

Engineering [BS] [ENGR]

Cycles included in this report:

Jun 1, 2017 to May 31, 2018

This PDF document includes any files attached to fields in this report.

To view the attachments you should view this file in Adobe Acrobat XI or higher, or another PDF viewer that supports viewing file attachments.

The default PDF viewer for your device or web browser may not support viewing file attachments embedded in a PDF.

If the attachments are in formats other than PDF you will need any necessary file viewers installed.

Program Name: Engineering [BS] [ENGR]

Reporting Cycle: Jun 1, 2017 to May 31, 2018

1 Is this program offered via Distance Learning?

100% Traditional or less than 50% Distance/Traditional

2 Is this program offered at an off-site location?

No

2.1 If yes to previous, provide addresses for each location where 50% or more of program credits may be earned.

3 Example of Program Improvement

2016-2017:

Implementing SLO assessments using the ABET rubrics. Examples are assessments for selected engineering courses in various concentrations such as CHEN, CIEN, ELEN, ENGR, and MEEN. The College of Engineering & Computer Science developed rubrics to measure Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) and to evaluate the performance of the program. The capability of the program at delivering SLOs is assessed by a cross-section of program stakeholders. Faculty utilized assessment rubrics to quantify the SLOs. Based on the data collected, faculty identified and implemented adjustments to the delivery of course content.

2017-2018:

4 Program Highlights from the Reporting Year

2016-2017:

- Implemented continuous improvement as requested by ABET evaluators.
- Successful Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology (ABET) accreditation review of BS Engineering program in fall 2015.
- Success in obtaining external funds and awards.
- Commissioned power generator turbines and control switches in power engineering lab.
- Equipped the newly established construction lab.
- Program achieved national ranking in return on investment (ROI) for graduating students.

2017-2018:

5 Program Mission

The Departments of Civil, Chemical, and Mechanical Engineering and Electrical Engineering and Computer Science provide an education in chemical, civil, electrical, and mechanical engineering that is professionally focused and practice-oriented within a student friendly environment. The departments prepare our students to practice engineering, focusing on the industrial needs of the region by meeting the needs of traditional and non-traditional students through close contact with the faculty, the staff, and local industrial engineers and managers. The departments maintain an up-to-date curriculum that fosters inter-disciplinary teamwork, scholarly development, cooperation with regional industry, and engineering ethics.

6 Institutional Mission Reference

The program mission supports the University mission by fostering student success, academic excellence, and University-community alliances. In the program mission, student success and academic excellence are promoted by a professionally focused and practice-oriented student friendly environment, and by maintaining an up-to-date curriculum. The University mission is also accomplished by the close cooperation with regional industry.

7 Assessment and Benchmark CHEN 409, CIEN 402, ELEN 341, and MEEN 321 Coursework

Assessment: Students' work (tests, homework, quizzes, or projects) taken from CHEN 409, CIEN 402, MEEN 321, and ELEN 341. ABET 3a rubric is used to evaluate SLOs.

Students should have an ability to apply knowledge of mathematics, science, and engineering (ABET 3a)

1. Apply mathematics to obtain analytical or numerical solutions to engineering equations or models.
2. Identify the engineering principles that govern operations of components or systems/processes.
3. Apply the scientific/engineering principles that govern operations of components or systems/processes.

Benchmark: Data will be evaluated on a 5-tier scale with 1.00 being low achievement and 5.00 being high. An average score of 3.30/5.00 is the desired achievement level.

Prior to 2017-2018, the benchmark was 3.00/5.00.

Outcome Links

3A-PC1 [Program]

Apply mathematics to obtain analytical or numerical solutions to engineering equations or models.

3A-PC2 [Program]

Identify the engineering principles that govern operations of components or systems/processes.

3A-PC3 [Program]

Apply the scientific/engineering principles that govern operations of components or systems/processes.

