learners to assure mastery of the content.

5. Application of Content

The teacher understands how to connect concepts and use differing perspectives to engage learners in critical thinking, creativity, and collaborative problem solving related to authentic local and global issues.

5. Application of Content

The teacher understands how to connect concepts and use differing perspectives to engage learners in critical thinking, creativity, and collaborative problem solving related to authentic local and global issues.

7. Planning for Instruction

The teacher plans instruction that supports every student in meeting rigorous learning goals by drawing upon knowledge of content areas, curriculum, cross-disciplinary skills, and pedagogy, as well as knowledge of learners and the community context.

7. Planning for Instruction

The teacher plans instruction that supports every student in meeting rigorous learning goals by drawing upon knowledge of content areas, curriculum, cross-disciplinary skills, and pedagogy, as well as knowledge

of learners and the community context.

8. Instructional Strategies

The teacher understands and uses a variety of instructional strategies to encourage learners to develop deep understanding of content areas and their connections, and to build skills to apply knowledge in meaningful ways.

8. Instructional Strategies

The teacher understands and uses a variety of instructional strategies to encourage learners to develop deep understanding of content areas and their connections, and to build skills to apply knowledge in meaningful ways.

10.1 Data

Previous Data:

Element		Spring 2014	Spring 2015
3.1.1 Quality of questions	Number	1	2
	Mean	2.75	2.69
	Range		2.4-3.00
3.1.2 Discussion techniques	Mean	3.00	2.50
	Range		2.00-3.00
3.1.3 Student participation	Mean	2.75	3.00
	Range		2.40-3.60
3.2.1 Activities and Assignments	Mean	3.25	3.31
	Range		3.13-3.50
3.2.2 Grouping of students	Mean	3.25	3.31
	Range		3.25-3.38
3.2.3 Instructional materials and resources	Mean	3.00	3.25
	Range		3.25
3.2.4 Structure and pacing	Mean	3.50	3.44
	Range		3.25-3.63
3.3.1 Assessment criteria	Mean	3.25	3.13
	Range		2.75-3.50
3.3.2 Monitoring of student learning	Mean	3.50	3.56
	Range		3.25-3.88
3.3.3 Feedback to students	Mean	3.50	3.63
	Range		3.25-4.00
3.3.4 Student self-assessment and monitoring of progress	Mean	3.25	3.19
	Range		2.75-3.63

MS Math			Fall 2016	Spring 2017	Spring 2018	
Rubric Element	InTASC Standard				PBC	Practitioner
		Number			1	
		Mean			4.00	
		Range			4.00	

Content Standards		% Proficient or Higher			100%	
Student Outcomes	4n	Number	1	1	1	1
		Mean	3.00	2.00	4.00	4.00
		Range	3.00	2.00	4.00	4.00
		% Proficient or Higher	100%	0%	100%	100%
Technology	5l	Number	1	1	1	1
		Mean	3.00	2.00	4.00	4.00
		Range	3.00	2.00	4.00	4.00
		% Proficient or Higher	100%	0%	100%	100%
Education Materials		Number			1	
		Mean			4.00	
		Range			4.00	
		% Proficient or Higher			100%	
Procedures	3k	Number	1	1	1	1
		Mean	2.00	2.00	4.00	4.00
		Range	2.00	2.00	4.00	4.00
		% Proficient or Higher	0%	0%	100%	100%
Lesson "Hook"	8j	Number	1	1	1	1
		Mean	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00
		Range	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00
		% Proficient or Higher	0%	0%	100%	100%
Pre-Planned (Seed) Questions	8i	Number	1	1	1	1
		Mean	2.00	1.00	2.00	4.00
		Range	2.00	1.00	2.00	4.00
		% Proficient or Higher	0%	0%	0%	100%
Modeled, Guided, Collab. & Ind. Practice	7k	Number	1	1	1	1
		Mean	3.00	2.00	4.00	4.00
		Range	3.00	2.00	4.00	4.00
		% Proficient or Higher	100%	0%	100%	100%
		Number			1	
		Mean			4.00	
		Range			4.00	