ABET EAC [External]

A

an ability to apply knowledge of mathematics, science, and engineering

7.1 Data

PC1: Apply mathematics to obtain analytical or numerical solutions to engineering equations or models.

Academic Year	Average score on PC1
2013-2014	2.47/3.00 (4.12/5.00*)
2014-2015	2.39/3.00 (3.98/5.00*)
2015-2016	3.48/5.00
2016-2017	3.34/5.00
2017-2018	3.70/5.00

*Converted.

Outcome Links

3A-PC1 [Program]

Apply mathematics to obtain analytical or numerical solutions to engineering equations or models.

7.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement [Approved]

2016-2017:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. No action needed. The benchmark will be raised in 2017-2018 to 3.30 on a 5-point scale.

2017-2018:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. The benchmark remains the same in 2018-2019 at 3.30 on a 5-point scale.

7.2 Data

PC2: Identify the engineering principles that govern operations of components or systems/processes.

Academic Year	Average score on PC2
2013-2014	2.44/3.00 (4.07/5.00*)
2014-2015	2.49/3.00 (4.15/5.00*)
2015-2016	3.66/5.00
2016-2017	3.47/5.00
2017-2018	3.76/5.00

*Converted.

7.2.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2016-2017:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. No action needed. The benchmark will be raised in 2017-2018 to 3.30 on a 5-point scale.

2017-2018:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. The benchmark remains the same in 2018-2019 at 3.30 on a 5-point scale.

7.3 Data

PC3: Apply the scientific/engineering principles that govern operations of components or systems/processes.

Academic Year	Average score on PC3
2013-2014	2.16/3.00 (3.60/5.00*)
2014-2015	2.32/3.00 (3.86/5.00*)
2015-2016	3.29/5.00
2016-2017	3.57/5.00
2017-2018	3.76/5.00

*Converted.

7.3.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement

2016-2017:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. No action needed. The benchmark will be raised in 2017-2018 to 3.30 on a 5-point scale.

2017-2018:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. The benchmark remains the same in 2018-2019 at 3.30 on a 5-point scale.

8 Assessment and Benchmark CHEN 411, CIEN 403, ELEN 341L, and MEEN 415 Coursework

Assessment: Students work (tests, homework, quizzes, or projects) taken from CHEN 411, CIEN 403, MEEN 415, and ELEN 341L. ABET 3b rubric is used to evaluate SLOs.

Students should have an ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data (ABET 3b)

1. Demonstrate knowledge of safety considerations and run the experiment in a safe manner.
2. Apply measurement techniques to the experiment.
3. Analyze the data using the experimental and engineering tools and/or methods.
4. Interpret how results relate to or are different from theory, appropriate models, or previous

results.

Benchmark: Data will be evaluated on a 5-tier scale with 1.00 being low achievement and 5.00 being high. An average score of 3.30/5.00 is the desired achievement level.

Prior to 2017-2018, the benchmark was 3.00/5.00.

Outcome Links

3B-PC1 [Program]

Demonstrate knowledge of safety considerations and run the experiment in a safe manner.

3B-PC2 [Program]

Apply measurement techniques to the experiment.

3B-PC3 [Program]

Analyze the data using the experimental and engineering tools and/or methods.

3B-PC4 [Program]

Interpret how results relate to or are different from theory, appropriate models, or previous results.

ABET EAC [External]

B

an ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data

8.1 Data

PC1: Demonstrate knowledge of safety considerations and run the experiment in a safe manner.

Academic Year	Average score on PC1
2013-2014	2.43/3.00 (4.05/5.00*)
2014-2015	2.57/3.00 (4.28/5.00*)
2015-2016	3.89/5.00
2016-2017	3.45/5.00
2017-2018	3.36/5.00

*Converted.

8.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement [Approved]

2016-2017:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. No action needed. The benchmark will be raised in 2017-2018 to 3.30 on a 5-point scale.

2017-2018:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. The benchmark remains the same in 2018-2019 at 3.30 on a 5-point scale.

8.2 Data

PC2: Apply measurement techniques to the experiment.

Academic Year	Average score on PC2
2013-2014	2.71/3.00 (4.52/5.00*)
2014-2015	2.48/3.00 (4.73/5.00*)
2015-2016	4.03/5.00
2016-2017	4.18/5.00

2017-2018	3.79/5.00
-----------	-----------

*Converted.

8.2.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement [Approved]

2016-2017:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. Data will be monitored for adjusting the benchmark in next cycle. The benchmark will be raised in 2017-2018 to 3.30 on a 5-point scale.

2017-2018:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. The benchmark remains the same in 2018-2019 at 3.30 on a 5-point scale.

8.3 Data

PC3: Analyze the data using the experimental and engineering tools and/or methods.

Academic Year	Average score on PC3
2013-2014	2.52/3.00 (4.20/5.00*)
2014-2015	2.51/3.00 (4.18/5.00*)
2015-2016	3.34/5.00
2016-2017	4.15/5.00
2017-2018	3.44/5.00

*Converted.

8.3.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement [Approved]

2016-2017:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. No action needed. The current benchmark will be monitored for one more year. The benchmark will be raised for 2017-2018 to 3.30 on a 5-point scale.

2017-2018:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. The benchmark remains the same in 2018-2019 at 3.30 on a 5-point scale.

8.4 Data

PC4: Interpret how results relate to or are different from theory, appropriate models, or previous results.

Academic Year	Average score on PC4
2013-2014	2.29/3.00 (3.82/5.00*)
2014-2015	2.50/3.00 (4.17/5.00*)
2015-2016	3.53/5.00
2016-2017	4.10/5.00
2017-2018	3.82/5.00

*Converted.

8.4.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement [Approved]

2016-2017:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. No action needed. The benchmark will be

raised in 2017-2018 to 3.30 on a 5-point scale.

2017-2018:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. The benchmark remains the same in 2018-2019 at 3.30 on a 5-point scale.

9 Assessment and Benchmark ENGR 491 Project and Team Survey

Assessment: Students work (Project and Team Survey) taken from ENGR 491. ABET 3d rubric is used to evaluate SLOs.

Students should have an ability to function on multi-disciplinary teams (ABET 3d)

1. Contribute to team objectives through active participation in team activities.
2. Contribute to team objectives through performance of individual assigned tasks.
3. Contribute to team objectives through productive interdisciplinary activities.

Benchmark for PC1: Data will be evaluated on a 5-tier scale with 1.00 being low achievement and 5.00 being high. An average score of 3.50/5.00 is the desired achievement level.

Benchmark for PC2: Data will be evaluated on a 5-tier scale with 1.00 being low achievement and 5.00 being high. An average score of 4.00/5.00 is the desired achievement level.

Benchmark for PC3: Data will be evaluated on a 5-tier scale with 1.00 being low achievement and 5.00 being high. An average score of 3.50/5.00 is the desired achievement level.

Prior to 2017-2018, the benchmarks were 3.00/5.00.

Outcome Links

3D-PC1 [Program]

Contribute to team objectives through active participation in team activities.

3D-PC2 [Program]

Contribute to team objectives through performance of individual assigned tasks.

3D-PC3 [Program]

Contribute to team objectives through productive interdisciplinary activities.

ABET EAC [External]

D

an ability to function on multidisciplinary teams

9.1 Data

PC1: Contribute to team objectives through active participation in team activities.

Academic Year	Average score on PC1
2013-2014	2.71/3.00 (4.52/5.00*)
2014-2015	2.87/3.00 (4.78/5.00*)
2015-2016	3.97/5.00
2016-2017	4.58/5.00
2017-2018	4.69/5.00

*Converted.

9.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement [Approved]

2016-2017:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. No action needed. The benchmark will be raised in 2017-2018 to 3.50 on a 5-point scale.

2017-2018:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. The benchmark remains the same in 2018-2019 at 3.50 on a 5-point scale.