Closure		% Proficient or Higher			100%	
Formative/Summative Assessment	6j	Number	1	1	1	1
		Mean	2.00	1.00	4.00	4.00
		Range	2.00	1.00	4.00	4.00
		% Proficient or Higher	0%	0%	100%	100%
Relevance & Rationale	2j	Number	1	1	1	1
		Mean	3.00	1.00	4.00	4.00
		Range	3.00	1.00	4.00	4.00
		% Proficient or Higher	100%	0%	100%	100%
Exploration, Extension, Supplemental	1e	Number	1	1	1	1
		Mean	3.00	1.00	3.00	2.00
		Range	3.00	1.00	3.00	2.00
		% Proficient or Higher	100%	0%	100%	0%
Differentiation	7j	Number	1	1	1	1
		Mean	3.00	1.00	4.00	2.00
		Range	3.00	1.00	4.00	2.00
		% Proficient or Higher	100%	0%	100%	0%

Combined PBC/Practitioner Middle School Math/Science	InTASC Standard		Spring 2018
Content Standards		Number	1
		Mean	4.00
		Range	4.00
		% Proficient or Higher	100%
Student Outcomes	4	Number	2
		Mean	4.00
		Range	2.00
		% Proficient or Higher	100%
Technology	5	Number	2
		Mean	4.00
		Range	4.00
		% Proficient or Higher	100%
		Number	1
		Mean	4.00

Education Materials		Range	4.00
		% Proficient or Higher	100%
Procedures	3	Number	2
		Mean	4.00
		Range	4.00
		% Proficient or Higher	100%
Lesson "Hook"	8	Number	2
		Mean	3.50
		Range	3.00-4.00
		% Proficient or Higher	100%
Pre-Planned (Seed) Questions	8	Number	2
		Mean	3.00
		Range	2.00-4.00
		% Proficient or Higher	50%
Modeled, Guided, Collab. & Ind. Practice	7	Number	2
		Mean	4.00
		Range	4.00
		% Proficient or Higher	100%
Closure		Number	1
		Mean	4.00
		Range	4.00
		% Proficient or Higher	100%
Formative/Summative Assessment	6	Number	2
		Mean	4.00
		Range	4.00
		% Proficient or Higher	100%
Relevance & Rationale	2	Number	2
		Mean	4.00
		Range	4.00
		% Proficient or Higher	100%
Exploration, Extension, Supplemental	1	Number	2
		Mean	2.50
		Range	2.00-3.00
		% Proficient or Higher	50%
Differentiation	7	Number	2
		Mean	3.00
		Range	2.00-4.00

		% Proficient or Higher	50%
--	--	------------------------	-----

10.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2015-2016:

Spring of 2014 had only one candidate who scored below proficient on elements 3.1.1. (Quality of Questions) and 3.1.3 (Student Participation).

Spring of 2015 had two candidates whose average score was below proficient on elements 3.1.1. (Quality of Questions) and 3.1.2 (Discussion techniques)

To strengthen our program we have now included cooperating grouping strategies within EDUC 333 as well as the Fundamental 5 book within course EDUC 412.

No new data has been collected since spring 2015 since no more candidates have completed the program since then.

2016-2017:

When examining data across two semesters of completers with one completer per semester, the following was determined:

No lesson planning element had a score at benchmark, score 3.00, for both fall 2016 and spring 2017 completers.

The following lesson planning elements had a score at benchmark, score of 3.00, by the fall 2016 completer: student outcomes, technology, modeled, guided, collaborative, and individual practice, relevance and rationale, exploration, extension, supplemental, differentiation.

The spring 2017 candidate did not score benchmark on any element of the lesson planning rubric.

Program decisions: All candidates during their student teaching/internship must follow the newest DEP lesson planning template. The spring 2017 completer had a low score not due to lack of knowledge but for not completing the correct lesson planning format in which the rubric is based upon.

2017-2018:

Analysis of Data: The benchmark was not met. Only 50% (1/2) candidates scored above the benchmark of 3.00 in the areas of pre-planned seed questions, exploration, extension, supplemental, and accommodations/differentiation.

Plan for Continuous Improvement: The goal for the 2018-2019 academic year will be for a minimum of 85% of the candidates will score at the Proficiency level (3.00) or higher in each category assessed on the lesson plan.

Recommendations to Successful Implementation of Plan for Improvement: Completer data on lesson planning will be turned into the assessment office. The data will be disaggregated and charted to determine areas of strength and weakness in lesson planning with the coursework of the PBC Middle School program. We will develop and implement changes to course content, curriculum, and sequence as needed based on data analysis.