9.2 Data

PC2: Contribute to team objectives through performance of individual assigned tasks.

Academic Year	Average score on PC2
2013-2014	2.67/3.00 (4.45/5.00*)
2014-2015	2.85/3.00 (4.75/5.00*)
2015-2016	4.09/5.00
2016-2017	4.58/5.00
2017-2018	4.50/5.00

*Converted.

9.2.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement [Approved]

2016-2017:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. No action needed. The benchmark will be raised in 2017-2018 to 4.00 on a 5-point scale.

2017-2018:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. The benchmark remains the same in 2018-2019 at 4.00 on a 5-point scale.

9.3 Data

PC3: Contribute to team objectives through productive interdisciplinary activities.

Academic Year	Average score on PC3
2013-2014	2.68/3.00 (4.67/5.00*)
2014-2015	2.88/3.00 (4.80/5.00*)
2015-2016	3.93/5.00
2016-2017	4.72/5.00
2017-2018	4.56/5.00

*Converted.

9.3.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement [Approved]

2016-2017:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. No action needed. The benchmark will be raised in 2017-2018 to 3.50 on a 5-point scale.

2017-2018:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. The benchmark remains the same in 2018-2019 at 3.50 on a 5-point scale.

10 Assessment and Benchmark CHEN 409, CIEN 416, ENGR 430, and MEEN 409 Coursework

Assessment: Students work (tests, homework, quizzes, or projects) taken from CHEN 409, CIEN 416, MEEN 409, and ENGR 430. ABET 3e rubric is used to evaluate SLOs.

Student should have an ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems (ABET 3e)

1. Recognize nature of and determine steps to the solution of engineering problems.
2. Solve engineering problems requiring the use of "external" tables, charts, data, or models.

Benchmark for PC1: Data will be evaluated on a 5-tier scale with 1.00 being low achievement and 5.00 being high. An average score of 3.50/5.00 is the desired achievement level.

Benchmark for PC2: Data will be evaluated on a 5-tier scale with 1.00 being low achievement and 5.00 being high. An average score of 3.30/5.00 is the desired achievement level.

Prior to 2017-2018, the benchmarks were 3.00/5.00.

Outcome Links

3E-PC1 [Program]

Recognize nature of and determine steps to the solution of engineering problems.

3E-PC2 [Program]

Solve engineering problems requiring the use of "external" tables, charts, data, or models.

ABET EAC [External]

E

an ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems

10.1 Data

PC1: Recognize nature of and determine steps to the solution of engineering problems.

Academic Year	Average score on PC1
2013-2014	2.18/3.00 (3.63/5.00*)
2014-2015	2.35/3.00 (3.92/5.00*)
2015-2016	4.01/5.00
2016-2017	4.00/5.00
2017-2018	4.05/5.00

*Converted.

10.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement [Approved]

2016-2017:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. No action needed. The benchmark will be raised in 2017-2018 to 3.50 on a 5-point scale.

2017-2018:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. The benchmark remains the same in 2018-2019 at 3.50 on a 5-point scale.

10.2 Data

PC2: Solve engineering problems requiring the use of "external" tables, charts, data, or models.

Academic Year	Average score on PC2
2013-2014	2.53/3.00 (4.22/5.00*)
2014-2015	2.69/3.00 (4.48/5.00*)
2015-2016	3.41/5.00
2016-2017	3.67/5.00

2017-2018	4.00/5.00
-----------	-----------

*Converted.

10.2.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement [Approved]

2016-2017:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. No action needed. The benchmark will be raised in 2017-2018 to 3.30 on a 5-point scale.

2017-2018:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. The benchmark remains the same in 2018-2019 at 3.30 on a 5-point scale.

11 Assessment and Benchmark ENGR 491 Project and Monthly Reports

Assessment: Students work (Project and Monthly reports) taken from ENGR 491. ABET 3g rubric is used to evaluate SLOs.