11 Assessment and Benchmark Field Experience Evaluation

Assessment: Field Experience Evaluation Domains 1-4 and Domain 5.

Louisiana Teacher General Competency A: The teacher candidate demonstrates, at an effective level, the Louisiana Components of Effective Teaching as defined in Bulletin 130 and the Compass Teacher Rubric.

Louisiana Teacher General Competency B: The teacher candidate demonstrates mastery of the content knowledge and skills and content pedagogy needed to teach the current academic standards as defined in BESE policy.

Louisiana Teacher General Competency C2: The teacher candidate gathers, synthesizes, and analyzes a variety of data from a variety of sources to adapt instructional practices and other professional behaviors to better meet students' needs.

InTASC standards included: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9.

Knowledge:

Learning Differences: InTASC Standard 2 - The candidate identifies individual differences and diverse cultures and communities to ensure inclusive learning environments that enable each learner to meet high standards.

Content Knowledge: InTASC Standard 4 - The candidate applies the central concepts, tools of inquiry, and structures of the discipline(s) he or she teaches.

Skills:

Learner Development: InTASC Standard 1 - The candidate designs and implements developmentally appropriate and challenging learning experiences.

Learning Environments: InTASC Standard 3 - The candidate works with others to create environments that support individual and collaborative learning, and that encourage positive social interaction, active engagement in learning, and that encourage positive social interaction, active engagement in learning, and self-motivation.

Content Knowledge: InTASC Standard 4 - The candidate creates learning experiences that make aspects of the discipline accessible and meaningful for learners to assure mastery of the content.

Application of Content: InTASC Standard 5 - The candidate engages learners in critical thinking, creativity, and collaborative problem solving related to authentic local and global issues by connecting concepts and using differing perspectives.

Assessment: InTASC Standard 6 - The candidate uses multiple methods of assessment to engage learners in their own growth, to monitor learner progress, and to guide the teacher's and learners' decision making.

Planning for Instruction: InTASC Standard 7 - The candidate plans instruction that supports every student in meeting rigorous learning goals by drawing upon knowledge of content areas, curriculum, cross-disciplinary skills, and pedagogy, as well as knowledge of learners and the community context.

Instructional Strategies: InTASC 8 - The candidate implements a variety of instructional strategies to encourage learners to develop deep understanding of content areas and their connections, and to build skills to apply knowledge in meaningful ways.

Dispositions:

Professional Learning and Ethical Practice: InTASC 9 - The candidate engages in ongoing professional learning and uses evidence to continually evaluate his/her practice, particularly the effects of his/her choices and actions on others (learners, families, other professionals, and the community), and adapts practice to meet the needs of each learner

CAEP Standard 1

Candidates will score at benchmark (score of 2) or higher on their FEE III evaluation at the end of their internship or student teaching semester.

11.1 Benchmark: Candidates will score a 3.00 or higher on each component in the FEE rubric for Domains 1-4 of the FEE rubric.

11.2 Benchmark: Candidates will score 3.00 or higher on each InTASC standard assessed in the FEE rubric.

11.3 Benchmark: Candidates will score a 3.00 or higher on each InTASC standard assessed in the FEE rubric for each content area.

11.4 Benchmark: Candidates will score a 3.00 or higher on each element assessed in Domain 5 of the FEE rubric for each content area.

Outcome Links

LTGC A [Program]

The teacher candidate demonstrates, at an effective level, the Louisiana Components of Effective Teaching as defined in Bulletin 130 and the Compass Teacher Rubric.

LTGC C2 [Program]

The teacher candidate gathers, synthesizes, and analyzes a variety of data from a variety of sources to adapt instructional practices and other professional behaviors to better meet students'™ needs.

2013 CAEP Standards [External]

1. Content and Pedagogical Knowledge

The provider ensures that candidates develop a deep understanding of the critical concepts and principles of their discipline and, by completion, are able to use discipline-specific practices flexibly to advance the

learning of all students toward attainment of college- and career-readiness standards.

2013 InTASC Standards [External]

1. Learner Development

The teacher understands how learners grow and develop, recognizing that patterns of learning and development vary individually within and across the cognitive, linguistic, social, emotional, and physical areas, and designs and implements developmentally appropriate and challenging learning experiences.

2. Learning Differences

The teacher uses understanding of individual differences and diverse cultures and communities to ensure inclusive learning environments that enable each learner to meet high standards.

3. Learning Environments

The teacher works with others to create environments that support individual and collaborative learning, and that encourage positive social interaction, active engagement in learning, and self motivation.

4. Content Knowledge

The teacher understands the central concepts, tools of inquiry, and structures of the discipline(s) he or she teaches and creates learning experiences that make the discipline accessible and meaningful for learners to assure mastery of the content.

5. Application of Content

The teacher understands how to connect concepts and use differing perspectives to engage learners in critical thinking, creativity, and collaborative problem solving related to authentic local and global issues.

6. Assessment

The teacher understands and uses multiple methods of assessment to engage learners in their own growth, to monitor learner progress, and to guide the teacher's and learner's decision making.

7. Planning for Instruction

The teacher plans instruction that supports every student in meeting rigorous learning goals by drawing upon knowledge of content areas, curriculum, cross-disciplinary skills, and pedagogy, as well as knowledge of learners and the community context.

8. Instructional Strategies

The teacher understands and uses a variety of instructional strategies to encourage learners to develop deep understanding of content areas and their connections, and to build skills to apply knowledge in meaningful ways.

9. Professional Lrng & Ethical Practice

The teacher engages in ongoing professional learning and uses evidence to continually evaluate his/her practice, particularly the effects of his/her choices and actions on others (learners, families, other professionals, and the community), and adapts practice to meet the needs of each learner.

11.1 Data

2017-2018:

Data table is attached.

Files: See list of attachments to view. (Requires Adobe Reader or compatible viewer).

PBC_MMS_FEE Domains 1-4_17-18

11.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2015-2016:

All three candidates scored above the 3.00 benchmark on all Domain 1: Planning and Preparation elements. The lowest score came from one candidate in spring 2014. Because data is only from one candidate and the data improved during the spring 2015 semester, no changes within the program were made as that could constitute an outlier.

No new data has been collected since spring 2015 since no more candidates have completed the program since then.

2016-2017:

Analysis of Data: Examining FEE data across two semesters, all elements of the rubric scored above the benchmark of 2.00. Upon further examination of data only two elements had a mean score below the score of 3.00, Effective Proficient, which was for the spring 2017 completer for the elements of: 3.1.1 Quality of questions and 3.1.2 Discussion techniques

Program decisions: During fall 2016 the PBC Middle School Math and Science program was realigned. The elements of 3.1.1 and 3.1.2 will now be explicitly taught with EDUC 216 whereas when these two candidates completed the course, the elements were not.

2017-2018:

Analysis of Data: The benchmark was met. 100% (2/2) of the completers scored a 3.00 or higher on each component in the FEE rubric for Domains 1-4 of the FEE rubric.

Plan for Continuous Improvement: Candidates will score a 3.00 or higher on each component in the FEE rubric for Domains 1-4 of the FEE rubric.

Recommendation for Successful Implementation of Plan for Improvement: Completer data from the FEE rubric will be turned into the assessment office. The data will be disaggregated and charted to determine areas of strength and weakness in teaching. This data will be used to make adjustments within the coursework of the PBC Middle School program.