Students should have an ability to communicate effectively (ABET 3g)

1. Demonstrate proper English composition, grammar, and spelling.
2. Demonstrate logical organization and document formatting.
3. Demonstrate originality of content as well as effective integration of secondary sources.

Benchmark: Data will be evaluated on a 5-tier scale with 1.00 being low achievement and 5.00 being high. An average score of 3.50/5.00 is the desired achievement level.

Prior to 2017-2018, the benchmark was 3.00/5.00.

Outcome Links

3G-PC1 [Program]

Demonstrate proper English composition, grammar, and spelling.

3G-PC2 [Program]

Demonstrate logical organization and document formatting.

3G-PC3 [Program]

Demonstrate originality of content as well as effective integration of secondary courses.

ABET EAC [External]

G

an ability to communicate effectively

11.1 Data

PC1: Demonstrate proper English composition, grammar, and spelling.

Academic Year	Average score on PC1
2013-2014	2.56/3.00 (4.27/5.00*)
2014-2015	2.54/3.00 (4.23/5.00*)
2015-2016	3.39/5.00
2016-2017	4.06/5.00
2017-2018	3.93/5.00

*Converted.

11.1.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement [Approved]

2016-2017:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. No action needed. The benchmark will be raised in 2017-2018 to 3.5 on a 5-point scale.

2017-2018:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. The benchmark remains the same in 2018-2019 at 3.50 on a 5-point scale.

11.2 Data

PC2: Demonstrate logical organization and document formatting.

Academic Year	Average score on PC2
2013-2014	2.53/3.00 (4.22/5.00*)
2014-2015	2.52/3.00 (4.20/5.00*)
2015-2016	3.87/5.00
2016-2017	3.97/5.00
2017-2018	4.22/5.00

*Converted.

11.2.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement [Approved]

2016-2017:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. No action needed. The benchmark will be raised in 2017-2018 to 3.50 on a 5-point scale.

2017-2018:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. The benchmark remains the same in 2018-2019 at 3.50 on a 5-point scale.

11.3 Data

PC3: Demonstrate originality of content as well as effective integration of secondary sources.

Academic Year	Average score on PC3
2013-2014	2.39/3.00 (3.98/5.00*)
2014-2015	2.39/3.00 (3.98/5.00*)
2015-2016	3.68/5.00
2016-2017	3.93/5.00
2017-2018	4.07/5.00

*Converted.

11.3.1 Analysis of Data and Plan for Continuous Improvement [Approved]

2016-2017:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. No action needed. The benchmark will be raised in 2017-2018 to 3.50 on a 5-point scale.

2017-2018:

Data indicated the benchmark is met or exceeded. The benchmark remains the same in 2018-2019 at 3.50 on a 5-point scale.

Program outcomes

3A-PC1

Apply mathematics to obtain analytical or numerical solutions to engineering equations or models.

3A-PC2

Identify the engineering principles that govern operations of components or systems/processes.

3A-PC3

Apply the scientific/engineering principles that govern operations of components or systems/processes.

3B-PC1

Demonstrate knowledge of safety considerations and run the experiment in a safe manner.

3B-PC2

Apply measurement techniques to the experiment.

3B-PC3

Analyze the data using the experimental and engineering tools and/or methods.

3B-PC4

Interpret how results relate to or are different from theory, appropriate models, or previous results.

3D-PC1

Contribute to team objectives through active participation in team activities.

3D-PC2

Contribute to team objectives through performance of individual assigned tasks.

3D-PC3

Contribute to team objectives through productive interdisciplinary activities.

3E-PC1

Recognize nature of and determine steps to the solution of engineering problems.

3E-PC2

Solve engineering problems requiring the use of "external" tables, charts, data, or models.

3G-PC1

Demonstrate proper English composition, grammar, and spelling.

3G-PC2

Demonstrate logical organization and document formatting.

3G-PC3

Demonstrate originality of content as well as effective integration of secondary courses.

End of report