11.2 Data

Element	InTASC	Spring 2014		Spring 2015		Fall 2016			Spring 2017			Fall 2017				Spring 2018		
		#	Mean	#	Mean	#	Mean	Range	#	Mean	Range	#	Mean	Range	% Prof.	#	Mean	Range
5.1	9	1	3.50	2	3.88	1	4.00	4.00	1	3.75	3.75	0				2	3.94	3.88-4.0
5.2	1	1	3.25	2	3.88	1	4.00	4.00	1	3.50	3.50					2	3.88	3.75-4.0
5.3	4	1	3.50	2	3.88	1	3.88	3.88	1	3.75	3.75					2	3.88	3.75-4.0
5.4	4	1	3.50	2	3.81	1	3.75	3.75	1	3.50	3.50					2	3.82	3.63-4.0
5.5	4	1	3.50	2	3.75	1	3.88	3.88	1	3.75	3.75					2	3.88	3.75-4.0
5.6	4	1	2.00	2	3.63	1	3.63	3.63	1	3.50	3.50					2	3.88	3.88
5.7	4	1	2.00	2	3.50	1	3.00	3.00	1	2.75	2.75					2	3.88	3.88
5.8	4	1	3.25	2	3.75	1	3.63	3.63	1	3.25	3.25					1	3.50	3.50
5.9	5	1	2.00	2	3.50	1	4.00	4.00	1	3.25	3.25					2	3.61	3.46-3.7
5.10	2	1	4.00	2	3.55	1	4.00	4.00	1	3.75	3.75					2	3.82	3.75-3.8
5.11	8			2	4.00	1	4.00	4.00	1	3.75	3.75					2	3.88	3.88
5.12	3			2	4.00	1	4.00	4.00	1	3.25	3.25					1	3.50	3.50
5.13	3			2	4.00	1	4.00	4.00	1	3.00	3.00					2	3.88	3.75-4.0
5.14	6			2	4.00	1	4.00	4.00	1	3.00	3.00					2	3.82	3.63-4.0
5.15	9			2	4.00	1	4.00	4.00										
5.16	9			2	4.00	1	4.00	4.00										

11.2.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2015-2016:

Spring 2014 had only one candidate who scored below proficient on elements 5.6 (Participates in professional mathematics organizations and uses their print and on-line resources), 5.7 (Demonstrates knowledge of research results in the teaching and learning of mathematics), and 5.9 (Demonstrates knowledge of the historical development of mathematics including contributions from diverse cultures).

Spring 2015 had two candidates whose did not score below proficient on any content elements.

Because the data collected during the spring 2014 semester did show some weakness, no changes were made within the program because it could not be determined if this was a program error or simply an outlier.

No new data has been collected since spring 2015 since no more candidates have completed the program since then.

2016-2017:

Examining content standard FEE data across two semesters, all elements of the rubric scored above the benchmark of 2.00. Upon further examination of data only one element had a mean score below the score of 3.00, Effective Proficient, which was for the spring 2017 completer for the element 5.7 Candidate selects, uses, and determines suitability of the wide variety of available mathematics curricula and teaching materials for all students including those with special needs such as the gifted, challenged and speakers of other languages. (Standard 8.1).

Program decisions: Prior to 2016-2017, the FEE content standard for math was changed from a Likert Scale to a rubric with descriptors of candidate observable behaviors. At this time all candidates are well above benchmark so no further changes to the program need to be implemented.

2017-2018:

Analysis of Data: The benchmark was met. 100% (2/2) of the completers scored a 3.00 or higher on each InTASC standard assessed in the FEE rubric.

Plan for Continuous Improvement: Candidates will score 3.00 or higher on each InTASC standard assessed in the FEE rubric.

Recommendations to Successful Implementation of Plan for Improvement: Completer data from the FEE rubric will be turned into the assessment office. The data will be disaggregated and charted to determine areas of strength and weakness in the InTASC standards. This data will be used to make adjustments within the coursework of the PBC Middle School program.

11.3 Data

2017-2018:

Data table is attached.

Files: See list of attachments to view. (Requires Adobe Reader or compatible viewer).

PBC_MMS_FEE by Content Area_17-18

11.3.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2017-2018:

Analysis of Data: The benchmark was met. 100% (2/2) of the completers scored a 3.00 or higher on each InTASC standard assessed in Domains 1-4 of the FEE rubric.

Plan for Continuous Improvement: Candidates will score a 3.00 or higher on each component in the FEE rubric (aligned to the InTASC standards) for Domains 1-4 of the FEE rubric.

Recommendation for Successful Implementation of Plan for Improvement: Completer data from the FEE rubric will be turned into the assessment office. The data will be disaggregated and charted to determine areas of strength and weakness in the InTASC standards. This data will be used to make adjustments within the coursework of the PBC Middle School program.

11.4 Data

2017-2018:

Data table is attached.

Files: See list of attachments to view. (Requires Adobe Reader or compatible viewer).

PBC_MMS_FEE by Content Area_17-18

11.4.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2017-2018:

Analysis of Data: The benchmark was met. 100% (2/2) of the completers scored a 3.00 or higher on each element assessed in Domain 5 of the FEE rubric.

Plan for Continuous Improvement: Candidates will score 3.00 or higher on each element assessed in Domain 5 of the FEE rubric.

Pre-assessment	Number	1	2	1	1		
	Mean	2.00	2.50	4.00	2.00		
	Range	2.00	2.00-3.00	4.00	2.00		
	% Proficient or Higher			100%	0%		
Post-assessment	Number	1	2	1	1		
	Mean	2.00	2.50	4.00	1.00		
	Range	2.00	2.00-3.00	4.00	1.00		
	% Proficient or Higher			100%	0%		
Alignment of Lesson Evidence	Number	1	2	1	1		
	Mean	3.00	3.00	4.00	1.00		
	Range	3.00	3.00	4.00	1.00		
	% Proficient or Higher			100%	0%		
Student Level of Mastery & Evaluation of Factors	Number	1	2	1	1		
	Mean	3.00	3.00	4.00	2.00		
	Range	3.00	2.00-4.00	4.00	2.00		
	% Proficient or Higher			100%	0%		
Data to Determine Patterns & Gaps	Number	1	2	1	1		
	Mean	2.00	3.00	4.00	1.00		
	Range	2.00	3.00	4.00	1.00		
	% Proficient or Higher			100%	0%		
Response to Interventions	Number	1	2	1	1		
	Mean	2.00	3.00	4.00	1.00		
	Range	2.00	3.00	4.00	1.00		
	% Proficient or Higher			100%	0%		

2017-2018:

PBC Middle School Math/Science All Content Areas - Teacher Candidate Work Sample Data:

Criteria		Fall 2015	Spring 2016	Fall 2016	Spring 2017	Fall 2017	Spring 2018
Choice of Assessment	Number					0	2
	Mean						4.00
	Range						4.00
	% Proficient or Higher						100%
Strength: Data to Determine	Number						2
	Mean						3.50
	Range						3.00-4.00
	% Proficient or Higher						100%
	Number						2
	Mean						4.00

Weakness: Data to Determine	Range						4.00
	% Proficient or Higher						100%
Analysis	Number						2
	Mean						3.00
	Range						2.00-4.00
	% Proficient or Higher						50%
Alignment	Number						2
	Mean						3.00
	Range						2.00-4.00
	% Proficient or Higher						50%
Application	Number						2
	Mean						4.00
	Range						4.00
	% Proficient or Higher						100%
Response to Interventions	Number						2
	Mean						4.00
	Range						4.00
	% Proficient or Higher						100%

PBC/Practitioner Middle School Math - Teacher Candidate Work Sample Data:

Criteria		Fall 2015	Spring 2016	Fall 2016	Spring 2017	Fall 2017	Spring 2018	
							PBC	Practitioner
Content Standards	Number					0		
	Mean						4.00	4.00
	Range						4.00	4.00
	% Proficient or Higher						100%	100%
Strength: Data to Determine	Number							
	Mean						4.00	3.00
	Range						4.00	3.00
	% Proficient or Higher						100%	100%
Weakness: Data to Determine	Number							
	Mean						4.00	4.00
	Range						4.00	4.00
	% Proficient or Higher						100%	100%
	Number							

Analysis	Mean						2.00	4.00
	Range						2.00	4.00
	% Proficient or Higher						0%	100%
Alignment	Number							
	Mean						2.00	4.00
	Range						2.00	4.00
	% Proficient or Higher						0%	100%
Application	Number							
	Mean						4.00	4.00
	Range						4.00	4.00
	% Proficient or Higher						100%	100%
Response to Interventions	Number							
	Mean						4.00	4.00
	Range						4.00	4.00
	% Proficient or Higher						100%	100%

12.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2015-2016:

Because the data table shows an abundance of scores falling below the proficient level, the TCWS is now required in EDUC 412 so that the candidates have practice with writing multiple, sequential lesson plans as well as using student data to drive instruction.

No new data has been collected since spring 2015 since no more candidates have completed the program since then.

2016-2017:

Analysis of Data: There is a discrepancy within the two cohorts of completers. The fall 2016 completer scored all 4.00s on a range of 1.00-4.00 and the spring 2017 completer scored only 1.00s and 2.00s on a range of 1.00-4.00 with benchmark being a 3.00.

Program decisions: During fall 2016 the PBC Middle School Math and Science program was realigned. The Teacher Candidate Work Sample Assessment Plan is now implemented in EDUC 216, 333, 351, and 412 which allows for multiple experiences with assessments, data collection and analysis, as well as future differentiated instruction whereas when these two candidates completed the course, the activities were not a mandatory component of all of these courses.

2017-2018:

Analysis of Data: The benchmark was not met. The two completers scored above the benchmark in all areas of the TCWS except in the area of Alignment where one of the two completers fell below the benchmark scoring a 2.00.

Plan for Continuous Improvement: Candidates will score a 3.00 or above on each of the elements of the Teacher Candidate Work Sample rubric.

Recommendation for Successful Implementation of Plan for Improvement: Completer data from the TCWS rubric will be turned into the assessment office. The data will be

disaggregated and charted to determine areas of strength and weakness in the TCWS. This data will be used to make adjustments within the coursework of the PBC Middle School program.

13 Assessment and Benchmark Praxis Principles of Learning and Teaching Exam
Assessment: Praxis Principles of Learning and Teaching Exam (#5623).

Benchmark: 100% of the candidates will pass the Praxis PLT on the first attempt.

13.1 Data

PBC MMS Principles of Learning and Teaching #5623 for Grades 5-9:

		Fall 2017	Spring 2018
#5623 overall	Number	0	2
	Mean		175.5
	Range		170-181
	% Pass 1st attempt		100%
	% Pass prior to ST/Intern		100%
#5623 subcomponents:	Number	0	2
Students as Learners	Mean		16
	Range		14-18
	% correct (24)		67%
Instructional Process	Mean		15.5
	Range		14-17
	% correct (20)		78%
Assessment	Mean		12
	Range		11-13
	% correct (15)		80%
Professional Development Leadership and Community	Mean		6.5
	Range		6-7
	% correct (9)		72%
Analysis of Instructional Scenarios	Mean		12
	Range		11-13
	% correct (16)		75%

13.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2017-2018:

Analysis of Data: The benchmark was met. 100% of the candidates passed the Praxis PLT on the first attempt.

Plan for Continuous Improvement: Lowest percentage correct scores were in the area of "Students as Learners". Candidates will be expected to pass on the first attempt and raise the percentages correct to at least 70% in all areas.

Recommendation for Successful Implementation of Plan for Improvement: Faculty will assess where this is being taught in the curriculum and work to better align the coursework to the exam content.

Program outcomes

LTGC A

The teacher candidate demonstrates, at an effective level, the Louisiana Components of Effective Teaching as defined in Bulletin 130 and the Compass Teacher Rubric.

LTGC B

The teacher candidate demonstrates mastery of the content knowledge and skills and content pedagogy needed to teach the current academic standards as defined in BESE policy.

LTGC C1

The teacher candidate observes and reflects on students'™ responses to instruction to identify areas of need and make adjustments to practice.

LTGC C2

The teacher candidate gathers, synthesizes, and analyzes a variety of data from a variety of sources to adapt instructional practices and other professional behaviors to better meet students'™ needs.

LTGC C3

The teacher candidate uses structured input and feedback from a variety of sources (e.g., colleagues, mentor teachers, school leaders, preparation faculty) to make changes to instructional practice and professional behaviors to better meet students'™ needs.

LTGC D

The teacher candidate elicits and uses information about students and their experiences from families and communities to support student development and learning and adjust instruction and the learning environment.

LTGC E

The teacher candidate applies knowledge of state and federal laws related to students'™ rights and teacher responsibilities for appropriate education for students with and without exceptionalities, parents, teachers, and other professionals in making instructional decisions and communicating with colleagues and families (e.g., laws and policies governing student privacy, special education, and limited English proficient education, including but not limited to Bulletin 1508, Bulletin 1530, Bulletin 1706, and Bulletin 1903).

LTGC F

The teacher candidate differentiates instruction, behavior management techniques, and the learning environment in response to individual student differences in cognitive, socio-emotional, language, and physical development.

LTGC G

The teacher candidate develops and applies instructional supports and plans for an Individualized Education Plan (IEP) or Individualized Accommodation Plan (IAP) to allow a student with exceptionalities developmentally appropriate access to age- or grade-level instruction, individually and in collaboration with colleagues.

LTGC H

The teacher candidate applies knowledge of various types of assessments and their purposes, strengths, and limitations to select, adapt, and modify assessments to accommodate the abilities and needs of students with exceptionalities.

End of report